

Maximal q-ary Codes and Plotkin's Bound

Conrad Mackenzie and Jennifer Seberry*

Department of Architectural Sciences
University of Sydney
NSW, 2006
Australia

Department of Computer Science
University College
University of New South Wales
Australian Defence Force Academy
Canberra, ACT, 2600
Australia

Abstract

The analogue of Plotkin's bound for q-ary codes with high distance relative to length was given by Blake and Mullin as

$$A(n, d) \leq \frac{qd}{qd - n(q-1)}, qd > (q-1)n.$$

Further we show

$$A(n, (q-1)n/q) = qd, qd = (q-1)n.$$

Generalized Hadamard matrices are used to obtain q-ary codes which meet these bounds. The q-ary analogue of Levenshtein's construction is discussed and maximal codes constructed.

The codes given are often maximum distance separable, and constructions are given which include the Reed-Solomon codes, but exist for cases when the Reed-Solomon codes cannot exist.

We also study block codes over non-binary alphabets which may prove fruitful for multiple channel encoding.

1 The Plotkin Bound

By counting the sum

$$\sum_{u \in G} \sum_{v \in G} \text{dist}(u, v)$$

in two ways Blake and Mullin (p.85) show that

THEOREM 1 For an alphabet of q symbols, the maximum number of codewords of length n and distance d , $A(n, d)$, is

$$A(n, d) \leq \frac{qd}{qd - (q-1)n} \quad \text{for } qd > (q-1)n \geq (q-1)d.$$

The maximum occurs when each symbol occurs $A(n, d)/q$ times in each column which requires $q|A(n, d)$.

*Research support by a grant from the Australian Computer Research Board.

LEMMA 2 (i) $A(n, d) \leq qA(n-1, d)$; (ii) $A(qn, (q-1)n) \leq q^2n$.

Proof. Given a q -ary code, the codewords fall into q classes, those beginning with $0, 1, \dots, (q-1)$. One class must contain at least $1/q$ of the codewords, and so

$$A(n-1, d) \geq A(n, d)/q.$$

Thus, using theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(qn, (q-1)n) &\leq qA(qn-1, (q-1)n) \\ &\leq q \left(\frac{q(q-1)n}{q(q-1)n - (q-1)(qn-1)} \right) \\ &= q^2n. \end{aligned}$$

We now use generalized Hadamard matrices over groups of size q , $GH(n, G)$, to obtain codes which meet these bounds. Although we have discussed the case $q = 3$ elsewhere Table 1 revises the knowledge there. This paper considers $q \neq 2, 3$.

Remark. We should like to acknowledge that Christiane Engelmann and Michael Kannengann of West Germany have pointed out that our use in a previous paper of

$$A(n, d) \leq 3 \left\lceil \frac{d}{3d-2n} \right\rceil \quad \text{for } 3d > 2n \geq 2d$$

is incorrect and the correct result is

$$A(n, d) \leq \frac{3d}{3d-2n} \quad \text{for } 3d > 2n \geq 2d.$$

A square matrix of size n with entries from a group G is called a *generalized Hadamard matrix*, $GH(n, G)$, if the inner product of any two distinct rows, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $a_i, b_j \in G$, defined by $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^{-1}$ is $n/|G|$ copies of G . For example, we have

$$GH(5, Z_5) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a & a^2 & a^3 & a^4 \\ 1 & a^2 & a^4 & a & a^3 \\ 1 & a^3 & a & a^4 & a^2 \\ 1 & a^4 & a^3 & a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$GH(6, Z_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 & w & w^2 & w \\ 1 & w & 1 & w & w^2 & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w & 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w^2 & w & 1 & w \\ 1 & w & w^2 & w^2 & w & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our constructions will extend the first of these into a code equivalent to a Reed-Solomon code. However our constructions applied to the second example give a maximal code, similar to those of Reed-Solomon, but where Reed-Solomon codes cannot exist because the finite field does not exist, for example for $q = 6$.

