

# Constructions for amicable orthogonal designs

Jennifer Seberry Wallis

Infinite families of amicable orthogonal designs are constructed with

- (i) both of type  $(1, q)$  in order  $q + 1$  when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power,
- (ii) both of type  $(1, q)$  in order  $2(q+1)$  where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power or  $q + 1$  is the order of a conference matrix,
- (iii) both of type  $(2, 2q)$  in order  $2(q+1)$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power or  $q + 1$  is the order of a conference matrix.

## Introduction

The concept of an orthogonal design was first introduced in [1]. An  $n \times n$  matrix,  $X$ , is an orthogonal design of type  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$  on the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$  in order  $n$  if  $X$  has entries from the set  $\{0, \pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_s\}$  and

$$XX^T = (u_1 x_1^2 + u_2 x_2^2 + \dots + u_s x_s^2) I_n,$$

where  $I_n$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $n$ . It was shown in [1] that if there is a pair of orthogonal designs,  $X, Y$ , which satisfy the equation  $XY^T = YX^T$ , then these designs became a powerful tool in the

---

Received 12 November 1974.

construction of new orthogonal designs (for example, see Construction 22 of [1]).

The existence of such designs has been studied further in [3] and limits are given on the number of variables possible in each design. We define

**DEFINITION.** Two orthogonal designs,  $X, Y$ , of the same order, satisfying

$$XY^T = YX^T,$$

will be called *amicable orthogonal designs*.

In this note we construct infinite families of amicable orthogonal designs.

### The constructions

Let  $q = p^n$  be a prime power. Then with  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}$  the elements of  $\text{GF}(q)$  numbered so that

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_{q-i} = -a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, q-1,$$

define  $Q = (x_{ij})$  by

$$x_{ij} = \chi(a_j - a_i),$$

where  $\chi$  is the character defined on  $\text{GF}(q)$  by

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, \\ 1, & x = y^2 \text{ for some } y \in \text{GF}(q), \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $Q$  is a type 1 matrix (see [2; p. 285-291]) with the properties that

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} QQ^T = qI - J, \\ QJ = JQ = 0, \\ Q^T = \begin{cases} Q & \text{for } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -Q & \text{for } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $J$  the matrix of all ones.

Now let  $U = cI + dQ$  where  $c, d$  are commuting variables. Define  $R = (r_{ij})$  by

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & a_i + a_j = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, as in [2, p. 289]  $UR$  is a symmetric type 2 matrix.

We now consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \dots b \\ -b & \\ \vdots & aI + bQ \\ -b & \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -c & d \dots d \\ d & \\ \vdots & (cI + dQ)R \\ d & \end{bmatrix}$$

of order  $q + 1$ , where  $a, b, c, d$  are commuting variables.

We claim that for  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,

(i)  $A$  and  $B$  are orthogonal designs, and

(ii)  $AB^T = BA^T$  (this follows since  $aI + bQ$  is type 1 and  $(cI + dQ)R$  is type 2).

Hence we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be a prime power. Then there exists a pair of amicable orthogonal designs of order  $q + 1$  and both of type  $(1, q)$ .*

Further we note that for  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  choosing

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \dots 1 \\ 1 & \\ \vdots & Q \\ \vdots & \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}$$

gives a  $(0, 1, -1)$  matrix  $N$  satisfying

$$N^T = N, \quad NN^T = qI_{q+1}.$$

Such matrices have been called symmetric conference matrices (see [2, 293, 452]) and we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  be the order of a symmetric conference matrix. Then there exist pairs of amicable orthogonal designs of order  $2(n+1)$  and both of the pair of type*

$$(i) (2, 2n),$$

$$(ii) (1, n).$$

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a symmetric conference matrix and  $a, b, c, d$  be commuting variables. Then for (i) the required designs are

$$\begin{bmatrix} aI+bN & aI-bN \\ aI-bN & -aI-bN \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} cI+dN & cI-dN \\ -cI+cN & cI+dN \end{bmatrix},$$

while for (ii) they are

$$\begin{bmatrix} aI & bN \\ bN & -aI \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} cI & dN \\ -dN & cI \end{bmatrix}.$$

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  be a prime power. Then there exist pairs of amicable Hadamard designs of order  $2(q+1)$  where both of the pair are of type  $(2, 2q)$  or of type  $(1, q)$ .*

#### References

- [1] Anthony V. Geramita, Joan Murphy Geramita, Jennifer Seberry Wallis, "Orthogonal designs", *J. Lin. Multilin. Algebra* (to appear).
- [2] Jennifer Seberry Wallis, "Hadamard matrices", *Combinatorics: Room squares, sum-free sets, Hadamard matrices*, 273-489 (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 292. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972).
- [3] Warren W. Wolfe, "Clifford algebras and amicable orthogonal designs", Queen's Mathematical Preprint No. 1974-22.

Department of Mathematics,  
Institute of Advanced Studies,  
Australian National University,  
Canberra, ACT.