

GENERALISED BHASKAR RAO DESIGNS WITH BLOCK SIZE 3
OVER Z_4

Warwick de Launey, Dinesh G. Sarvate, Jennifer Seberry*,

Department of Computer Science
University of Sydney 2006,
Sydney, N.S.W., Australia.

ABSTRACT: We show that the necessary conditions

- (i) $2tv(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$
- (ii) $v \geq 3$
- (iii) $t \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6} \Rightarrow v \neq 3$

are sufficient for the existence of a $\text{GBRD}(v, 3, 4t; Z_4)$ except possibly when $(v, t) = (27, 1)$ or $(39, 1)$.

Keywords: Bhaskar Rao designs, block designs, PBD-closed sets, group divisible designs.

AMS classification: 05B20, 05B05, 05B30, 05B99, 51E05.

0. Introduction

Although a considerable amount of work has been done on generalised Bhaskar Rao designs, little is known about the existence of these designs over groups which are not elementary abelian. This paper considers the group Z_4 and finds that designs exist for Z_4 for parameters for which they do not exist for $Z_2 \times Z_2$ and vice versa.

Suppose we have a matrix W with elements from an abelian group

* This research was partially supported by an ARGS grant.

$G = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_g\}$, where $W = h_1 A_1 + h_2 A_2 + \dots + h_g A_g$; here A_1, \dots, A_g are $v \times b$ $(0,1)$ matrices, and the Hadamard product $A_i * A_j$ ($i \neq j$) is zero. Suppose (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ib}) and (b_{j1}, \dots, b_{jb}) are the i th and j th rows of W ; then we define WW^+ by

$$(WW^+)_{ij} = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ib})^{-1} \cdot (b_{j1}, \dots, b_{jb})^{-1}$$

with \cdot designating the scalar product. Then W is a *generalised Bhaskar Rao design* or *GBRD* if

$$(i) \quad WW^+ = rI + \sum_{i=1}^m (c_i G) B_i$$

$$(ii) \quad N = A_1 + \dots + A_g \text{ satisfies } NN^T = rI + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i B_i,$$

that is, N is the incidence matrix of a $PBIBD(m)$, and $(C_i G)$ gives the number of times a complete copy of the group G occurs.

Such a matrix will be denoted by $GBRD_G(v, b, r, k; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m; c_1, \dots, c_m)$. In this paper we shall only be concerned with $m = 1$, $c = \lambda/g$, and $B_1 = J - I$. In this case N is the incidence matrix of a $PBIBD(1)$, that is, a $BIBD$. Hence, the equations become

$$(i) \quad WW^+ = rI + \frac{\lambda G}{g} (J - I)$$

$$(ii) \quad NN^T = (r - \lambda)I + \lambda J.$$

Thus W is a $GBRD_G(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$. Since $\lambda(v-1) = r(k-1)$ and $bk = vr$, we sometimes use the notation $GBRD(v, k, \lambda; G)$.

These matrices are generalisations of generalised weighing matrices and may be used in the construction of $PBIBDs$.

We use the following notation for the initial blocks of a $GBRD$. We say $(a_\alpha, b_\beta, \dots, c_\gamma)$ is an initial block, when the Latin letters are developed mod n and the Greek subscripts are the elements of the group, which will be placed in the incidence matrix in the positions indicated by the Latin letters. Thus we place α in the $(i, a-1+i)$ th position of the incidence matrix, β in the $(i, b-1+i)$ th position, and so on.

We form the difference table of the initial block $(a_\alpha, b_\beta, \dots, c_\gamma)$ by placing in the position headed by x_α and by row y_β the element $(x-y)_{\delta\eta}^{-1}$ where $(x-y)$ is mod n and $\delta\eta^{-1}$ is in the abelian group.

A set of initial blocks will be said to form a *GBR difference set* (if there is one initial block) or *GBR supplementary difference sets*

(if more than one) if in the totality of elements

$$(x-y) \delta_{\eta}^{-1} \pmod{n, G}$$

each non-zero element $a_g, a \pmod{n}, g \in G$, occurs $\lambda/|G|$ times.

For any other definition or notation the reader is referred to de Launey and Seberry [1].