The results of de Launey, Drake, Jungnickel, Rajkundlia, Seberry, Seiden, Street and Dawson allow us to say:

LEMMA 3 *Let $EA(p^i)$ be the elementary abelian group of order p^i , where p is a prime. Then the following generalized Hadamard matrices exist:*

- (i) $GH(p^{i+j}, EA(p^i))$ for all $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$;
- (ii) $GH(2^{k+2j}p^{im}, EA(p^i))$ for all $0 \leq k+j \leq m, 0 \leq k, j \leq m,$
 $m \geq 1, i \geq 1$;
- (iii) $GH(2p^i, EA(p^i)), GH(4p^i, EA(p^i))$ for all $i \geq 1$;

If $p^t - 1 = r^s$ for some prime r , then there exists:

- (iv) $GH(p^{tk+l}r^{sj}, EA(p^t))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq k,$
 $\ell \geq i$ or $\ell = 0$;
 - (v) $GH(2^{k+2j}p^{tm}r^s, EA(p^t))$ for all $0 \leq i+j+k \leq m, 0 \leq i, j, k \leq m,$
 $m, r, t \geq 1$;
- If q is a prime power and there exists a $GH(q+1; G)$ for some group G , then there exists*
- (vi) $GH(q^t(q+1); G)$ for all $t \geq 1$.

For example there exists a $GH(6; Z_3)$ so there exists $GH(5^t \cdot 6; Z_3)$.

As well de Launey [1984] surveys the current knowledge on non existence of generalized Hadamard matrices.

Any $GH(n, G)$ is equivalent to a $GH(n, G)$ with its first row and column consisting entirely of the unit element of the group.

LEMMA 4 *A $GH(n, G), |G| = q$, gives block codes over a q -symbol alphabet with parameters, (n, M, d) :*

- (i) $(n, qn, (q-1)n/q)$,
- (ii) $(n-1, qn, (q-1)n/q-1)$,
- (iii) $(n-1, n, (q-1)n/q)$,
- (iv) $(n-2, n, (q-1)n/q-1)$,
- (v) $(q+1, q^2, q)$.

(i), (iii), (v) are maximal.

Proof. Write A for the normalized $GH(n, G)$ and $G = \{e = a_1, \dots, a_q\}$. Then the required codes are:

(i)

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a_2 A \\ \vdots \\ a_q A \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_i A$ has the usual meaning of multiplying every element of A by a_i ;

(ii) B with any column removed;

(iii) A with the first column removed;

(iv) A with the first and any other column removed;

(v) where $n = q$, let c be any column of A except the first, then C is the code

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} Ac \\ a_2 Ac \\ \vdots \\ a_q Ac \end{pmatrix}$$

The result follows as the distance of any two rows of A is $(q - 1)$.

Remark. An interesting paper of Zlotnik deals similarly with extended Reed-Solomon codes but his/her results are for different alphabets.

A number of authors, including de Launey, Lam, Seberry, and Street and Rodger, have studied an extension of generalized Hadamard matrices in which the elements are over a group ring, called Bhaskar Rao designs (BRD). We consider the group ring $\{0\} + G$. A *generalized Bhaskar Rao design* (GBRD) $W = g_1 A_1 + g_2 A_2 + \dots + g_g A_g$, $g_i \in G$, with parameters v, b, r, k, λ satisfies

$$WW^T = rI_v$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^g A_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^g A_i^T \end{pmatrix} = (r - \lambda)I + \lambda J$$

$$J \sum_{i=1}^g A_i = kJ$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^g A_i\right) J = rJ,$$

where A_i are (0,1)-matrices, $\sum_{i=1}^g A_i$ is a BIBD (v, b, r, k, λ) . The GBRD is written GBRD $(v, b, r, k, \lambda; G)$ or GVRD (v, k, λ) for brevity. Such designs can be extended to partially balanced and pairwise balanced designs.

In the remainder of this section we use

$$r = \lambda(v - 1)/(k - 1), \quad b = vr/k.$$

LEMMA 5 *If there exists a GBRD $(v, k, \lambda; G)$, with $|G| = q - 1$ then writing $t = 2(r - \lambda) + (q - 2)\lambda/(q - 1)$ there exists q -ary codes with parameters*

- (i) (b, v, t) ,
- (ii) $(b, v + q, \min(t, r, b - r))$,
- (iii) $(b, qv, \min(r, t))$.

Proof. Let M be the BRD and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{q-1} the group elements. The result follows by considering the rows of

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ g_1 & \dots & g_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{q-1} & \dots & g_{q-1} \\ \cdot & \dots & M & \dots & \cdot \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} M \\ g_1 M \\ \vdots \\ \cdot \\ g_{q-1} M \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively as codewords.

