

## Ordered Partitions and Codes Generated by Circulant Matrices

R. RAZEN

*Institut für Mathematik, Montanuniversität, Leoben, Austria*

JENNIFER SEBERRY

*Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Sydney, Sydney N.S.W. 2006, Australia*

AND

K. WEHRHAHN

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Sydney, Sydney N.S.W. 2006, Australia*

*Communicated by Marshall Hall, Jr.*

Received February 23, 1978

We consider the set of ordered partitions of  $n$  into  $m$  parts acted upon by the cyclic permutation  $(12\dots m)$ . The resulting family of orbits  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  is shown to have cardinality  $\bar{p}(n, m) = (1/n) \sum_{d|m} \phi(d) \binom{n/d}{m/d}$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's  $\phi$ -function.  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  is shown to be set-isomorphic to the family of orbits  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  of the set of all  $m$ -subsets of an  $n$ -set acted upon by the cyclic permutation  $(12\dots n)$ . This isomorphism yields an efficient method for determining the complete weight enumerator of any code generated by a circulant matrix.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

An ordered partition (or composition, cf. [2] or  $m$ -composition, cf. [1]) of  $n$  into  $m$  parts is an ordered  $m$ -tuple  $\alpha = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ , where the  $k_i$  are positive integers and  $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_m = n$ . In this paper we consider the set  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  of all ordered partitions of  $n$  into  $m$  parts acted upon by the cyclic permutation

$$\theta = (12 \dots m).$$

The action of group  $G$  generated by  $\theta$  is defined by

$$\theta\alpha = (k_{1\theta}, k_{2\theta}, \dots, k_{m\theta})$$

and we write  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  for the set of orbits of  $G$  under this action. The cardinalities of  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  will be denoted by  $p(n, m)$  and  $\bar{p}(n, m)$ , respectively. Writing  $\bar{p}_d(n, m)$  for the number of orbits in  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  having exactly  $d$ -elements, we derive in Section 3 the identities

$$\bar{p}_m(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{m/d} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\bar{p}(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|m} \phi(d) \binom{n/d}{m/d}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function,  $\phi$  is Euler's  $\phi$ -function, and  $\binom{n/d}{m/d}$  is defined to be zero unless  $d$  is a divisor of both  $n$  and  $m$ .

The initial reason for our interest in the set  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  is due to the fundamental relationship between  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  and the set of all  $m$ -subsets of a given  $n$ -set. Write  $S$  for the set of integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  for the set of all  $m$ -subsets of  $S$ . Let  $H$  be the cyclic group generated by the permutation

$$\psi = (12 \cdots n).$$

For  $l = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ , any element of  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$ , we define the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  by

$$\psi l = \{\alpha_1 \psi, \alpha_2 \psi, \dots, \alpha_m \psi\}, \quad (1.3)$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha_i \psi = \alpha_i + 1 \quad (\text{modulo } n).$$

The set  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(n, m)$  of orbits of  $H$  is shown in Section 2 to be set-isomorphic to  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$ , and the properties of the isomorphism are studied in some detail.

The isomorphism between  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(n, m)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  yields an efficient method for determining the complete weight enumerator of any code generated by the row vectors of a circulant matrix or a matrix of the form  $[IW]$ , where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $W$  is an  $n \times n$  circulant matrix. This application is discussed in Section 4.

## 2. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORDERED PARTITIONS AND $m$ -SETS

The purpose of this section is to establish the fundamental relationship between the two sets  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, m)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(n, m)$ . We will denote the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(n, m)$  by  $c(n, m)$  and  $\bar{c}(n, m)$ , respectively. The number of orbits in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(n, m)$  with  $d$  elements will be denoted by  $\bar{c}_d(n, m)$ .

Each  $m$ -subset of  $S$  has a natural ordering. Let  $l = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ , where  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_m$ . Associated with  $l$  we have the ordered partition of  $n$  into  $m$  parts

$$\alpha(l) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) \tag{2.1}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ d_m &= n - \alpha_m + \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Also, with each ordered partition  $\alpha = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$  we associate the  $m$ -set

$$l(\alpha) = \{1, 1 + k_1, \dots, 1 + k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{m-1}\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We prove next that (2.1) and (2.2) yield a bijection between the sets  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *The ordered partitions associated with a class in  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  are contained in a class in  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $l = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ , where  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_m \leq n$ , and let  $\alpha(l) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$  be defined by (2.1). Then

$$\psi^k l = \{\alpha_1 + k, \alpha_2 + k, \dots, \alpha_m + k\},$$

where the elements are reduced modulo  $n$ . In natural order

$$\psi^k l = \{\alpha_t + k, \alpha_{t+1} + k, \dots, \alpha_m + k, \alpha_1 + k, \dots, \alpha_{t-1} + k\},$$

for some integer  $t$ . Hence the ordered partition associated with  $\psi^k l$  is

$$\alpha(\psi^k l) = (d_t, \dots, d_{m-1}, \alpha_1 - \alpha_m, d_1, \dots, d_{t-2}, n - \alpha_{t-1} - k + \alpha_t + k).$$

