

JENNIFER SEBERRY

*Constructions are given for generalised Hadamard matrices and weighing matrices
with entries from abelian groups.*

*These are then used to construct families of SBIBDs giving alternate proofs to
those of Rajkundlia.*

1. DEFINITION

A generalised Hadamard matrix $GH(n,G)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with elements from the abelian group G of order $|G|$ such that if $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\underline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are any two rows of $GH(n,G)$ then the elements $a_i b_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ give $n/|G|$ copies of G . These matrices were considered by Butson [4,5], by Shrikhande [18] in connection with combinatorial designs, by Delsarte and Goethals [6,7] in connection with codes and Drake [8] in connection with λ - geometries.

A generalised weighing matrix $GW(n,k,G)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with elements from the abelian group G of order $|G|$ and zero, there are k non-zero elements per row and column and if $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\underline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are any two rows of $GW(n,k,G)$ then the elements $a_i b_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ give λ_{ab} copies of G . If λ_{ab} is a constant for all a and b we have a balanced weighing matrix.

Weighing matrices, the special case with G the cyclic group of order 2 have been studied extensively [10,11,13,19,22]. Their name comes from Yates [25] who gave an application in the accuracy of measurements. Balanced weighing matrices have been studied in connection with combinatorial designs by Mullin and Stanton [14,15,16,21] and Berman [2]. Complex weighing matrices have been studied by Berman [3] and Geramita and Geramita [9].

To illustrate that Berman's generalised weighing matrices and ours are not the same we consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & i \\ 1 & 0 & i & 1 \\ i^2 & i & 0 & 1 \\ i & i^2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which satisfies $AA^* = 3I$ and is a $W(4,3,Z_4)$ when $i^2 = -1$ but is not a generalised weighing matrix by our definition as the product of rows 1 and 2 is $\{i, i^2\}$ and we need $\{1, i, i^2, i^3\}$.

Notation. Throughout this paper we use Z_q for the cyclic group on q symbols and $C_{p,r}$ for the elementary abelian group $Z_p \times Z_p \times \dots \times Z_p$.

For our purposes an $SBIBD(v,k,\lambda)$ is a matrix with entries 0 and 1 of order v with k ones per row and column and inner product between rows of λ .

David Glynn [12] has found the only $GW(v,k,G)$ known to the author where G is

not an abelian group. Consider the multiplication table for S_3

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	$1 \leftrightarrow e$
2	2	3	1	5	6	4	$2 \leftrightarrow (123)(456)$
3	3	1	2	6	4	5	$3 \leftrightarrow (132)(465)$
4	4	6	5	1	3	2	$4 \leftrightarrow (14)(26)(35)$
5	5	4	6	2	1	3	$5 \leftrightarrow (15)(24)(36)$
6	6	5	4	3	2	1	$6 \leftrightarrow (16)(25)(34)$

Then the circulant matrix with first row

$$[0 \ 5 \ 1 \ 4 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 6 \ 5 \ 6 \ 0 \ 4 \ 0]$$

is a generalised weighing matrix $GW(13,9,S_3)$.

2. A FAMILY OF GENERALISED WEIGHING MATRICES

We first give a more direct construction for a result implicit in the work of Rajkundlia. We note that our matrix implies the one of Berman but has an additional property and is obtained quite differently.

Let γ be a primitive element of $GF(p^r)$. Let $q \mid p^r - 1$ and let α be a generator of Z_q , the cyclic group. Write $g_1 = 0, g_2, \dots, g_{p^r}$ for the elements of $GF(p^r)$ and define $M = (m_{ij})$ of order $p^r + 1$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ii} &= 0 \\ m_{ij} &= \alpha^k & \text{where } g_j - g_i = \gamma^k \\ m_{j0} &= m_{j0} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Example. Let γ be a primitive element of $GF(2^2)$ and ω be a primitive element of $GF(3)$ where $q = 3$. Write $g_1 = 0, g_2 = 1, g_3 = \gamma, g_4 = \gamma + 1$ for the elements of $GF(2^2)$ using $\gamma^2 = \gamma + 1$. Now

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We note that M is a $GW(5,4,Z_3)$.