Remark. Codes from BRD over alphabets other than binary should be explored as the zero-nonzero coordinates provide a code in themselves for error correction at one rate while the nonzero coordinates provide a non-binary code with maximum distance separable or near maximum distance separable codewords which could be exploited using phase or frequency variations.

2 A property of q -ary codes used to give more codewords

LEMMA 6 *Let \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} be two q -ary vectors. Then with $p = q - 1$*

$$\sum_{i=0}^p d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{1}) = 2n$$

$$d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{j}) = d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}), \quad \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$$

where d is the Hamming distance.

Proof. The second part of the lemma is obviously true for linear codes but we show it is also true for block codes. We write the two codewords as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &= 0 \dots\dots\dots 0 \dots p \dots\dots\dots p \\ \mathbf{b} &= 0 \dots 0 \dots p \dots p \dots 0 \dots 0 \dots p \dots p \\ &\quad x_{00} \quad x_{0p} \quad x_{p0} \quad x_{pp} \end{aligned}$$

x_{ij} is the number of coordinates which are i in \mathbf{a} and j in \mathbf{b} .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{i}) = n - \sum_{j=0}^p x_{jj} \\ d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{b}) &= d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}) = n - \sum_{j=0}^p x_{j,j+i} \\ &\vdots \\ d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}) &= d(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{k}) = n - \sum_{j=0}^p x_{j,j+k} \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\sum_{k=0}^p d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{k}) = q \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^p x_{ij} = (q-1)n.$$

This allows us to readily test the distance of a constructed code, as in the following:

LEMMA 7 Suppose A is a q -ary ($p = q-1$) (n, M, d) -code. Then, writing $A + i$, to denote adding i to each element of A (assumed written on an additively defined alphabet).

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A + 1 \\ \vdots \\ A + p \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Levenshtein's method

Let us suppose that an arbitrary $GF(m, G)$, $M = k|G| = kq$ exists, written on the additive group, whose first column is composed entirely of zero's: denote this matrix M_n , and the matrix, when formed by stripping the column of zero's, by M'_n .

The theory giving the construction of maximal codes requires matrices of particular orders and distances.

LEMMA 10 *If there exists an M_{kq} (respectively $M_{q(k+1)}$) then the rows of M_{kq}^i (respectively $M_{q(k+1)}^i$) form a code with parameters $n = kq - 1$, $M = kq$, $d = k(q - 1)$ (respectively $n = kq + q - 1$, $M = q(k + 1)$, $d = (k + 1)(q - 1)$).*

Write

$$i = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{qd - n(q - 1)} \right\rfloor.$$

LEMMA 11 *If $qd > (q - 1)n \geq (q - 1)d$, then there exist integers a and b such that*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} n &= a(qi - 1) + b(qi + q - 1) \\ d &= (q - 1)ai + (q - 1)b(i + 1). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Proof. We can define i in terms of the following inequalities:

$$\left(\frac{d}{qd - n(q - 1)} \right) - 1 < i \leq \left(\frac{d}{qd - n(q - 1)} \right),$$

that is,

$$\frac{(q - 1)(n - d)}{qd - n(q - 1)} < i \leq \frac{d}{qd - n(q - 1)}.$$

Considering the left inequality gives

$$\frac{(q - 1)(i + 1)}{qi + (q - 1)} < \frac{d}{n}, \quad (2)$$

and the right inequality gives

$$\frac{d}{n} \leq \frac{(q - 1)i}{qi - 1}. \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\frac{(q - 1)(i + 1)}{qi + (q - 1)} < \frac{d}{n} \leq \frac{(q - 1)i}{qi - 1}. \quad (4)$$

The two inequalities of (4) may be written in determinant form:

$$\begin{vmatrix} d & (q-1)(i+1) \\ n & qi+(q-1) \end{vmatrix} > 0 = A, \text{ say} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} n & (qi-1) \\ d & (q-1)i \end{vmatrix} \geq 0 = B, \text{ say.} \quad (6)$$

Now suppose that both A and B are both divisible by $q-1$. Then let

$$A = (q-1)a$$

$$B = (q-1)b$$

so (5) and (6) become

$$A = (q-1)a = d(qi+q-1) - n(q-1)(i+1) \quad (7)$$

$$B = (q-1)b = (q-1)ni - d(qi-1), \quad (8)$$

and solving (7) and (8) for n and d yields the required results (1).

Note: Requiring that A and B are divisible by $q-1$ imposes only one condition, namely that d is also divisible by $(q-1)$, but in the case of q -ary codes the distance is, in fact, divisible by $(q-1)$ for maximal codes as then $qd = (q-1)n$.