For a $\text{GBRD}(v, k, \lambda; G)$ to exist $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{g}$ and there must exist a $\text{BIBD}(v, k, \lambda)$. So the parameters v, k, λ must satisfy the constraints,

- (i) $v \geq k$
- (ii) $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{g}$
- (iii) $\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{(k-1)}$
- (iv) $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$.

In view of these constraints a $\text{GBRD}(v, 3, 4t; Z_4)$ can exist only when one of the following is true,

- (a) $t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, v \geq 3,$
- (b) $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, v \geq 0, 1 \pmod{3}$ and $v \geq 3.$

Moreover a theorem of Drake [2, Theorem 1.10] ensures that no $\text{GBRD}(3, 3, 4t; Z_4)$ exists when t is odd. We show that, with the possible exception of the cases given in the abstract, these necessary conditions are also sufficient.

§1. A Small Generating Set

In this section and the next we make extensive use of Wilson's notation [6, Sections 1 and 2] concerning PBD-closure theory. In the next section we will need a small generating set for

$$V = \{v > 3 \mid v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}\}.$$

Notation 1.1: Let S and K be sets of positive integers.

Define

$$[v_0] S \oplus K = \{v \mid v = v_0 s + k \text{ where } s \in S, k \in K \text{ and } s \geq k\}.$$

Let a and b be integers. Then let ${}_a S^b$ denote the set

$$\{v \mid a \leq v \leq b\} \cap S.$$

□

The following theorem appears in de Launey and Seberry [1, Theorem 1.2.14].

Theorem 1.2: *Let $v_0 \geq 2$ be an integer. Let S be an increasing infinite sequence such that for all $t \in S$ there exists a $\text{TD}(v_0+1, t)$. Let K be a set of positive integers containing v_0 and v_0+1 . Let $k_0 = \min \{k \mid k \in K\}$ and suppose there exists a $\text{TD}(v_0+1, t_0)$ for some t_0*

not necessarily in S . Then

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{B}(\{t_0\} \cup_{t_0} S^{v_0 t_0 + k_0 - 1} \cup T \cup K) \supseteq [v_0]_{t_0} S \oplus K, \text{ where}$$

$$T = \{t \in_{v_0 t_0 + k_0} S \mid t \notin [v_0]_{t_0} S \oplus K\}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathbb{B}(\{t_0\} \cup_{t_0} S^{v_0 t_0 + k_0 - 1} \cup U \cup K) \supseteq \{v \geq v_0 t_0 + k_0\}, \text{ where}$$

$$U = \{t \mid t \geq v_0 t_0 + k_0 \text{ and } t \notin [v_0]_{t_0} S \oplus K\}. \quad \square$$

This theorem allows us to calculate small generating sets for sets of the form $\{v \geq k \mid v \notin U\}$, where K is a finite set of integers $u \geq k$

[1, Lemma 1.2.16]. We extend the theorem so that we can calculate small generating sets for sets of the form $\{v \geq k \mid v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, v \notin U\}$.

Now slightly altering a construction appearing in Wilson's paper [6, Lemma 5.1] we have the following result.

Lemma 1.3: *Let K be a set of positive integers. Suppose there exists a GDD on v points with block sizes from $\{4, 5\}$ and group sizes from K . Then*

$$3v \in \mathbb{B}(\{3k \mid k \in K\} \cup \{4\})$$

and

$$3v+1 \in \mathbb{B}(\{3k+1 \mid k \in K\} \cup \{4\}). \quad \square$$

But the construction of the PBD's in the proof of Theorem 1.2

[1, Theorem 1.2.14] in the first place relies on the construction of

GDD's with block sizes from $\{v_0, v_0+1\}$ and group sizes from

$_{t_0} S^{v_0 t_0 + k_0 - 1} \cup T \cup K \cup \{t_0\}$ in case (i) and from

$_{t_0} S^{v_0 t_0 + k_0 - 1} \cup U \cup K \cup \{t_0\}$ in case (ii). So putting $v_0 = 4$ we have

we have the following result.