Example. Let $\gamma = 3$ be a primitive element of $GF(7)$ and ω be a primitive element of $GF(3)$ where $q = 3$. Write $g_i = i - 1, i = 1, \dots, 7$ for the elements of $GF(7)$. Now

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We note M is a $\text{GH}(8,7, Z_3)$.

Theorem 1. Suppose p^r is a prime power and $q \mid p^r - 1$. Then there exists a balanced $\text{GW}(p^r+1, p^r, Z_q)$.

Proof. Construct M of order p^r+1 as above. We show M is the required $\text{GW}(p^r+1, p^r, Z_q)$. First M has the elements $0, 1$ ($(p^r-1)/q+1$ times), and $\alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{q-1}$ (each $(p^r-1)q$ times) in each row (column) but the first. So we have the group property with respect to the first row.

We now consider the other rows. We consider $q = p^r - 1$. Suppose $g_j - g_i = \gamma^b$, $g_j - g_k = \gamma^s$ then $m_{ij} = \alpha^b$, $m_{kj} = \alpha^s$. We wish to show that $m_{ij}m_{kj}^{-1} = \alpha^{b-s}$ cannot arise in any other way. We proceed by reductio ad absurdum. Suppose there exists other entries so $g_m - g_i = \alpha^a$ and $g_m - g_k = \gamma^r$, where $m_{mi} = \gamma^a$ and $m_{mk} = \alpha^r$. That is, $m_{mi}m_{mk}^{-1} = \alpha^{a-r}$ where $a-r = b-s$. Then $g_k - g_i = \gamma^a - \gamma^r = \gamma^r(\gamma^{a-r} - 1)$ and $g_k - g_i = \gamma^b - \gamma^s = \gamma^s(\gamma^{b-s} - 1)$. So $s = r$ and $a = b$. But this means there were no other entries. Hence each of the $p^r - 2$ elements $m_{ij}m_{kj}^{-1}$, $i \neq j$, $k \neq j$, $j = 1, \dots, q$ is different. It is not possible for $m_{ij} = m_{kj}$ so the $p^r - 2$ elements are $\alpha, \dots, \alpha^{q-1}$. The 1 comes from $m_{i0}m_{k0}^{-1}$.

We saw that when $q = p^r - 1$ the $p^r - 2$ elements $m_{ij}m_{kj}^{-1}$, $i \neq j$, $k \neq j$, $j = 1, \dots, q$ where $\alpha, \dots, \alpha^{q-1}$. Hence if $q_1 \mid p^r - 1$ so $\alpha^{q_1} = 1$ these $p^r - 2$ elements will be $\alpha, \dots, \alpha^{q_1-1}$ ($(p^r-1)/q_1$ times) and 1 ($(p^r-1)/q_1 - 1$ times). The additional 1 comes from $m_{i0}m_{k0}^{-1}$.

So we have a generalised $\text{GW}(p^r+1, p^r, Z_q)$. The matrix is balanced as the underlying SBIBD is (p^r+1, p^r, p^r-1) .

Remark. This construction was first given for $q = 4$ in [19, p.297].

3. SOME GENERALISED HADAMARD MATRICES $\text{GH}(p^r, C_{p^r})$ and $\text{GH}(p^r(p^r-1), C_{p^r})$

The $\text{GH}(p^r, Z_p \times \dots \times Z_p)$ was first noted by Drake [8] but we give it here for illustrative purposes.

Let x be a primitive element of $\text{GF}(p^r)$. We form

$$X = (x^{j-i+1 \pmod{p^r-1}})$$

Now the generalised Hadamard matrix on the elementary abelian group in additive form is formed by reducing the elements of X modulo a primitive polynomial and adding a zeroth row and column which is the additive identity. This matrix can now be written multiplicatively to obtain $\text{GH}(p^r, Z_p \times Z_p \times \dots \times Z_p)$.