Following Levenshtein, we define the operation of adjunction of the matrices

$$X = (X_{ij}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L_1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$$

$$Y = (Y_{ij}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L_2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$$

as follows:

$$X + Y = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \dots & X_{1n_1} & Y_{11} & Y_{12} & \dots & Y_{1n_2} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \dots & X_{2n_1} & Y_{21} & Y_{22} & \dots & Y_{2n_2} \\ \vdots & \dots & & \dots & \dots & \dots & & \dots \\ X_{L_1} & X_{L_2} & \dots & X_{L_{n_1}} & Y_{L_1} & Y_{L_2} & \dots & Y_{L_{n_2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $L = \min(L_1, L_2)$.

The operation of extension of a matrix X , r times, is defined as the result of the consecutive adjunction of r matrices X .

Note: If the rows of the matrix X form a code with the parameters n_1 , d_1 and M_1 , and the rows of a matrix Y form a code with the parameters n_2 , d_2 and M_2 , then

the rows of the matrix $aX + bY$, where a and b are integer non-negative numbers, form a code with the parameters

$$n = an_1 + bn_2$$

$$d = ad_1 + bd_2$$

and

$$M = \min(M_1, M_2).$$

THEOREM 12 *If d is divisible by $(q-1)$ and $qd > (q-1)n \geq (q-1)d$, then the following matrix M is maximal in that it meets the bound*

$$\begin{aligned} A(n, d) &= q \left\lfloor \frac{d}{qd - (q-1)n} \right\rfloor = qi \\ M &= aM'_{qi} + bM'_{q(i+1)} \\ a &= d(qi + q - 1)/(q - 1) - n(i + 1) \\ b &= ni - d(qi - 1)/(q - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to see, using Lemmas 10 and 11, that the above construction does indeed generate a maximal code.

EXAMPLE 13 Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & i & i^3 & i^2 \\ 1 & i^2 & 0 & 1 & i & i^3 \\ 1 & i^3 & i^2 & 0 & 1 & i \\ 1 & 1 & i & i^3 & i^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ be the GBRD}(6, 5, 4; Z_4).$$

Then A is a $(6, 6, 5)$ -code over a 5-ary alphabet and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ iA \\ i^2A \\ i^3A \end{bmatrix}$$

is a $(6, 24, 5)$ -code over a 5-ary alphabet and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

is a $(6, 25, 5)$ maximal 5-ary code.

EXAMPLE 14 From Seberry (1980) we have that a $A = \text{GBRD}(p^r + 1, p^r, p^r - 1; Z_t)$ exists whenever p^r is a prime power, t divides $p^r - 1$ and Z_t is a cyclic group. If the elements of the group are $1, g_1, \dots, g_{t-1}$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & A & \\ & g_1 A & \\ & \vdots & \\ & g_{t-1} A & \end{bmatrix}$$

is a $(p^r + 1, t(p^r + 1) + 1, p^r)$ -code over a $(t + 1)$ -ary alphabet. When $t = p^r - 1$ this gives a maximal code.

COROLLARY 15 Let p^r be a prime power. Then there exists a $(p^r + 1, p^{2r}, p^r)$ maximal code over a p^r -ary alphabet.

EXAMPLE 16 From de Launey (1987) a $W = \text{GBRD}\left(\frac{p^t-1}{p-1}, p^{t-1}, p^{t-2}(p-1); Z_{p-1}\right)$ $t \geq 3$, exists whenever p^t is a prime power and Z_{p-1} is a cyclic group. Then proceeding as in Example 14 we have a $((p^t - 1)/(p - 1), p^{t-1}(p - 1) + 1, p^{t-2}(p - 1))$ - code over a p -ary alphabet.

EXAMPLE 17 The following are 4-ary codes.

A structured (7,8,6)-code (maximal)

aaaaaaa	baceebc
eebcbac	cbaceeb
ceebcba	bcbacee
aceebcb	ebcbace

A structured (5,16,4)-code (maximal)

aaaaa
aceec
cacee
ecace
eecac
ceeca
abccb
babcc
cbabc
ccbab
bccba
aebbe
eaebb
beaeb
bbeae
ebbea

A (6,8,5)-code

ccccc
aaaaaa
eeabcd
beeabc
cbeeab
bcbeea
abcdee
eabcbe

A (10,5,9)-code

aabcd	abacd
daabc	dabac
cdaab	cdaba
bcdaa	acdab
abcda	bacda

EXAMPLE 18 The following are 5-ary codes.

