

# Hadamard Matrices, Bent Functions and Cryptography

Jennifer Seberry and Xian-Mo Zhang  
Department of Computer Science  
The University of Wollongong  
Wollongong, NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA

E-mail: {j.seberry,xianmo}@cs.uow.edu.au

November 23, 1995

## Abstract

The recent incorporation of the *HAVAL* (*Hashing Algorithm with Variable Lengths*) into the *Tripwire* security package for SUN workstations and research for the latest LOKI family of algorithms, both of which use bent functions, have led many to ask us “What are bent functions?”.

This article is to help introduce bent functions to those who work in Combinatorial Theory.

## 1 Definitions

We consider functions from  $V_n$  to  $GF(2)$  (or simply functions on  $V_n$ ), where  $V_n$  is the vector space of  $n$  tuples of elements from  $GF(2)$ ,  $V_n = (0, 1)^n$ . These functions are also called Boolean functions.

**Example 1 (Vector Space)**  $V_2 = (0, 1)^2$ , therefore 00, 01, 10, 11 are all the vectors in  $V_2$ .

**Notation 1 (Vectors)** We use the notation:

$$\alpha_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 0), \alpha_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 1), \dots, \alpha_{2^n-1-1} = (1, \dots, 1, 1).$$

**Definition 1 (Sequence, Truth Table and Matrix of a Function)** Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . Use  $\alpha_i$  as in Notation 1. The  $(1, -1)$ -sequence defined by  $((-1)^{f(\alpha_0)}, (-1)^{f(\alpha_1)}, \dots, (-1)^{f(\alpha_{2^n-1})})$  is called the *sequence* of  $f$ . The  $(0, 1)$ -sequence defined by  $(f(\alpha_0), f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_{2^n-1}))$  is called the *truth table* of  $f$ . The  $(1, 1)$ -matrix of order  $2^n$  defined by  $((-1)^{f(\alpha_i \oplus \alpha_j)})$  is called the *matrix* of  $f$ .

**Example 2 (Truth Table, Sequence and Matrix of a Function)** Let  $f(x) = x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus 1$  be a function on  $V_3$ .

The truth table of  $f$

$$f(000) = 1, f(001) = 0, f(010) = 0, f(011) = 1, f(100) = 1, f(101) = 1, f(110) = 0, f(111) = 1.$$

The sequence of  $f$  is  $-1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, 1, -1$ .

The matrix of  $f$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & + & + & - & - & - & + & - \\ + & - & - & + & - & - & - & + \\ + & - & - & + & + & - & - & - \\ - & + & + & - & - & + & - & - \\ - & - & + & - & - & + & + & - \\ - & - & - & + & + & - & - & + \\ + & - & - & - & + & - & - & + \\ - & + & - & - & - & + & + & - \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Definition 2 (Balanced Functions)** A function  $f$  on  $V_n$  is said to be *balanced* if its truth table has  $2^{n-1}$  zeros (ones).

**Example 3 (Balance)**  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3$ , a function on  $V_3$ , is balanced since the truth table of  $f$  is

$$0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0.$$

$f$  takes the value zero  $2^{3-1} = 4$  times.

**Definition 3 (Affine and Linear Functions)** An *affine* function  $f$  on  $V_n$  is a function that takes the form of  $f = a_1x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_nx_n \oplus c$ , where  $a_j, c \in GF(2)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Furthermore  $f$  is called a *linear* function if  $c = 0$ .

**Example 4 (Affine and Linear Functions)** An affine function is one like

$$f = x_3 \oplus x_1 \oplus 1$$

and a linear function is one like

$$f = x_3 \oplus x_1.$$

Note: There are no terms such as  $x_1x_2$  or  $x_1^2x_3$ .

**Definition 4 (Affine Sequence)** The sequence of an affine (or linear) function is called an *affine (or linear) sequence*.

**Definition 5 (Hamming Weight and Hamming Distance)** The *Hamming weight* of a vector  $\alpha \in V_n$ , denoted by  $W(\alpha)$ , is the number of ones in its truth table. Given two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $V_n$ , the *Hamming distance* between them is defined as  $d(f, g) = W(f(x) \oplus g(x))$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Example 5 (Hamming Weight)** If  $\alpha = (101)$  than  $W(\alpha) = 2$ .