But

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_m \equiv d_m \pmod{n}$$

and

$$n - \alpha_{t-1} - k + \alpha_t + k \equiv d_{t-1} \pmod{n},$$

and so

$$\alpha(\psi^k l) = \theta^{t-1} \alpha(l), \tag{2.3}$$

which proves the assertion of the lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *The  $m$ -sets associated with a class in  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  are contained in a class in  $\mathcal{E}(n, m)$ . In particular*

$$l(\theta^i \alpha) = \psi^{b_i} l(\alpha) \quad (2.4)$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , where  $b_i = k_{i+1} + k_{i+2} + \dots + k_m$ .

*Proof.* By definition

$$\psi^{b_i} l(\alpha) = \{1 + b_i, 1 + b_i + k_1, \dots, 1 + b_i + k_1 + \dots + k_{m-1}\}.$$

Since

$$1 + b_i + k_1 + \dots + k_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

we have in natural order

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{b_i} l(\alpha) &= \{1, 1 + k_{i+1}, \dots, 1 + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}, 1 + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_m, \\ &\quad 1 + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_m + k_1, \dots, 1 + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_m + k_1 + \dots \\ &\quad + k_{i+1}\} \\ &= l(\theta^i \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Define  $f: \mathcal{P}(n, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(n, m)$  by*

$$f[\alpha] = [l(\alpha)] \quad (2.5)$$

and define

$$g: \mathcal{E}(n, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n, m)$$

by

$$g[\alpha] = [\alpha(l)], \quad (2.6)$$

where the representative  $l$  contains 1.

Then  $f$  and  $g$  are well defined and  $f \circ g = 1$ ,  $g \circ f = 1$ .

*Proof.*  $f$  is well defined by Lemma 2.2 and  $g$  is well defined by Lemma 2.1; hence it suffices to prove that  $f$  and  $g$  are mutual inverses.

Let  $l = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  and write  $[l]$  for the corresponding class in  $\mathcal{E}(n, m)$ . Then for  $\alpha(l) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$  defined by (2.1) we have that

$$l(\alpha(l)) = \psi^{1-\alpha_1} l;$$

hence  $[l(\alpha(l))] = [l]$  and so  $f \circ g = 1$ .

On the other hand, let  $\alpha = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ . Then by (2.2)

$$l(\alpha) = \{1, 1 + k_1, \dots, 1 + k_1 + \dots + k_{m-1}\}$$

and by (2.1)

$$\alpha(l(\alpha)) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m),$$

where

$$d_1 = 1 + k_1 - 1 = k_1, \quad d_2 = 1 + k_1 + k_2 - 1 - k_1 = k_2, \dots, \quad d_{m-1} = k_{m-1}$$

and

$$d_m = n - (1 + k_1 + \dots + k_{m-1}) + 1 = k_m.$$

Hence

$$\alpha(l(\alpha)) = \alpha,$$

and so  $[\alpha(l(\alpha))] = [\alpha]$ , which proves that  $g \circ f = 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 is

$$\bar{p}(n, m) = \bar{c}(n, m). \tag{2.7}$$

The next theorem shows that the bijection  $f$  preserves, in a sense, the class size.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be the mapping defined by Eq. (2.5) and suppose  $k$  is a divisor of  $m$ . If  $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{P}(n, m)$  is a class containing  $m/k$  elements then the class  $f[\alpha]$  contains  $n/k$  elements.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $[\alpha]$  contains  $m/k$  elements. Then

$$\alpha = (k_1, \dots, k_d, k_1, \dots, k_d, \dots, k_1, \dots, k_d),$$

where  $d = m/k$  and each  $d$ -tuple  $(k_1, \dots, k_d)$  is an ordered partition of  $n/k$  into  $m/k$  parts whose class in  $\mathcal{P}(n/k, m/k)$  contains exactly  $m/k$  elements. Write  $h = n/k$ . Then

$$l(\alpha) = \{1, 1 + k_1, \dots, 1 + k_1 + \dots + k_{d-1}, 1 + h, 1 + h + k_1, \dots, 1 + (k - 1)h + k_1 + \dots + k_{d-1}\}.$$

