

## Note

### Kronecker Products and BIBDs

JENNIFER WALLIS

*Faculty of Mathematics, University of Newcastle, N.S.W. 2308, Australia*

*Communicated by M. Hall, Jr.*

Received April 13, 1971

Recursive constructions are given which permit, under conditions described in the paper, a  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration to be used to obtain a  $(v', b', r', k, \lambda)$ -configuration.

Although there are many equivalent definitions we will mean by a  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration or *BIBD* that  $(0, 1)$ -matrix  $A$  of size  $v \times b$  with row sum  $r$  and column sum  $k$  satisfying

$$AA^T = (r - \lambda)I + \lambda J$$

where, as throughout the remainder of this paper,  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $J$  the matrix with every element  $+1$  whose sizes should be determined from the context or by a subscript ( $J_n$  is square of order  $n$ ).

In the case of block matrices,  $(X)_{ij}$  and  $(X_{ij})$  mean the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th block is  $X$ ; for example,  $(T^{i-j})_{ij}$  is the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th block is  $T^{i-j}$ . We define the *Kronecker product* of two matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  of order  $m \times n$  and  $B$  of any order as the  $m \times n$  block matrix

$$A \times B = (a_{ij}B)_{ij}.$$

For more details the reader is referred to Marshall Hall [1].

We will use  $T$  for the circulant matrix of order  $q$  given by

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For  $q$  a prime we have shown in Jennifer Wallis [3] that

$$Q = (T^{(i-1)(j-1)})_{ij}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} QQ^T &= qI_q \times I_q + (J_q - I_q) \times J_q, \\ J \cdot Q &= qJ. \end{aligned}$$

We are concerned with the existence of a (0, 1) matrix  $Q$  of size  $mv \times v^2$  which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} QQ^T &= vI_m \times I_v + (J_m - I_m) \times J_v, \\ JQ &= mJ; \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

if such a matrix exists we will say  $\mathbf{P}(m, v)$  holds. Thus the result cited above shows that  $\mathbf{P}(q, q)$  holds for any prime  $q$ ; we also showed in [3] that  $\mathbf{P}(q, q)$  holds for any prime power  $q$ . Further, it was proved in [4] that  $\mathbf{P}(m, v)$  holds if and only if there exists a set of  $m - 2$  mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order  $v$ , and that a (0, 1) matrix  $Q$  satisfying (1) must have the form

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} E \\ A \\ \vdots \\ A_{m-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $E$  and the  $A_i$  are of size  $v \times v^2$  and have constant row sums  $v$  and column sums 1. From the latter fact it is clear that if  $Q$  satisfies (1) then the matrix formed by deleting  $A_n$  and subsequent blocks satisfies (1) with  $m$  replaced by  $n$ , so

$$\mathbf{P}(m, v) \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(n, v) \quad \text{when } n < m.$$

If we are referring to  $\mathbf{P}(m, v)$ , then  $Q, E$  and  $A_i$  will mean the matrices just mentioned.

MAIN THEOREM

We shall exploit the following theorem, which is a generalization of Lemma 6 of [3]:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $B$  is a  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration and suppose  $R$  is a (0, 1) matrix of size  $lv \times tv^2$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} RR^T &= a_1vI_l \times I_v + a_2(J_l - I_l) \times J_v, \\ JR &= kJ, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $a_2$  divides  $\lambda$ . Then necessarily  $la_1 = kt$  and  $(l - 1)a_2 = (k - 1)a_1$ , and

$$[I_l \times B \mid R, R, \dots, R] \quad (\lambda/a_2 \text{ copies of } R)$$

is an  $(lv, lb + \lambda v^2/a_2, r + \lambda a_1 v/a_2, k, \lambda)$ -configuration.

*Proof.* By summing the entries of  $R$  in two ways we obtain  $la_1 = kt$ . It is easy to check that the matrix exhibited is the required configuration; one of the standard necessary conditions for a  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration is  $\lambda(v - 1) = r(k - 1)$ ; substituting in the parameters of the configuration we constructed we have

$$(l - 1)a_2 = (k - 1)a_1.$$

In the particular case where  $t = a_1 = a_2 = 1$  and  $k = l$ , the existence of a suitable  $R$  satisfying (2) is simply  $\mathbf{P}(k, v)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *If there exist a  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration and a set of  $k - 2$  mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order  $v$ , then there is a  $(kv, kb + \lambda v^2, r + \lambda v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration.*

**EXAMPLE.** Suppose  $v = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$  is a decomposition of  $v$  into powers of distinct primes, and suppose

$$k \leq \min_i (p_i^{a_i}) + 1.$$

Then there is a set of  $k - 2$  mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order  $v$  [1, p. 192], so the existence of a  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration for this  $k$  and  $v$  implies the existence of a  $(kv, bk + \lambda v^2, r + \lambda v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration.