Theorem 1.4: *Let S be an increasing infinite sequence such that for all $t \in S$ there exists a TD(5, t). Let K be a set of positive integers. Let $k_0 = \min\{k \mid k \in K\}$ and suppose there exists a TD(5, t_0)*

for some t_0 not necessarily in S . Then

$$(i) \quad \{3v \mid v \in [4] S \oplus K\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4\} \cup \{3v \mid v \in_{t_0} S^{4t_0 + k_0 - 1} \cup T \cup K \cup \{t_0\}\})$$

and

$$\{3v+1 \mid v \in [4] S \oplus K\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4\} \cup \{3v+1 \mid v \in_{t_0} S^{4t_0 + k_0 - 1} \cup T \cup K \cup \{t_0\}\})$$

where

$$T = {}_{t_0+k_0}S \setminus ([4]_{t_0}S + K) ,$$

$$(ii) \quad \{3v|v \geq 4t_0+k_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4\} \cup \{3v|3v|v \in {}_{t_0}S^{4t_0+k_0-1} \cup U \cup K \cup \{t_0\}\})$$

and

$$\{3v+1|v \geq 4t_0+k_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4\} \cup \{3v+1|v \in {}_{t_0}S^{4t_0+k_0-1} \cup U \cup K \cup \{t_0\}\})$$

where

$$U = \{v \geq 4t_0+k_0\} \setminus ([4]_{t_0}S \oplus K) . \quad \square$$

We apply this theorem to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.5: *The following set inequalities hold*

$$(i) \quad \{v|v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, v > 3\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,10\} \cup \{3v|v = 2,3,\dots,11,13,17\})$$

$$(ii) \quad \{v|v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } v > 3\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,7,9,10,12,15,18,19,24,27,30,39,51\})$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 1.4 with

$$S = \{4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,16,17\} \cup \{v \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6} | v \geq 17\}$$

$$K = \{2,3,4,5,6,7,\dots,17\}$$

$$t_0 = 4 .$$

When $v \geq 70$, $v - 4t \in \{2,3,\dots,17\}$ for some, $t \geq 17$, $t \in S$.

So $\{v|v \geq 70\} \subseteq [4]_t S \oplus K$. It is then a simple matter to check that

$$[4]_{t_0} S \oplus K = \{v \geq 18 | v \neq 21,26,27,28,29\} .$$

So $U = \{21,26,27,28,29\}$ and hence

$$\{3v|v \geq 18\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4\} \cup \{3v|v = 2,3,\dots,17,21,26,27,28,29\} .$$

Now $3v \in \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,9\})$ for $v \in \{12,14,15,16,21,26,27,28\}$ (see Appendix A), while $87 \in \mathbb{B}(\{6,9,10\})$ (use TD(10,9)). Thus

$$\{3v|v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, v > 3\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,10\} \cup \{3v|v = 2,3,\dots,11,13,17\})$$

Now $\{3v+1|v \geq 4\} = \mathbb{B}(\{4,7,10,19\})$ and $21, 33 \in \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,9\})$ (add suitable blocks and points to TD(4,5) and TD(4,8) respectively), so

$$\{v|v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3}, v > 3\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,7,9,10,12,15,18,19,24,27,30,39,51\}) . \quad \square$$

Because we do not as yet have designs for $v \in \{27,39\}$ we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6: *The following set inequality holds*

$$\{v|v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3}, v > 3\} \setminus \{27,39\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,7,9,10,12,15,18,19,24,30,51\}) .$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 1.4 with

$$S = \{4,5,7,8,11,12,16,17\} \cup \{v \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6} \mid v \geq 17\}$$

$$K = \{2,3,\dots,8,10,11,12,14,\dots,17,21,25\}$$

$$t_0 = 4.$$

When $v \geq 70$ there exists a $k \in \{2,3,\dots,8,10,11,12,14,\dots,17,21,25\}$ and $t \in S$ such that

$$v = 4t + k \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq k$$

except when

$$(i) \quad v = 4t + 9 \quad \text{and} \quad t = 17, 23 \text{ or } 29$$

$$(ii) \quad v = 4t + 13 \quad \text{and} \quad t = 19.$$

When $18 \leq v \leq 70$, $v \in [4] S \oplus K$ except when

$$v \in \{21,26,27,28,29,41,42,43,45,57,61,62,63,65\}.$$

So $U = \{21,26,27,28,29,41,42,43,45,57,61,62,63,65,77,89,101,125\}.$

But using the designs given in Appendix A

$$\begin{aligned} \{3v \mid v \in U\} &\subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,18,19,21,31\}) \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,7,9,10,12,15,18,19\}) \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $21 \in \mathbb{B}(\{4,6\})$ (add a point to $TD(4,5)$) and that

$31 \in \mathbb{B}(\{4,10\})$ [6, see the proof of Theorem 5.1(ii)].