Hence  $\psi^M(\alpha) = l(\alpha)$ , from which it follows that

$$f[\alpha] = [l(\alpha)] \text{ contains } h = n/k \text{ distinct elements.}$$

**COROLLARY.** *The following identity holds for  $k \mid (m, n)$ ,*

$$\bar{c}_{n/k}(n, m) = \bar{p}_{m/k}(n, m).$$

To each  $m$ -subset  $l$  of  $S$  there corresponds the  $(n - m)$ -subset  $S - l$ . This correspondence defines a natural bijection between  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(n, n - m)$ . Moreover since

$$S - \psi l = \psi S - \psi l = \psi(S - l)$$

the mapping

$$t: \mathcal{C}(n, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(n, n - m), \quad (2.8)$$

defined by

$$t[l] = [S - l],$$

is well defined and is a bijection.

Incorporating the results of Theorem 2.1 we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(n, m) & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{C}(n, n - m) \\ f \uparrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \mathcal{P}(n, m) & \xrightarrow{g \circ t \circ f} & \mathcal{P}(n, n - m) \end{array} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $g \circ t \circ f: [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha(S - l(\alpha))]$ .

Since  $f$ ,  $t$ , and  $g$  are bijections we can conclude that  $g \circ t \circ f$  is also. Suppose next that  $[l]$  is a class in  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  with  $n/k$  elements; then if  $h = n/k$  we have

$$\psi^h l = l$$

and consequently

$$S - l = S - \psi^h l = \psi^h(S - l).$$

This shows that classes with  $n/k$  elements in  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  are in one-one correspondence with classes having  $n/k$  elements in  $\mathcal{C}(n, n - m)$ .

Hence we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The mapping  $g \circ t \circ f$  defined in (2.9) is a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(n, n - m)$  which maps classes containing  $m/k$  elements to classes containing  $(n - m)/k$  elements.*

**COROLLARY.** (1)  $\bar{c}(n, m) = \bar{c}(n, n - m)$ ,

$$(2) \bar{p}(n, m) = \bar{p}(n, n - m),$$

$$(3) \bar{p}_{m/k}(n, m) = \bar{p}_{(n-m)/k}(n, n - m).$$

3. THE CARDINALITY OF  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$

In this section we derive (1.1) and (1.2). Since  $p(n, m)$  can be interpreted as the number of ways of inserting  $m - 1$  commas into  $n - 1$  places [2] we have

$$p(n, m) = \binom{n-1}{m-1} = \frac{m}{n} \binom{n}{m}. \tag{3.1}$$

Also, the cardinality of each orbit is a divisor of  $m$ . Hence we immediately have the equations

$$\frac{m}{n} \binom{n}{m} = p(n, m) = \sum_{d|m} d\bar{p}_d(n, m) \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\bar{p}(n, m) = \sum_{d|m} \bar{p}_d(n, m). \tag{3.3}$$

Perhaps the most elegant way to obtain (1.1) is to observe that  $p((n/m)k, k)$  is defined for all positive integers  $k$ , if we let  $p((n/m)k, k) = 0$  whenever  $(n/m)k$  is not an integer; i.e., we define  $\binom{n/m}{k} = 0$  if  $nk/m$  is not an integer. Moreover,  $\bar{p}_d(n, m)$  is defined for all positive integers  $d$ , being equal to 0 whenever  $d$  is not a divisor of  $(n, m)$ , the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and  $m$ . With these observations, we may invert (3.2) to obtain

$$m\bar{p}_m(n, m) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) p\left(\frac{n}{m} \cdot \frac{m}{d}, \frac{m}{d}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Equation (1.1) is a trivial consequence of (3.1) and (3.4).