For example, let x be a primitive element of $GF(3^2)$. We form

$$\begin{matrix}
 X = x & x^2 & x^3 & \dots & x^6 \\
 & x^6 & x & x & \dots & x^7 \\
 & \vdots & & & & \\
 & x^2 & x^3 & x^4 & \dots & x
 \end{matrix}$$

then the generalised Hadamard matrix using $x^2 = x + 1$ is

$$\begin{matrix}
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & x & x+1 & 2x+1 & 2 & 2x & 2x+2 & x+2 & 1 \\
 0 & 1 & x & x+1 & 2x+1 & 2 & 2x & 2x+2 & x+2 \\
 0 & x+2 & 1 & x & x+1 & 2x+1 & 2 & 2x & 2x+2 \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 0 & x+1 & 2x+1 & 2 & 2x & 2x+2 & x+2 & 1 & x
 \end{matrix}$$

or in multiplicative form

$$\begin{matrix}
 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 1 & a & ab & a^2b & b^2 & a^2 & a^2b^2 & ab^2 & b \\
 1 & b & a & ab & a^2b & b^2 & a^2 & a^2b^2 & ab^2 \\
 1 & ab^2 & b & a & ab & a^2b & b^2 & a^2 & a^2b^2 \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 1 & ab & a^2b & b^2 & a^2 & a^2b^2 & ab^2 & b & a
 \end{matrix}$$

The corresponding matrices, if $x (=3)$ is a primitive element of $GF(5)$, are

$$\begin{matrix}
 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 x & x^2 & x^3 & x^4 & & 0 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & a^3 & a^4 & a^2 & a \\
 x^4 & x & x^2 & x^3 & & 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & a & a^3 & a^4 & a^2 \\
 x^3 & x^4 & x & x^2 & & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 1 & a^2 & a & a^3 & a^4 \\
 x^2 & x^3 & x^4 & x & & 0 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & a^4 & a^2 & a & a^3
 \end{matrix}$$

For reference purposes we note the following theorem. A direct proof of (ii), inspired by Rajkundlia, will appear elsewhere.

Theorem 2. (i) Suppose p^r is a prime power. Then there is a $GH(p^r, C_{p^r})$ where C_{p^r} is the elementary abelian group.

(ii) Suppose p^r and $p^r - 1$ are both prime powers. Then there is a $GH(p^r(p^r-1), C_{p^r})$ where C_{p^r} is the elementary abelian group.

Example of construction of $GH(12, Z_2 \times Z_2)$

	e	a	b	ab		has core C = e	ab	b
e	e	a	b	ab			ab	a
a	a	e	ab	b			b	e
b	b	ab	e	a				
ab	ab	b	a	e				

The generalised Hadamard matrix of order 4:

$$\begin{matrix}
 e & e & e & e \\
 e & a & b & ab \\
 e & b & ab & a \\
 e & ab & a & b
 \end{matrix}
 \quad \text{has core } K = \begin{matrix} a & b & ab \\ b & ab & a \\ ab & a & b \end{matrix}$$

Let I, T, T^2 of order 3 be a matrix representation of ϵ, w, w^2 where w is a cube root of unity, then

$$W = \begin{matrix} \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & w & w^2 \\ \epsilon & w^2 & w \end{matrix}$$

is a generalised Hadamard matrix of order 3.

Now define

$$C*W = \begin{matrix} \epsilon\epsilon & ab\epsilon & b\epsilon \\ ab\epsilon & \epsilon w & aw^2 \\ b\epsilon & aw^2 & \epsilon w \end{matrix}$$

and

$$D = \begin{matrix} \epsilon K & abK & bK \\ abK & \epsilon KT & aKT^2 \\ bK & aKT^2 & \epsilon KT \end{matrix}$$

and the following is the required matrix:

$$\begin{matrix}
 \underline{c} & \underline{c} & \underline{e} & \underline{g} & \underline{g} & \underline{g} \\
 \underline{e} & \underline{e} & \underline{e} & \underline{b} & \underline{ab} & \underline{a} \\
 \underline{e} & \underline{e} & \underline{e} & \underline{ab} & \underline{a} & \underline{b} \\
 \underline{g}' & \underline{b}' & \underline{ab}' & \underline{eK} & \underline{abK} & \underline{bK} \\
 \underline{g}' & \underline{ab}' & \underline{a}' & \underline{abK} & \underline{eKT} & \underline{aKT^2} \\
 \underline{g}' & \underline{a}' & \underline{b}' & \underline{bK} & \underline{aKT^2} & \underline{eKT}
 \end{matrix}$$

where $\underline{a} = [a \ a \ a]$ and $\underline{a}' = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ a \\ a \end{bmatrix}$. Explicitly

e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	
e	e	e	b	b	b	ab	ab	ab	a	a	a	
e	e	e	ab	ab	ab	a	a	a	b	b	b	
e	ab	b	a	b	ab	b	a	e	ab	e	a	
e	ab	b	ab	a	b	e	b	a	a	ab	e	
e	ab	b	b	ab	a	a	e	b	e	a	ab	
G =	e	b	a	ab	e	a	b	e	ab	b	ab	a
	e	b	a	a	ab	e	ab	b	e	a	b	ab
	e	b	a	e	a	ab	e	ab	b	ab	a	b
	e	a	ab	b	a	e	b	ab	a	b	e	ab
	e	a	ab	e	b	a	a	b	ab	ab	b	e
	e	a	ab	a	e	b	ab	a	b	e	ab	b

is a $GI(12, Z_2 \times Z_2)$.

4. USING $GW(v, k, G)$ TO CONSTRUCT SBIBD

Write P for the matrix with 1 where David Glynn's $GW(13, 9, S_3)$ has zeros and 0 where the GW is non-zero and $e = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Then, as Glynn observed,

$$DG = \begin{bmatrix} P^T & I_{13 \times e} \\ I_{13 \times e}^T & GW(13, 9, S_3) \text{ with the} \\ & \text{group elements replaced} \\ & \text{by their permutation} \\ & \text{matrix representation} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the incidence matrix of the Hughes plane of order 9.

In general, we can say

Lemma 3. Suppose there exists a $GW(p^2+p+1, p^2, G)$, $|G| = p(p-1)$. Then forming DG similarly to the above we have the incidence matrix of a tangentially transitive projective plane of order p^2 .

Remark. If G is an "interesting group" then the related projective plane will also be "interesting".

We now give some other constructions using generalised weighing matrices.

Theorem 4. Suppose there is a generalised balanced weighing matrix $W = GW(v, k, Z_d)$ with entries, θ^i , which are d^{th} roots of unity. Suppose the underlying SBIBD has parameters (v, k, λ) . Then if $d(v-k) = k-1$ there exists a BIBD

$$(vd^2, vd(d+1), k(d+1), kd, k)$$

and an SBIBD

$$(vd(d+1)+1, vd+1, k).$$

Proof. Each entry θ^i , of the $GW(v, k, Z_d)$, is first replaced by $\theta^i GI(d, Z_d)$ where $GI(d, Z_d)$ is the generalised Hadamard matrix. Now W_B of order vd^2 with kd ones per row and column is formed by replacing each element, θ^i , by its permutation matrix representation A_i of order d . W_B has inner products $0, k, \lambda$.

W_A and W_C are formed by replacing 0 by O_d , the $d \times d$ zero matrix, and θ^i by $e \times A_i$ and $e^T \times A_i$ respectively in W , with e the $d \times 1$ matrix of ones. Now W_A has inner

products $k, 0, \lambda/d$, is of size $vd^2 \times vd$, and has k ones per row and kd ones per column.

$\begin{bmatrix} W_A & W_B \end{bmatrix}$ is the required BIBD.

The matrix W_D is now obtained by replacing each zero element of W by J_d the $d \times d$ matrix of ones and each non-zero element by 0_d . Then, with f the $1 \times vd$ matrix of ones,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & f & 0 \\ f^T & W_D & W_C \\ 0 & W_A & W_B \end{bmatrix}$$

is the required SBIBD.