Let $V = \{v \mid v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, v > 3, v \neq 27,39\}$ and apply Theorem 1.4(ii).

Then $V \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4\}) \cup \{3v \mid v \in \{2,3,\dots,8,10,11,12,14,\dots,17,21,25\}\} \cup U.$

But then, by (1.1),

$$V \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,7,9,10,12,15,18,19,21,24,30,33,36,42,\dots,51,63,75\})$$

Finally $\{36,42,45,48,63\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,9,12,15\})$ (Table 1, Appendix A),

$21, 33 \in \mathbb{B}(\{4,6,9\})$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.5), $75 \in \mathbb{B}(\{4,15\})$

(Appendix A), and $\{3v+1 \mid v \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\{4,7,10,19\})$. The result then

follows. □

§2. The Constructions

Lemma 2.1: *There exists a GBRD($v,3,4;Z_v$) for all $v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 4$ except possibly when $v = 27,39$.*

Proof. The necessary conditions give $v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3}$. Drake's theorem [2,Theorem 1.10] ensures that $v \geq 4$ but since the number of blocks, $2v(v-1)/3$, is divisible by 4 the Seberry, Street, Rodger theorem [theorem 1.4; or see 5] gives no new conditions.

By Theorem 1.6 we need to establish existence for

$$v \in \{4,6,7,9,10,12,15,18,19,24,30,51\}.$$

The required designs for $v = 4, 6, 9, 10$ and 15 are given in Appendix B. The designs for $v = 7, 12, 18$ and 30 can be obtained by developing the initial blocks indicated:

$v = 7$ develop the initial blocks

$$(0_1, 1_1, 6_i), (0_1, 2_{-1}, 5_{-i}), (0_1, 3_1, 4_i), (0_1, 1_{-1}, 3_{-i}) \pmod{7, Z_4};$$

$v = 12$ develop the initial blocks

$$(\infty_1, 3_1, 9_i), (\infty_1, 6_{-1}, 7_i), (1_1, 3_1, 4_{-i}), (3_{-1}, 5_{-1}, 9_1), (1_1, 4_1, 5_1),$$

$$(2_1, 6_{-1}, 8_1), (6_1, 7_{-1}, 10_{-i}), (2_1, 8_{-1}, 10_{-i}) \pmod{11, Z_4};$$

$v = 18$ develop the initial blocks

$$(0_1, a_1, (17-a)_i), \quad a = 1, 3, 5, 7,$$

$$(0_1, b_{-1}, (17-b)_{-i}), \quad b = 2, 4, 6, 8,$$

$$(0_1, 2_1, 6_1), (0_1, 3_{-1}, 8_1), (\infty_1, 0_1, 1_{-1}), (\infty_1, 0_1, 7_{-i}) \pmod{17, Z_4};$$

$v = 30$ develop the initial blocks

$$(0_1, a_1, (29-a)_i), \quad a = 1, 3, \dots, 13 \text{ (odd numbers),}$$

$$(0_1, b_{-1}, (29-b)_{-i}), \quad b = 4, 6, \dots, 14 \text{ (even numbers),}$$

$$(0_1, 2_{-1}, 15_1), (0_1, 2_1, 10_1), (0_1, 3_{-1}, 12_1), (0_1, 4_1, 11_{-1}), (0_1, 1_{-1}, 6_1),$$

$$(\infty_1, 0_1, 2_i), (\infty_1, 0_{-i}, 4_{-i}) \pmod{29, Z_4}$$

Finally $19 = 6(4-1) + 1$, $24 = 4 \times 6$ and $51 = 10(6-1) + 1$.