To obtain (1.2) we recall that  $G$ , the cyclic group of order  $m$ , acts on the set  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  of all ordered partitions of  $n$  into  $m$  parts. Let  $\lambda(g)$  denote the number of elements of  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$  fixed by the permutation  $g \in G$ . If  $g = e$ , the identity element, then

$$\lambda(g) = \binom{n-1}{m-1}$$

since  $e$  fixes every ordered partition. If  $g$  consists of  $d$ -cycles then  $g$  fixes only those ordered partitions which are repeated copies of ordered partitions of  $n/d$  into  $m/d$  parts. For example,  $(1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2)$  is fixed by  $(147)(258)(369) = (123456789)^3$ . But the number of permutations of  $G$  consisting of  $d$ -cycles is  $\phi(d)$ . Hence by Burnside's lemma

$$\bar{p}(n, m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} \phi(d) \binom{n/d-1}{m/d-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|m} \phi(d) \binom{n/d}{m/d}.$$

As an example suppose that  $n = 24$  and  $m = 4$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{p}(24, 4) &= \frac{1}{24} \left[ \phi(1) \binom{24}{4} + \phi(2) \binom{12}{2} + \phi(4) \binom{6}{1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{24} \left[ \binom{24}{4} + \binom{12}{2} + 2 \binom{6}{1} \right] = 446.\end{aligned}$$

The following corollaries may serve as further illustrations.<sup>1</sup>

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $n$  and  $m$  are relatively prime then*

$$\bar{p}(n, m) = \bar{p}_m(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{m}.$$

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $(n, m) = q$  is a prime then*

$$\bar{p}(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{m} + \frac{q-1}{n} \binom{n/q}{m/q}.$$

**COROLLARY 3.**

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{p}(n, 3) &= \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{3} && \text{if } (n, 3) = 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{2}{3} && \text{if } (n, 3) = 3, \\ \bar{p}(n, 4) &= \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{4} && \text{if } (n, 4) = 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{4} + \frac{n}{8} - \frac{1}{4} && \text{if } (n, 4) = 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{4} + \frac{n}{8} + \frac{1}{4} && \text{if } (n, 4) = 4.\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. AN APPLICATION

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code generated by the row vectors of a matrix  $[IW]$ , where  $I$  is  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $W$  is an  $n \times n$  circulant matrix with entries in a finite field  $GF(q)$ . Such codes have the property that they have the same weight enumerators as their duals [4] and hence share many of the

<sup>1</sup> *Added in proof.* The total number of ordered partition classes of  $n$  is  $\bar{p}(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \bar{p}(n, m) = (1/n) \sum_{d|n} \phi(d) 2^{n/d} - 1$ . We are grateful to Professor G. Baron of the Technical University, Vienna, for this observation.



properties of self-dual codes. The design properties of linear codes and their subcodes of constant weight are closely related to their weight enumerators [3]. In general the problem of determining the weight enumerator (WE) of a code, or better still the complete weight enumerator (CWE), involves the determination of the WE or CWE of each of the  $q^n$  codewords. If  $W$  is circulant and  $W_i$  denotes the  $i$ th row of  $W$  then the linear combination

$$W_{i_1} + W_{i_2} + \cdots + W_{i_m}$$

has the same CWE as

$$W_{i_1+k} + W_{i_2+k} + \cdots + W_{i_m+k}$$

for any integer  $k$ , where the subscripts are reduced modulo  $n$ . Hence the codewords of  $\mathcal{C}$  can be grouped into classes in which elements are "linear shifts" of one another. For given  $m$  the family of classes is in obvious correspondence with  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$ . Hence the problem of determining the CWE of  $\mathcal{C}$  reduces to two problems:

- (1) Finding a complete system of coset representatives of  $\mathcal{C}(n, m)$  for  $m = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (2) Determining the CWEs of the linear combinations corresponding to the coset representatives.

The problem of finding a complete system of coset representatives is very easy for  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$ , where such a system occurs in lexicographical order among the set of all ordered partitions of  $n$  into  $m$  parts with the first entry at most the integer part of  $n/m$ . An ordered partition in this class is a suitable representative provided that it is lexicographically less than any ordered partition in its orbit. An efficient computer algorithm exists to determine the complete system of representatives for  $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$ .

We may note that in the case of binary codes Theorem 2.3 allows us to reduce the calculation time by a further factor of 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. ABRAMSON, Restricted combinations and compositions, *Fibonacci Quart.* (1976), 439-452.
2. G. E. ANDREWS, "The Theory of Partitions," Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1976.
3. P. DELSARTE, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, *Phillips Res. Repts. Suppl.* **10** (1973).
4. J. SEBERRY AND K. WEHRHAHN, A class of codes generated by circulant weighing matrices, in "Combinatorics: Proceedings of the International Conference, Canberra, August, 1977," Australian Academy of Science; and Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 686, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York 686, pp. 282-289, 1978.