**Example 6 (Hamming Distance)** If  $f(x) = x_1x_2$  and  $g(x) = x_1 \oplus x_2$  then

$$d(f, g) = W(f(x) \oplus g(x)) = W(x_1x_2 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2).$$

Hence for  $x = (x_1, x_2) = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$

$d(f, g) = 0, 1, 1, 3$  respectively.

**Notation 2 (Scalar Product)** Let  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be two vectors (or sequences), the *scalar product* of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , denoted by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ , is defined as the sum of the component-wise multiplications. In particular, when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are from  $V_n$ ,  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = a_1b_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_nb_n$ , where the addition and multiplication are over  $GF(2)$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $(1, -1)$ -sequences,  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i$ , and the addition and multiplication is taken over the reals.

**Lemma 1** If  $\xi = (a_1, \dots, a_{2^n})$  and  $\eta = (b_1, \dots, b_{2^n})$  are the sequences of functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  on  $V_n$  respectively, then

$$\xi * \eta = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_{2^n}b_{2^n})$$

is the sequence of  $f_1(x) \oplus f_2(x)$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

*Proof.* The two sequences are given by

$$a_i = (-1)^{f_1(\alpha_i)} \text{ and } b_i = (-1)^{f_2(\alpha_i)}, \text{ for } \alpha_i \text{ as before.}$$

$$\text{Then } a_ib_i = (-1)^{f_1(\alpha_i)}(-1)^{f_2(\alpha_i)} = (-1)^{f_1(\alpha_i) \oplus f_2(\alpha_i)} \quad \square$$

**Example 7 (Sequence of The Sum of Two Functions)** We use the notation  $-$  to represent  $-1$ . Let  $f_1(x) = x_1x_2$ , which has sequence

$$\xi = (-1)^{f_1(0,0)}, (-1)^{f_1(0,1)}, (-1)^{f_1(1,0)}, (-1)^{f_1(1,1)} = 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -$$

and  $f_2(x) = x_2$ , which has sequence

$$\eta = (-1)^{f_2(0,0)}, (-1)^{f_2(0,1)}, (-1)^{f_2(1,0)}, (-1)^{f_2(1,1)} = 1 \ - \ 1 \ -.$$

Now  $f_1(x) \oplus f_2(x) = x_1x_2 \oplus x_2$  has the sequence  $1 - 1 1$ , which is  $\xi * \eta = (a_0b_0, a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3)$ .

**Definition 6 (Walsh-Hadamard Matrix)** A  $(1, -1)$ -matrix  $H$  of order  $m$  is called a *Hadamard matrix* if  $HH^t = mI_m$ , where  $H^t$  is the transpose of  $H$  and  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ . It is well known that the order of a Hadamard matrix is 1, 2 or divisible by 4 [24]. A special kind of Hadamard matrix, called *Sylvester-Hadamard matrix* or *Walsh-Hadamard matrix*, will be relevant to this paper. A Sylvester-Hadamard matrix of order  $2^n$ , denoted by  $H_n$ , is generated by the following recursive relation

$$H_0 = 1, H_n = \begin{bmatrix} H_{n-1} & H_{n-1} \\ H_{n-1} & -H_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Lemma 2** *The  $i$ th row of  $H_n$  is the sequence of linear function  $\varphi_i(x) = \langle \alpha_i, x \rangle$ , where  $x \in V_n$  and  $\alpha_i$  is the binary representation of  $i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ . Since  $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ + & - \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\ell_0 = (+ +)$ , the sequence of  $\langle 0, x \rangle$  and  $\ell_1 = (+ -)$ , the sequence of  $\langle 1, x \rangle$  where  $x \in V_1$ ,  $+$  and  $-$  stand for 1 and  $-1$  respectively. Suppose the lemma is true for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ .

Since  $H_k = H_1 \times H_{k-1}$ , where  $\times$  is the Kronecker product, each row of  $H_n$  can be expressed as  $\delta \times \ell$  where  $\delta = (+ +)$  or  $(+ -)$ , and  $\ell$  is a row of  $H_{n-1}$ . By the assumption  $\ell$  is the sequence of a function, say  $\varphi(x) = \langle \alpha, x \rangle$ , where  $\alpha, x \in V_{k-1}$ . Thus  $\delta \times \ell$  is the sequence of  $\langle \beta, y \rangle$  where  $y \in V_k$ ,  $\beta = (0 \alpha)$  or  $(1 \alpha)$  according as  $\delta = (+ +)$  or  $(+ -)$ . Thus the lemma is true for  $n = k$ .  $\square$

**Example 8 (Walsh-Hadamard Matrices)** The first few *Walsh-Hadamard matrices* are:

$$H_0 = [1],$$

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Notation 3** Let  $\delta = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p)$  be a constant vector in  $V_p$ . Then  $D_\delta$ , the  $D$ -function of  $\delta$ , is a function on  $V_p$  defined by

$$D_\delta(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p) = (y_1 \oplus \bar{i}_1) \cdots (y_p \oplus \bar{i}_p).$$

This notation is very useful in obtaining the functional representation of a concatenated sequence. Let  $f_{0\dots 0}(x_1, \dots, x_q)$ ,  $f_{0\dots 1}(x_1, \dots, x_q)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $f_{1\dots 1}(x_1, \dots, x_q)$  be functions on  $V_q$ , and let  $\xi_{0\dots 0}$ ,  $\xi_{0\dots 1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\xi_{1\dots 1}$  be their sequences. Let  $\xi$  be the concatenation of  $\xi_{0\dots 0}$ ,  $\xi_{0\dots 1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\xi_{1\dots 1}$  (i.e.,  $\xi = (\xi_{0\dots 0}, \xi_{0\dots 1}, \dots, \xi_{1\dots 1})$ ). Then  $\xi$  is the sequence of the following function on  $V_{p+q}$

$$f(y, x) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in V_p} D_\delta(y) f_\delta(x) \quad (1)$$

where  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_p)$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_q)$ . (See also Lemma 9 of [20]).

In particular, if  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  are the sequences of functions  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  on  $V_n$  then  $\eta = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$  is the sequence of the following function on  $V_{n+1}$

$$g(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (1 \oplus u) f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \oplus f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

**Example 9 (Finding Polynomial from Truth Table)** Consider the sequence

$$-, -, +, +,$$

which corresponds to the binary sequence 0, 0, 1, 1. In order to find the equivalent sequence we consider

$$a \oplus b x_1 \oplus c x_2 \oplus d x_1 x_2$$

for 00, 10, 01, 11 respectively, obtaining upon substitution the equations

$$0 = a, 0 = a \oplus b, 1 = a \oplus c, 1 = a \oplus b \oplus c \oplus d$$

which have solution  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 0$ ,  $c = 1$  and  $d = 0$ , so the associated boolean function is  $f(x) = x_2$ , which is linear. We note that the matrix

$$[abcd] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [0011]$$

gives the same equations and so the solution is

$$[abcd] = [0011] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = [0011] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Because  $[abcd] = [0010]$  the boolean function is  $f(x) = x_2$ .

**Example 10 (Formula (1))** To find the boolean function associated with the sequence 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 for a three variable solution, we consider

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [00101001] \\
 x_1x_2x_3.
 \end{array}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 1 \\
 x_1 \\
 x_2 \\
 x_1x_2 \\
 x_3 \\
 x_1x_3 \\
 x_2x_3 \\
 x_1x_2x_3
 \end{bmatrix}
 \text{ obtaining } x_2 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus$$

**Lemma 3** In general if  $G_0 = 1$  and

$$G_n = \begin{bmatrix} G_{n-1} & G_{n-1} \\ 0 & G_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the boolean function associated with the binary sequence  $\alpha = (a_0a_1 \dots a_{2^n-1})$  is  $\alpha G_n x^T$ , where  $x^T = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1x_2, x_3, x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3, x_4, \dots)$ .