So a composition theorem applies [1, Theorem 1.1.3] to give designs for $v = 19, 24$ and 51 . □

Theorem 2.2: *There exists a GBRD($v, 3, 8; Z_u$) for all $v \geq 3$.*

Proof. By Hanani's theorem (see Proposition 5.1 of [6]) and the construction of Theorem 2.2 of Lam and Seberry [3] we only need to establish the existence of GBRD($v, 3, 8; Z_u$) for $v = 3, 4, 6$. The design for $v = 3$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & 1 & i & -i & -i & & \\ i & 1 & i & -1 & i & -i & & \end{bmatrix}$$

and the designs for $v = 4$ and 6 are two copies of the suitable designs with $\lambda = 4$ given in Appendix B. Hence we have the result □

Theorem 2.3: *There exists a GBRD($v, 3, 12; Z_4$) for all $v \geq 4$.*

Proof. By Drake's Theorem [1, Theorem 1.10] we cannot obtain this design for $v = 3$. Now combining Hanani's Theorem (as stated in [1, Corollary 1.1.2(ii)]) with Theorem 2.2 of Lam and Seberry [3] we only need to establish existence for $v \in K_4^2 = \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 22, 23\}$. Now these designs can be obtained in the following manner:

v	Construction
4	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$
5	Use SBIBD(5,4,3) and GBRD(4,3,4; Z_4) in Theorem 2.2 of [3]
6	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$
7	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$
8	Use BIBD(8,4,3) with GBRD(4,3,4; Z_4)
9	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$
10	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$
11	Use SBIBD(11,6,3) and GBRD(6,3,4; Z_4)
12	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$
14	Remove one row of SBIBD(15,7,3) to obtain a PBD($\{7,6\}, 14, 3$), use with GBRD($u, 3, 4; Z_4$), $u \in \{6, 7\}$
15	3 copies of design for $\lambda = 4$ or use SBIBD(15,7,3) and GBRD(7,3,4; Z_4)
18	Use PBD($\{6,9\}, 18, 3$) (found from an SBIBD(25,12,3) by de Launey and Seberry [1], Lemma 1.3.7, by removing the first seven rows) with GBRD($u, 3, 4; Z_4$), $u \in \{6, 9\}$.
19	$6(4-1)+1$ and so Theorem 3 of Seberry [4] applies
22	$7(4-1)+1$ and so Theorem 3 of Seberry [4] applies
23	Develop the following initial blocks $(0_1, (2t+1)_1, (22-2t)_1), (0_1, (2t)_{-1}, (23-2t)_{-1}), t = 1, \dots, 5$ all thrice, $(0_1, 1_{-1}, 2_{-1})$ thrice, $(0_1, 5_{-1}, 7_{-1})$ three times, $(0_1, 1_{-1}, 11_{-1})$ twice, $(0_1, 3_{-1}, 9_{-1})$ twice, $(0_1, 1_{-1}, 9_{-1}), (0_1, 3_{-1}, 11_{-1}), (0_1, 4_1, 8_1), (0_1, 4_1, 10_1) \pmod{23, Z_4}$.

Hence we have the result. □

Note: A straightforward construction for $\text{GBRD}(27,3,12;Z_4)$ can be obtained by using the $\text{PBD}(\{6,9\},27,3)$ of Lemma 1.3.5 of de Launey and Seberry and $\text{GPRD}(u,3,4;Z_4)$ $u \in \{6,9\}$.

Theorem 2.4: *The necessary conditions*

$$2tv(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$t \equiv 1,5 \pmod{6} \Rightarrow v \neq 3$$

are sufficient for the existence of a $\text{GBRD}(v,3,4t;Z_4)$ except possibly for $(v,t) = (27,1)$ and $(39,1)$.

Proof. The necessary conditions follow from the necessary conditions for block designs and the non-existence for $v = 3$, $t \equiv 1,5 \pmod{6}$ from Drake's Theorem [2].