5-ary (7,15,6)-code(maximal)	5-ary (8,10,7)-code
0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 2 1 3 3 1 2	4 4 4 4 4 4 4
2 0 2 1 3 3 1	0 1 1 2 3 2 4 3
1 2 0 2 1 3 3	3 0 1 1 2 3 2 4
3 1 2 0 2 1 3	4 3 0 1 1 2 3 2
3 3 1 2 0 2 1	2 4 3 0 1 1 2 3
1 3 3 1 2 0 2	3 2 4 3 0 1 1 2
2 1 3 3 1 2 0	2 3 2 4 3 0 1 1
4 4 1 4 2 3 0	1 2 3 2 4 3 0 1
0 4 4 1 4 2 3	1 1 2 3 2 4 3 0
3 0 4 4 1 4 2	
2 3 0 4 4 1 4	
4 2 3 0 4 4 1	
1 4 2 3 0 4 4	
4 1 4 2 3 0 4	

Table 1

Codes with $3d \geq 2n$, $q = 3$

n	$M(\text{found})$	$M(\text{max})$	d	Construction or Comment
3	9	9	9	GH(3,EA(3))
4	27		2	Mackenzie and Seberry
5	18		3	From (6,18,4)-code
6	27		3	From (9,27,6)-code
6	18	18	4	GH(6,EA(3))
7	27		4	From (8,27,5)-code
8	27		5	From (9,27,6)-code
9	27	27	6	GH(9,EA(3))
10	54		5	Mackenzie and Seberry
10	36		6	From (11,36,7)-code
11	36		7	From (12,36,8)-code
12	36	36	8	GH(12,EA(3))
13	54		7	From (18,54,12)-code
14	54		8	From (18,54,12)-code
15	54		9	From (18,54,12)-code
15	18	45	10	(6,18,4) + (9,27,6)
16	54		10	From (17,54,10)-code
17	54		11	From (18,54,12)-code
18	54	54	12	GH(18,EA(3))
19	57		12	Corollary 6
20	21		13	From (21,21,14)-code
21	21	63	14	Corollary 6
22	72		14	From (23,72,15)-code
23	72		15	From (24,72,16)-code
24	72	72	16	GH(24,EA(3))
25	81		16	From (26,81,17)-code
26	81		17	From (27,81,18)-code
27	81	81	18	GH(27,EA(3))
28	90		18	From (29,90,20)-code
29	90		19	From (30,90,20)-code
30	90	90	20	GH(30,EA(3))

Table 2

Codes with $4d \geq 3n \geq 3d$, $q = 4$

n	$M(\text{found})$	$M(\text{max})$	d	Construction or Comment
4	16	16	3	GH(4,EA(4))
5	16	16	4	Lemma 4(v), Example 14
6	8	10	5	Example 14
7	8	8	6	Lemma 4(iii) and GH(8,EA(4)), Example 14
8	32	32	6	GH(8,EA(4))
9	16	28	7	(4,16,3) + (5,16,4) codes
10	16	16	8	(5,16,3) + (5,16,4) codes
10	5	6	9	Example 17
11	12	12	9	Lemma 4(iii) and GH(12,EA(4))
12	48	48	9	GH(12,EA(4))
13	16	40	10	(5,16,4) + (8,32,6) codes
14	16	22	11	(5,16,4) + (9,28,7) codes
15	16	16	12	Lemma 4(iii) and GH(12,EA(4))
16	64	64	12	GH(16,EA(4))
17	16	52	13	(8,32,6) + (9,16,7) codes
18	28	28	14	(9,28,7) + (9,28,7) codes
19	16	20	15	(9,28,7) + (10,16,8) codes
20	32	80	15	(8,32,6) + (12,48,9) codes
21	32	64	16	(8,32,6) + (13,40,10) codes
22	28	34	17	(9,29,7) + (13,40,10) codes
23	16	24	18	(10,16,8) + (13,40,10) codes
24	48	96	18	(12,48,9) code twice
25	16	76	19	(9,16,7) + (16,64,12) codes
26	28	40	20	(8,32,6) + (12,48,9) codes
27	28	28	21	Lemma 4(iii)
28	112	112	21	GH(28,EA(4))
29	16	88	22	(12,48,9) + (17,16,13) codes
30	28	46	23	(12,48,9) + (18,28,14) codes