**Example 11 (Application of Lemma 3)** Now we consider the balanced sequences (01101001) and (10011001) respectively, which give

$$(01101001)G_3x^T = (01101000)x^T$$

and

$$(10011001)G_3x^T = (11100000)x^T,$$

which are the boolean functions  $f(x) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3$  and  $f(x) = 1 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2$ .

## 2 Cryptographically Desirable Properties

We list these and then give more details and discussion of each. The art of cryptography has led practitioner to believe that cryptographically desirable properties are:

- Balance,
- Nonlinearity,
- SAC, propagation,
- Correlation immunity,
- Algebraic degree.

## 2.1 Balance

**Definition 7 (Balanced Functions)** A function  $f$  on  $V_n$  is said to be *balanced* if its truth table has  $2^{n-1}$  zeros (ones).

**Example 12 (Balance)**  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3$ , a function on  $V_3$ , is balanced since the truth table of  $f$  is

$$0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0.$$

$f$  takes the value zero  $2^{3-1} = 4$  times.

We noted before, in Definition 7, that a function, say  $f$  on  $V_n$  is balanced if  $f$  takes the value zero  $2^{n-1}$  times.

We now explore further properties of balanced functions.

**Lemma 4 (Nondegenerate Transformation)** *Let*

$$g(x) = f(xB \oplus \beta)$$

*where  $B$  is any nonsingular matrix of order  $n$  and  $\beta$  is any vector in  $V_n$ . Then  $g$  is balanced if and only if  $f$  is balanced.*

*Proof.* We note that if  $B$  is nonsingular, then  $x$  runs through all vectors  $\alpha_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$  to  $\alpha_{2^n-1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ , so  $y = xB \oplus \beta$ .

Hence if  $f(x)$  is balanced so is

$$g(x) = f(xB \oplus \beta).$$

□

**Example 13 (For Lemma 4)** Set

$$g(x) = f(xB \oplus \beta)$$

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta = (1, 1, 1).$$

Thus

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus 1, x_1 \oplus x_3 \oplus 1, x_2 \oplus 1).$$

$g$  is also balanced since  $g(x_0) = 0$ , if and only if  $f(x_0B \oplus \beta) = 0$ .

**Lemma 5 (Sum of Functions)** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions on  $V_n$  and  $V_m$  respectively. Then  $f(x) \oplus g(y)$  is balanced if  $f$  is balanced.*

*Proof.* Since  $g(y')$  is constant for given  $y'$  and since the truth table of  $f(x)$  is zero (one) half the time the truth table of  $f(x) \oplus g(y')$  is zero (one) half the time.  $\square$

## 2.2 Nonlinearity

We recall from Definition 5 the Hamming distance  $d(f, g)$ . The following definition is given by Pieprzyk and Finkelstein [16]:

**Definition 8 ( $N_f$  or nonlinearity of a function  $f$ )**

$$N_f = \min\{d(f, \varphi) | \varphi \text{ is affine}\}$$

is called the *nonlinearity* of  $f$ .

**Example 14 (Nonlinearity)** To show a calculation using this definition we consider

$x$	$f(x) = x_1 x_2$	$\phi_1(x) = 1 \oplus x_1$	$\phi_2(x) = 1 \oplus x_2$	$\phi_3(x) = 1 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2$
00	0	1	1	1
01	0	0	1	0
10	0	1	0	0
11	1	0	0	1

So that  $d(f, \phi_i) = \sum_{f(x) \neq \phi_i(x)} = 1$  gives

$$d(f, \phi_1) = 3, \quad d(f, \phi_2) = 3, \quad d(f, \phi_3) = 1$$

and the nonlinearity or  $\min d(f, \phi_i) = 1$ .

**Lemma 6**

$$d(f, g) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$

where  $\xi, \eta$  are the sequences of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively.