Example. Berman has shown that there is a circulant matrix $W = W((2^{t+1}-1)/3, 2^{t-1})$, $t \geq 3$ odd, with entries the cube roots of unity $1, \omega, \omega^2$. Since 3 is prime, W is a balanced CW $((2^{t+1}-1)/3, 2^{t-1}, Z_3)$. We replace each element ω^i by

$$\omega^i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{bmatrix}$$

and 0 by 0_3 . We form W_B by replacing ω^i by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^i$ and 0 by 0_3 .

W_A and W_C are obtained by replacing 0 by 0_3 and ω^i by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^i \quad \text{and} \quad [1 \ 1 \ 1] \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^i$$

respectively.

Since W is orthogonal, the inner product of any two rows is a multiple λ of $1 + \omega + \omega^2$. Further, since replacing $1, \omega, \omega^2$ by 1 gives the incidence matrix of a $((2^{t+1}-1)/3, 2^{t-1}, 3 \cdot 2^{t-3})$ difference set, we see $\lambda = 2^{t-3}$. Now W_B is of order $3(2^{t+1}-1)$ has $3 \cdot 2^{t-1}$ ones per row and column and has inner products of rows $0, 2^{t-1}$ or $3 \cdot 2^{t-3}$; W_A is of size $3(2^{t+1}-1) \times (2^{t+1}-1)$, has 2^{t-1} ones per row and $2^{t-1}, 0$ or 2^{t-3} ; W_C is of size $(2^{t+1}-1) \times 3(2^{t+1}-1)$, has $3 \cdot 2^{t-1}$ ones per row and 2^{t-1} ones per column; further, it has inner products 0 or $3 \cdot 2^{t-3}$.

$\begin{bmatrix} W_A & W_B \end{bmatrix}$ is a BIBD $(3(2^{t+1}-1), 2^{t+3}-4, 2^{t+1}, 3 \cdot 2^{t-1}, 2^{t-1})$.

We form W_D by replacing the zeros of W by J_3 and all other elements by 0_3 . Since W_D is based on a $((2^{t+1}-3)/3, (2^{t-1}-1)/3, (2^{t-3}-1)/3)$ difference set, it has $2^{t-1}-1$ ones per row and column and inner products $2^{t-3}-1$ and $2^{t-1}-1$. So with the $1 \times (2^{t+1}-1)$ matrix of ones we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & e & 0 \\ e^T & W_D & W_C \\ 0 & W_A & W_B \end{bmatrix}$$

is the incidence matrix of a $(2^{t+3}-3, 2^{t+1}, 2^{t-1})$ SBIBD.

So we have a new proof of a case of a theorem of Rajkundlia.

Corollary 5. Let $t > 3$ be odd. Then there exists an SBIBD with parameters $(2^{t+3}-3, 2^{t+1}, 2^{t-1})$.

Example. Berman exhibits a $W(16, 21)$ with entries which are cube roots of unity. Since $d = 3$, $v = 21$, $k = 16$ satisfies $3(21-16) = 16-1$, the theorem tells us there is an SBIBD $(253, 64, 16)$.

Corollary 6. Suppose there is a $GW(p+1, p, Z_{p-1})$. Then there exists an SBIBD with parameters $(p(p^2-1)+1, p^2, p)$. In particular, an SBIBD $(p(p^2-1)+1, p^2, p)$ exists whenever p is a prime power.

This family of SBIBDs has recently been found by Becker and Piper [1] and in more general form by Rajkundlia.

Theorem 7. Suppose there is a balanced generalised weighing matrix $GW(v, k, Z_q)$. Suppose the underlying SBIBD has parameters (v, k, λ) . Then if $v-1 = (v-k)(d-1)$ there exists an SBIBD

$$(dv, k+d(v-k), d(v-k)).$$

Proof. Replace each non-zero element by its $d \times d$ permutation matrix representation and each zero element by the $d \times d$ matrix of ones.