To establish existence we distinguish four cases:

1. $2 \nmid t$, $3 \nmid t$ then the necessary condition is $v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3}$ and the result follows, except for $v = 27$ or 39 by taking multiple copies of the designs given in Theorem 2.1. For $v = 27$ or 39 we note $\text{GBRD}(v,3,8;Z_4)$ and $\text{GBRD}(v,3,12;Z_4)$ exist and so multiple copies give the designs for $v = 27$ or 39 and $t > 1$;
2. $2 \mid t$, $3 \nmid t$ then the necessary condition is $v \equiv 0,1 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 3$, but this is established in Theorem 2.2;
3. $2 \nmid t$, $3 \mid t$ then the necessary condition is $v \geq 4$ (by Drake's Theorem [2, Theorem 10.1]) and this is established in Theorem 2.3;
4. $2 \mid t$, $3 \mid t$, here there is no condition of v . By part 2. of this theorem we only have to consider the cases $v = 3$ and $\lambda = 12s$, s even but these can be obtained using multiples of the $\text{GBRD}(3,3,8;Z_4)$ of part 3.

Hence we have the result. □

Appendix A

Notation. By $\text{TD}(r,t)$ we denote a *transversal design* on r groups each of size t . □

Table 1 gives designs needed for Theorem 1.5. In particular it lists GDD's which have been constructed to satisfy Lemma 1.3. See Street and Rodger for the construction involving GBRD's. The constructions involving point and block removals from certain designs are quite standard [6, Remarks 3.5 and 3.6]. Table 2 gives PBD designs needed in Theorem 1.6. Any references given in a table give a place where a design used in a construction can be found. The reader should note MacNeish's Theorem [6, Theorem 3.2].

Table 1. (GDD's on $3v$ points satisfying Lemma 1.3.)

v																																					
12	Obtain a GDD by removing a point from SBIBD(13,4,1).																																				
14	Use GBRD(7,4,2; Z_2) de Launey and Seberry [1, Theorem 4.1.1].																																				
15	GBRD(5,4,3; Z_3) [1, Lemma 5.1.1].																																				
16	Use TD(4,4).																																				
21	<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td>0000</td> <td>1111</td> <td>0000</td> <td>0000</td> <td>0000</td> <td>0000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>0</td> <td>I</td> <td>A</td> <td>A³</td> <td>A²</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>A²</td> <td>0</td> <td>I</td> <td>A</td> <td>A³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>A³</td> <td>A²</td> <td>0</td> <td>I</td> <td>A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>A</td> <td>A³</td> <td>A²</td> <td>0</td> <td>I</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>A</td> <td>A³</td> <td>A²</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	0000	1111	0000	0000	0000	0000	I	0	I	A	A ³	A ²	I	A ²	0	I	A	A ³	I	A ³	A ²	0	I	A	I	A	A ³	A ²	0	I	I	I	A	A ³	A ²	0
0000	1111	0000	0000	0000	0000																																
I	0	I	A	A ³	A ²																																
I	A ²	0	I	A	A ³																																
I	A ³	A ²	0	I	A																																
I	A	A ³	A ²	0	I																																
I	I	A	A ³	A ²	0																																
	<p style="text-align: center;">where $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$</p>																																				
26	GBRD(13,4,2; Z_2) [1, Theorem 4.1.1]																																				
27	GBRD(9,4,3; Z_3) [1, Lemma 5.1.1]																																				
28	Use TD(4,7).																																				

Table 2. (PB-design on v points)

v	
123	TD(10,13) \in 123 $\mathcal{B}(\{6,9,10,13\})$.
126	TD(10,13) \in 126 $\mathcal{B}(\{9,10,13\})$.
129	TD(10,13) \in 129 $\mathcal{B}(\{9,10,12,13\})$.
171	TD(9,19) \in 171 $\mathcal{B}(\{9,19\})$.
183	TD(10,19) \in 183 $\mathcal{B}(\{9,10,12,19\})$.
186	TD(10,19) \in 186 $\mathcal{B}(\{9,10,15,19\})$.
189	TD(10,19) \in 189 $\mathcal{B}(\{9,10,18,19\})$.
195	TD(7,31) \in 195 $\mathcal{B}(\{6,7,9,31\})$.