Table 3

Codes with $5d \geq 4n \geq 4d$, $q = 5$

n	$M(\text{found})$	$M(\text{max})$	d	Construction or Comment
4	5	5	4	Lemma 4(iii)
5	25	25	4	GH(5,EA(5))
6	25	25	5	Lemma 4(v)
7	15	15	6	Example 15
8	10	11	7	Example 15
9	10	10	8	Lemma 4(iii)
10	50	50	8	GH(10,EA(5))
11	25	45	9	(5,25,4) + (6,25,5)
12	25	25	10	(6,25,5) twice
13	15	18	11	(6,25,5) + (7,15,6)
14	15	15	12	(7,15,6) twice
15	25	75	12	GH(15,EA(5)) does not exist
16	25	65	13	(5,25,4) + (11,25,9)
17	25	35	14	(6,25,5) + (11,25,9)
18	25	25	15	(6,25,5) three times
19	20	20	16	Lemma 4(iii)
20	100	100	16	GH(20,EA(5))
21	25	85	17	(10,50,8) + (11,25,9)
22	25	45	18	(11,25,9) twice
23	25	31	19	(11,25,9) + (12,25,10)
24	25	25	20	Lemma 4(iii)
25	125	125	20	GH(25,EA(5))
26	25	105	21	(10,50,8) + (16,25,13) codes
27	25	55	22	(12,25,10) + (15,25,12) codes
28	25	38	23	(12,25,10) + (16,25,13) codes
29	25	30	24	(12,25,10) + (17,25,14) codes
30	125	150	25	Corollary 4.7 deLauney

References

- [1] Ian F. Blake and Ronald C. Mullin, *An Introduction to Algebraic and Combinatorial Coding Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [2] Jeremy E. Dawson, A construction for generalized Hadamard matrices $\text{GH}(4q, \text{EA}(q))$, *J. Stat. Planning and Inference*, 11, (1985), 103-110.
- [3] Warwick de Launey, Non-existence of generalized Hadamard matrices, *J. Stat. Planning and Inference*, 10, (1984), 385-396.
- [4] Warwick de Launey and Jennifer Seberry, Generalized Bhaskar Rao designs with block size four, *Congressus Numerantium*, 41 (1984), 229-294.
- [5] D.A. Drake, Partial λ -geometries and generalized matrices over groups, *Canad. J. Math.* 31 (1979), 617-627.
- [6] Christiane Engelmann and Michael Kannengann, private communication (1985).
- [7] D. Jungnickel, On difference matrices, resolving TD's and generalized Hadamard matrices, *Math. Z.* 167 (1979), 49-60.
- [8] Clement Lam and Jennifer Seberry, Generalized Bhaskar Rao designs, *J. Stat. Planning and Inference*, 10, (1984), 83-95.
- [9] V.I. Levenshtein, Application of the Hadamard matrix to a problem of coding, *Prilozheniya Kibernetiki*, vol. 5 (1961), 123-136.
- [10] Conrad Mackenzie and Jennifer Seberry, Maximal ternary codes and Plotkin's bound, *Ars Combinatoria*, 17A (1984), 251-270.
- [11] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1977.
- [12] D. Rajkundlia, Some techniques for constructing new infinite families or balanced incomplete block designs, *Discrete Math.* 44 (1983), 61-96.
- [13] Jennifer Seberry, A construction for generalized Hadamard matrices, *J. Stat. Planning and Inference*, 4 (1980), 365-368.
- [14] Jennifer Seberry, Regular group divisible designs and Bhaskar Rao designs with block size three, *J. Stat. Planning and Inference*, 10, (1982), 378-388.
- [15] Deborah J. Street, Generalized Hadamard matrices, orthogonal arrays and F-squares, *Ars Combinatoria*, 8 (1979), 131-141.
- [16] Deborah J. Street and C.A. Rodger, Some results on Bhaskar Rao designs, in *Combinatorial Mathematics III*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 829 (eds. R.W. Robinson, G.W. Southern and W.D. Wallis), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980, 238-245.
- [17] B.M. Zlotnik, Doubly transitive groups of type $p^m(p^m - 1)$ and maximal non binary codes generated by them, Translated from *Kibernetika*, No. 3, May-June, 32, (1983) 16-32. Copyright Plenum Publishing Corp.