Berman found circulant $W((2^{t+1}-1)/3, 2^{t-1})$, $t > 3$ odd, with entries which are cube roots of unity. Since 3 is a prime, this matrix is a balanced $GW((2^{t+1}-1)/3, 2^{t-1}, Z_3)$. This satisfies the conditions of the theorem and so we have the family of SBIBDs $(2^{t+1}-1, 2^{t-1}, 2^{t-1}-1)$ which is, of course, well-known.

Corollary 8. Suppose there exists a $GW(p^2+1, p^2, Z_{p+1})$. Then there exists an SBIBD $(\frac{p^4-1}{p-1}, \frac{p^3-1}{p-1}, \frac{p^2-1}{p-1})$.

This gives the well-known family of SBIBDs $(\frac{p^4-1}{p-1}, \frac{p^3-1}{p-1}, \frac{p^2-1}{p-1})$ when p is a prime power for in this case we know the $GW(p^2+1, p^2, Z_{p+1})$ exists from Theorem 1.

5. USING GENERALISED HADAMARD MATRICES

We now give an alternate construction for the SBIBD of Corollary 6.

Theorem 9. Suppose there exists a generalised Hadamard matrix $GH(qp^i(p-1), C_p)$ where C_p is an abelian group. Further, suppose an SBIBD $(p(qp^{i-1}-1), qp^i, qp^{i-1})$ exists with incidence matrix containing $M_1 = J_{qp^i-p+1}$. Then there exists an SBIBD $(p(qp^{i+1}-1)+1, qp^{i+1}, qp^i)$.

Proof. Let e_t be the $1 \times t$ matrix of ones and J_t the $t \times t$ matrix of ones. Let 0_a , 0_b and 0_c be zero matrices of sizes $x \times y$, $y \times x$ and $y \times y$ respectively, where $x = p(qp^i-p+1)$ and $y = p^2 - 2p + 1$. Let A_1, \dots, A_p be the $p \times p$ permutation

matrix representation of C_p . Write $G_i(A)$ for the $(0,1)$ matrix obtained by replacing each element of C_p by its appropriate matrix representation. Then $GH(A)$ is a symmetrical group divisible design with parameters

$$(qp^{i+1}(p-1), qp^{i+1}(p-1), qp^i(p-1), qp^i(p-1), 0, qp^{i-1}(p-1), qp^i(p-1), p).$$

We write the incidence matrix of the SBIBD $(p(qp^i-1)+1, qp^i, qp^{i-1})$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & X \\ M_3 & Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ Y & M_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

where M_1 is $(qp^i-p+1) \times (qp^i-p+1)$, M_2 is $(qp^i-p+1) \times qp^i(p-1)$, M_3 is $qp^i(p-1) \times (qp^i-p+1)$ and M_4 is $qp^i(p-1) \times qp^i(p-1)$. Now form

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} M_1 \times J_p & 0_a & e_p \times X \\ 0_b & 0_c & \\ \hline e_p^T \times Y & & GH(A) \end{array} \right]$$

which is the incidence matrix of the required SBIBD.

We note in passing that

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_p^T \times Y & GH(A) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a pairwise balanced design $(qp^{i+1}(p-1); qp^{i+1}, qp^i(p-1); qp^i)$.

In particular, we note that if $q = 1$ and p and $p-1$ are both prime powers, the $GH(p^i(p-1), C_p)$ exists for all positive i , as does the SBIBD $(p^2-p+1, p, 1)$. So an SBIBD $(p(p^2-1)+1, p^2, p)$ of the right form exists by the theorem. Hence, by induction we have Rajkundia's theorem as a corollary.

Corollary 9. Suppose p and $p-1$ are prime powers. Then there exists an SBIBD $(p(p^{i+1}-1)+1, p^{i+1}, p^i)$ for all positive i .

Example.