*Proof.* Write  $\xi = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2^n-1}$  and  $\eta = b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2^n-1}$ . Let  $\rho(+)$  ( $\rho(-)$ ) denote the number of  $j$ , such that  $a_j = b_j$  ( $a_j \neq b_j$ ). Hence  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \rho(+)-\rho(-) = 2^n - 2\rho(-)$  and hence  $\rho(-) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ . Obviously,  $\rho(-) = d(f, g)$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$



**Example 15 (For Lemma 6)** The sequences of  $f, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ , are  $\xi = (1, 1, 1, -1)$ ,  $\eta_1 = (-1, 1, -1, 1)$ ,  $\eta_2 = (-1, -1, 1, 1)$  and  $\eta_3 = (-1, 1, 1, -1)$  respectively, so

$$\langle \xi, \eta_1 \rangle = -2, \quad \langle \xi, \eta_2 \rangle = -2, \quad \langle \xi, \eta_3 \rangle = 2$$

and so

$$d(f, \phi_1) = 3, \quad d(f, \phi_2) = 3, \quad d(f, \phi_3) = 1$$

and the nonlinearity or  $\min d(f, \phi_i) = 1$ .

**Lemma 7** *Any nonzero affine function is balanced.*

*Proof.* The lemma immediately follows Lemma 5. □

**Lemma 8 (Nonlinearity Inequality)** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary function on  $V_n$ . Then the nonlinearity of  $f$ ,  $N_f$ , satisfies*

$$N_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}.$$

*Proof.* There exist many proofs for this lemma. We now give a direct proof.

Let  $f$  be any function on  $V_n$  and  $\xi$  be the sequence of  $f$ . Let  $\ell_j$  be the  $j$ th row (column) of  $H_n$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ . Note that

$$\xi H_n = \langle \xi, \ell_0 \rangle, \langle \xi, \ell_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle.$$

Hence  $\xi H_n H_n \xi^T = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^2$  and hence  $2^n \xi \xi^T = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^2$ . This proves

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^2 = 2^{2n}. \quad (2)$$

(2) is called *Parseval's equation* (see P. 416 of [11]). Thus there exist a  $j_0$ ,  $0 \leq j_0 \leq 2^n - 1$ , such that  $\langle \xi, \ell_{j_0} \rangle^2 \geq 2^n$  and thus  $\langle \xi, \ell_{j_0} \rangle \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  or  $\langle \xi, \ell_{j_0} \rangle \leq -2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ .

From Lemma 2,  $\ell_{j_0}$  is the sequence of a linear function, denoted by  $\varphi_{j_0}$ . In the first case, by using Lemma 6,  $d(f, \varphi_{j_0}) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . In the second case,  $\langle \xi, -\ell_{j_0} \rangle \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . Note that  $-\ell_{j_0}$  is the sequence of affine function  $1 \oplus \varphi_{j_0}$ . By using Lemma 6,  $d(f, 1 \oplus \varphi_{j_0}) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . By the definition of the nonlinearity, we have proved  $N_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . □

**Lemma 9** *Let*

$$g(x) = f(xB \oplus \beta)$$

*where  $B$  is any nonsingular matrix of order  $n$  and  $\beta$  is any vector in  $V_n$ . Then*

$$N_g = N_f.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be an arbitrary affine function on  $V_n$ . By the definition of the nonlinearity, there exists an affine function on  $V_n$ , say  $\varphi$ , such that  $d(f, \varphi) = N_f$ . Set  $\psi(x) = \varphi(xB \oplus \beta)$ . Obviously  $d(g, \psi) = d(f, \varphi)$ . Note that  $\psi$  is also an affine function. By the definition of nonlinearity,  $N_g \leq d(g, \psi)$ . This proves that  $N_g \leq N_f$ . Since  $B$  is nonsingular, the deduction can be reversed and thus  $N_f \leq N_g$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

### 2.3 Propagation Criterion

Now we introduce the definition of the propagation criterion.

**Definition 9 (Propagation and SAC)** Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . We say that  $f$  satisfies

1. the *propagation criterion with respect to  $\alpha$*  if  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is a balanced function, where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\alpha$  is a non-zero vector in  $V_n$ ,
2. the *propagation criterion of degree  $k$*  if it satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to all  $\alpha \in V_n$  with  $1 \leq W(\alpha) \leq k$ ,
3. *strict avalanche criterion (SAC)* if the propagation criterion degree of  $f$  is 1.