Finally 75 and 135 $\in \mathcal{B}(\{4,15\})$. There exist a GBRD(4,4,5; Z_5) [1, Theorem 2.2(iii)(b)] and a GBRD(u,4,3; Z_3) for $u \in \{5,9\}$ [1, Theorem 5.1.1] so there exists a GBRD(u,4,15; Z_{15}) for $u \in \{5,9\}$ and hence a GDD with u groups of size 15 and with all blocks of size 4. It follows that 75 and 135 $\in \mathcal{B}(\{4,15\})$. □

GBRD(15,3,4; Z_4) has blocks with $Z_4 = \{1,2,3,4\}$

1 ₁ 2 ₁ 3 ₁	1 ₁ 6 ₃ 8 ₂	2 ₁ 3 ₂ 4 ₁	2 ₁ 8 ₄ 14 ₂	3 ₁ 5 ₂ 11 ₁
1 ₁ 2 ₂ 4 ₁	1 ₁ 6 ₄ 9 ₂	2 ₁ 3 ₃ 11 ₁	2 ₁ 9 ₂ 10 ₁	3 ₁ 5 ₃ 12 ₁
1 ₁ 2 ₃ 5 ₁	1 ₁ 7 ₂ 10 ₃	2 ₁ 3 ₄ 12 ₁	2 ₁ 9 ₃ 13 ₄	3 ₁ 5 ₄ 15 ₃
1 ₁ 2 ₄ 6 ₁	1 ₁ 7 ₄ 11 ₂	2 ₁ 4 ₂ 5 ₁	2 ₁ 9 ₄ 15 ₁	3 ₁ 6 ₂ 11 ₄
1 ₁ 3 ₂ 7 ₁	1 ₁ 8 ₃ 12 ₂	2 ₁ 4 ₃ 12 ₂	2 ₁ 10 ₂ 13 ₃	3 ₁ 6 ₃ 14 ₁
1 ₁ 3 ₃ 8 ₁	1 ₁ 8 ₄ 13 ₂	2 ₁ 5 ₂ 6 ₁	2 ₁ 10 ₃ 14 ₄	3 ₁ 6 ₄ 15 ₂
1 ₁ 3 ₄ 9 ₁	1 ₁ 9 ₃ 14 ₂	2 ₁ 5 ₄ 15 ₂	2 ₁ 10 ₄ 15 ₃	3 ₁ 7 ₁ 11 ₂
1 ₁ 4 ₂ 10 ₁	1 ₁ 9 ₄ 15 ₂	2 ₁ 6 ₃ 7 ₁	2 ₁ 11 ₂ 12 ₄	3 ₁ 7 ₂ 13 ₁
1 ₁ 4 ₃ 11 ₁	1 ₁ 10 ₂ 11 ₃	2 ₁ 6 ₄ 12 ₃	2 ₁ 11 ₄ 14 ₃	3 ₁ 7 ₃ 14 ₂
1 ₁ 4 ₄ 12 ₁	1 ₁ 10 ₄ 12 ₃	2 ₁ 7 ₂ 8 ₁	2 ₁ 11 ₃ 15 ₄	3 ₁ 8 ₁ 12 ₃
1 ₁ 5 ₂ 13 ₁	1 ₁ 11 ₄ 13 ₃	2 ₁ 7 ₃ 13 ₁	3 ₁ 4 ₁ 6 ₁	3 ₁ 8 ₂ 13 ₃
1 ₁ 5 ₃ 14 ₁	1 ₁ 12 ₄ 14 ₃	2 ₁ 7 ₄ 14 ₁	3 ₁ 4 ₃ 10 ₁	3 ₁ 8 ₄ 14 ₃
1 ₁ 5 ₄ 15 ₁	1 ₁ 13 ₄ 15 ₃	2 ₁ 8 ₂ 9 ₁	3 ₁ 4 ₂ 15 ₁	3 ₁ 9 ₁ 10 ₃
1 ₁ 6 ₂ 7 ₃	1 ₁ 14 ₄ 15 ₄	2 ₁ 8 ₃ 13 ₂	3 ₁ 5 ₁ 10 ₂	3 ₁ 9 ₃ 14 ₂
3 ₁ 9 ₄ 14 ₄	4 ₁ 9 ₂ 14 ₃	5 ₁ 8 ₂ 11 ₃	6 ₁ 9 ₄ 14 ₂	8 ₁ 10 ₁ 15 ₁
3 ₁ 10 ₄ 13 ₄	4 ₁ 9 ₄ 15 ₃	5 ₁ 8 ₃ 14 ₄	6 ₁ 10 ₂ 13 ₁	8 ₁ 11 ₁ 15 ₃
3 ₁ 12 ₄ 15 ₄	4 ₁ 10 ₂ 14 ₄	5 ₁ 9 ₁ 11 ₂	6 ₁ 10 ₃ 15 ₄	9 ₁ 10 ₁ 11 ₃
4 ₁ 5 ₁ 7 ₁	4 ₁ 11 ₄ 14 ₂	5 ₁ 9 ₂ 12 ₄	6 ₁ 13 ₄ 15 ₂	9 ₁ 11 ₁ 12 ₄
4 ₁ 5 ₂ 8 ₁	4 ₁ 8 ₃ 11 ₂	5 ₁ 9 ₄ 13 ₂	7 ₁ 8 ₁ 9 ₃	10 ₁ 11 ₄ 12 ₁