1	1	1				e	e				
1	1	1						e	e		
1	1	1								e	e
						e		e			
						e			e		e
							e	e			e
									e	e	
f			f	f		I	I	I	I	I	I
f					f	f	I	I	T	T ²	T ²
	f		f		f		I	T	I	T	T ²
		f			f		I	T ²	T	I	T ²
			f	f		f	I	T ²	T ²	T	I
				f	f	f	I	T	T ²	T ²	T

where $e = [1 \ 1 \ 1]$ and $f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a $(25, 9, 3)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Beker and F. Piper, Some designs which admit strong tactical decompositions, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 22 (1977), 38-42.
- [2] Gerald Berman, Weighing matrices and group divisible designs determined by $EG(t, p^n)$, $t > 2$, *Utilitas Math.* 12 (1977), 183-192.
- [3] Gerald Berman, Families of generalised weighing matrices, CODR 77/18, Dept. Combinatorics and Optimisation, Univ. of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada 1977. *Canad. J. Math.* 30 (1978), 1016-1028.
- [4] A.T. Butson, Generalised Hadamard matrices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (1962) 894-898.
- [5] A.T. Butson, Relations among generalised Hadamard matrices, relative difference sets and maximal length recurring sequences, *Canad. J. Math.* 15 (1963), 42-48.
- [6] P. Delsarte and J.M. Goethals, Tri-weight codes and generalised Hadamard matrices, *Information and Control*, 15 (1969), 196-206.
- [7] P. Delsarte and J.M. Goethals, On quadratic residue-like sequences in Abelian groups, Report R168, MBL Research Laboratory, Brussels, 1971.
- [8] David A. Drake, Partial λ -geometries and generalised Hadamard matrices over groups, *Canad. J. Math.* (to appear).
- [9] Anthony V. Geramita and Joan Murphy Geramita, Complex orthogonal designs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A*.
- [10] Anthony V. Geramita and Jennifer Seberry, *Orthogonal designs: Quadratic Forms and Hadamard Matrices*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.

- [11] Anthony V. Ceramita, Norman J. Pullman and Jennifer Seberry Wallis, Families of weighing matrices, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 10 (1974), 119-122 (= Report of Algebra Group, Queen's University, Kingston 1972/3, (1973), 260-264).
- [12] David Glynn, *Finite projective planes and related combinatorial systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 1978.
- [13] Richard Hain, *Circulant weighing matrices*, M.Sc. Thesis, A.N.U., Canberra 1977.
- [14] R.C. Mullin, A note on balanced weighing matrices. *Combinatorial Mathematics III*, Proceedings of Third Australian Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics, Brisbane, 1974, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 452, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975, 28-41.
- [15] R.C. Mullin and R.G. Stanton, Group matrices and balanced weighing designs, *Utilitas Math.* 8 (1975), 277-301.
- [16] R.C. Mullin and R.G. Stanton, Balanced weighing matrices and group divisible designs, *Utilitas Math.* 8 (1975), 303-310.
- [17] Jane W. di Paola, Jennifer Seberry Wallis and W.D. Wallis, A list of (v, b, r, k, λ) designs for $r \leq 30$. *Proc. Fourth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Congressus Numerationum Utilitas Publishing Corp., Winnipeg, Canada, 1973, 249-258.
- [18] Dinesh Rajkundlia, *Some techniques for constructing new infinite families of balanced incomplete block designs*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada, 1978 (= Queen's Mathematical Reprint No. 1978-16).
- [19] Jennifer Seberry Wallis, Hadamard matrices. Part IV of *Combinatorics: Room Sequences, sum free sets and Hadamard matrices* by W.D. Wallis, Anne Penfold Street and Jennifer Seberry Wallis, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972, 273-489.
- [20] S.S. Shrikhande, Generalised Hadamard matrices and orthogonal arrays of strength two, *Canad. J. Math.* 16 (1964), 736-740.
- [21] R.G. Stanton and R.C. Mullin, On the non-existence of a class of circulant balanced weighing matrices, *SIAM, J. Appl. Math.* 30 (1976), 98-102.
- [22] G.A. Vanstone and R.C. Mullin, A note on existence of weighing matrices $W(2^{2n}-j, 2^n)$ and associated combinatorial designs, *Utilitas Math.* 8 (1975), 371-381.
- [23] F. Yates, Complex experiments, *J. Royal Stat. Soc.* B2 (1935), 181-223.

Department of Applied Mathematics,
University of Sydney,
Sydney, Australia.