**EXAMPLE.** Hanani [2] has shown (in terms of Latin squares) that  $\mathbf{P}(5, v)$  always holds when  $v \geq 52$  and  $\mathbf{P}(7, v)$  always holds when  $v \geq 63$ , so Corollary 2 can be applied in the corresponding cases.

#### FIRST APPLICATION

Suppose  $q$  is a prime and  $\omega$  is a primitive  $q$ -th root of unity.  $T$  is of order  $q$ . Define a  $q \times q$  matrix  $P$  by

$$P = (p_{ij}), \quad p_{ij} = \omega^{(i-1)(j-1)}.$$

Now define square matrices  $S_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q^s$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q^s$  where

$s$  is any positive integer, as follows: if the  $(i, j)$  element of the Kronecker product of  $s$  copies of  $P$  is  $\omega^a$ , then  $S_{ij} = T^a$ .

Assume that  $\mathbf{P}(k, v)$  holds for some  $k \leq q^s$ . Write

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \times E & S_{12} \times E & \cdots & S_{1q^s} \times E \\ S_{21} \times A_1 & S_{22} \times A_1 & \cdots & S_{2q^s} \times A_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{k1} \times A_{k-1} & S_{k2} \times A_{k-1} & \cdots & S_{kq^s} \times A_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$R$  is a  $(0, 1)$  matrix of size  $kvq \times v^2q^{s+1}$ , and it is readily shown that

$$RR^T = q^s v I_k \times I_{qv} + q^{s-1} (J_k - I_k) \times J_{qv},$$

so  $R$  satisfies (2) with  $v$  replaced by  $vq$ ,  $l = k$  and  $t = a_1 = a_2 = q^{s-1}$ . So we have proved the following:

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose there exists a  $(qv, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration, where  $q$  is a prime, and suppose  $\mathbf{P}(k, v)$  holds. If  $s$  is a positive integer such that  $q^{s-1}$  divides  $\lambda$  and  $k \leq q^s$ , then there exists a  $(kvq, kb + \lambda q^2 v^2, r + \lambda qv, k, \lambda)$ -configuration.*

Corollaries can easily be constructed using the examples in the preceding section.

SECOND APPLICATION

Suppose  $q$  is a prime and suppose  $\mathbf{P}(k, v)$  holds where  $k \leq q + 1$ . The matrices  $I$  and  $T$  will be of order  $q$ .

We consider the  $(0, 1)$  block matrix  $P$ ,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} I \times A_1 & I \times A_1 & I \times A_1 & \cdots & I \times A_1 \\ I \times A_2 & T \times A_2 & T^2 \times A_2 & \cdots & T^{q-1} \times A_2 \\ I \times A_3 & T^2 \times A_3 & T^4 \times A_3 & \cdots & T^{2(q-1)} \times A_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I \times A_{k-1} & T^{k-2} \times A_{k-1} & T^{2(k-2)} \times A_{k-1} & \cdots & T^{(k-2)(q-1)} \times A_{k-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a  $(k - 1) \times q$  array of  $qv \times qv^2$  blocks. Write  $E'$  for  $[I \times E, I \times E, \dots, I \times E]$ , there being  $q$  copies of  $I \times E$ , and denote by  $T^i \cdot P$  the result of multiplying the first of the two components of every block entry of  $P$  by  $T^i$ . Then

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} E' & E' & E' & \cdots & E' \\ P & T \cdot P & T^2 \cdot P & \cdots & T^{q-1} \cdot P \end{bmatrix}$$

is a  $(0, 1)$  matrix of suitable size which satisfies (2) with  $l = k$ ,  $v$  replaced by  $qv$  and  $a_1 = a_2 = t = q$ . Hence we have

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $\mathbf{P}(k, v)$  holds and there is a  $(qv, b, r, k, \lambda)$ -configuration, where  $q$  is a prime not less than  $k - 1$  and  $q$  divides  $\lambda$ , then there exists a  $(kqv, kb + \lambda q^2 v^2, r + \lambda qv, k, \lambda)$ -configuration.*

Again corollaries can be formed at will.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. HALL, JR., "Combinatorial Theory," Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1967.
2. H. HANANI, On the number of orthogonal Latin squares, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **8** (1970), 247-271.
3. J. WALLIS, Some results on configurations, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **12** (1971), 378-384.
4. W. D. WALLIS, A remark on Latin squares and block designs, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **13** (1972), 205-207.