4 ₁ 5 ₃ 9 ₁	4 ₁ 12 ₁ 13 ₂	5 ₁ 13 ₁ 14 ₁	7 ₁ 8 ₂ 12 ₂	10 ₁ 12 ₂ 14 ₄
4 ₁ 6 ₂ 10 ₁	4 ₁ 12 ₃ 15 ₂	5 ₁ 14 ₂ 15 ₁	7 ₁ 8 ₃ 15 ₄	10 ₁ 13 ₃ 14 ₁
4 ₁ 6 ₄ 11 ₁	5 ₁ 6 ₁ 10 ₁	6 ₁ 7 ₁ 12 ₁	7 ₁ 9 ₂ 11 ₁	11 ₁ 12 ₁ 13 ₃
4 ₁ 6 ₃ 13 ₁	5 ₁ 6 ₂ 11 ₁	6 ₁ 7 ₄ 14 ₄	7 ₁ 9 ₄ 12 ₄	11 ₁ 13 ₂ 14 ₁
4 ₁ 7 ₂ 13 ₃	5 ₁ 6 ₃ 12 ₁	6 ₁ 8 ₃ 11 ₁	7 ₁ 9 ₁ 15 ₁	11 ₁ 13 ₁ 15 ₁
4 ₁ 7 ₃ 14 ₁	5 ₁ 7 ₂ 10 ₄	6 ₁ 8 ₁ 14 ₁	7 ₁ 10 ₄ 11 ₄	11 ₁ 14 ₂ 15 ₄
4 ₁ 7 ₄ 15 ₁	5 ₁ 7 ₄ 12 ₂	6 ₁ 8 ₂ 15 ₁	7 ₁ 10 ₁ 15 ₃	12 ₁ 13 ₄ 14 ₁
4 ₁ 8 ₂ 9 ₃	5 ₁ 7 ₃ 13 ₃	6 ₁ 9 ₁ 12 ₂	8 ₁ 9 ₁ 10 ₂	12 ₁ 13 ₁ 15 ₂
4 ₁ 8 ₄ 13 ₄	5 ₁ 8 ₁ 10 ₃	6 ₁ 9 ₂ 13 ₂	8 ₁ 10 ₄ 12 ₂	12 ₁ 14 ₂ 15 ₃

References

- [1] W. de Launey and Jennifer Seberry, Generalised Bhaskar Rao designs of block size 4, *Congressus Numeratum* 40, (1984), 229-294.
- [2] D.A. Drake, Partial λ -geometries and generalized Hadamard matrices over groups, *Canad. J. Math.*, 31, (1979), 617-627.
- [3] Clement Lam and Jennifer Seberry, Generalized Bhaskar Rao designs, *J. Statistical, Planning and Inference* 10, (1984), 83-95.
- [4] Jennifer Seberry, Regular group divisible designs and Bhaskar Rao designs with block size three, *J. Statistical Planning and Inference* 10, (1984), 69-82.
- [5] Deborah J. Street and C.A. Rodger, Some results on Bhaskar Rao designs, *Combinatorial Mathematics VII*. Edited by R.W. Robinson, G.W. Southern and W.D. Wallis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 829, (Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York), (1980), 238-245.
- [6] R.M. Wilson, Construction and uses of pairwise balanced designs, *Combinatorics*. Edited by M. Hall Jr. and J.H. van Lint, (Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam), (1975), 18-41.