The above definition of the propagation criterion is from in [18]. Further work on the topic can be found in [17] where a nonsystematic method for obtaining balanced functions satisfying the propagation criterion was suggested. Note that the strict avalanche criterion (SAC) introduced by Webster and Tavares [25, 26] is equivalent to the propagation criterion of degree 1 whereas the perfect nonlinearity studied by Meier and Staffelbach [12] is equivalent to the propagation criterion of degree  $n$  where  $n$  is the number of the coordinates of the function.

**Example 16 (Propagation Criterion)** Consider  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3$ , a function on  $V_3$ . Let  $\alpha = (1, 1, 0)$ . Hence

$$f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) = (x_1x_2 \oplus x_3) \oplus ((x_1 \oplus 1)(x_2 \oplus 1) \oplus x_3) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus 1$$

is balanced. Thus  $f$  satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $\alpha = (1, 1, 0)$ .

**Example 17 (Propagation Criterion)** Consider the following function on  $V_5$

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = x_1 \oplus x_1x_5 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5.$$

Let  $\alpha = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$  then

$$f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) = x_3x_4x_5 \oplus (x_3 \oplus 1)x_4x_5 = x_4x_5$$

which is not balanced. In fact,  $f$  does not satisfy the propagation criterion with respect to any vector in the subset of vectors

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1, 1)\}.$$

**Theorem 1** Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$  and  $A$  be a nonsingular matrix of order  $n$  over  $GF(2)$ . If  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \gamma)$  is balanced for each row  $\gamma$  of  $A$ . Then  $\psi(x) = f(xA)$  satisfies the strict avalanche criterion (SAC).

**Theorem 2** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be functions on  $V_n$ . Set

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{\gamma | f_j(x) \oplus f_j(x \oplus \alpha), \text{ is not balanced for a } j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

If  $|\mathfrak{R}| < 2^{n-1}$  then there exists a nonsingular matrix of order  $n$  over  $GF(2)$  such that each  $\psi_j(x) = f_j(xA)$  satisfies the SAC.

**Example 18 (For Theorem 1)**  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3$  does not satisfy SAC as

$$f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus e_3) = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus (x_3 \oplus 1) = 1$$

is not balanced, for  $e_3 = (001)$ . On the other hand, for  $e_1 = (100)$ ,  $e_2 = (010)$ ,  $\gamma = (111)$

$$f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus e_1) = x_2, f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus e_2) = x_1, f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \gamma) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus 1$$

are balanced.

Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$g(x) = f(xA)$$

satisfies the SAC.

**Example 19 (For Theorem 2)** Let  $f_1 = x_1 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_2x_3$ ,  $f_2 = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_2x_3$  and  $f_3 = x_1x_2 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_3$ . Since  $f_1$  does not satisfy the propagation criterion with respect to only  $(1,0,0)$ ,  $f_2$  does not satisfy the propagation criterion with respect to only  $(1,0,1)$ , and  $f_3$  does not satisfy the propagation criterion with respect to only  $(1,1,1)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R} = \{(1,0,0), (1,0,1), (1,1,1)\}$  and  $|\mathfrak{R}| = 3 < 2^{n-1}$ , where  $n = 3$ . By Theorem 2, there exists a nonsingular there exists a matrix of order 3 over  $GF(2)$  such that each  $\psi_j(x) = f_j(xA)$  satisfies the SAC. In fact, by using Theorem 1,  $A$  can be chosen as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 2.4 Linear Structure

**Definition 10** Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . A vector,  $\alpha$ , is called a *linear structure* of  $f$  if  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is constant.

Every function has at least one linear structure because of the zero vector.

**Example 20 (Linear Structure)** Consider the function  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3$  on  $V_3$ . Now  $\beta = (0, 0, 1)$  is a linear structure of  $f$ , since

$$f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \beta) = (x_1x_2 \oplus x_3) \oplus (x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus 1) = 1.$$

Note: a linear structure is not good for cryptographic purposes and it will be avoided or minimized in cryptographic design.

## 2.5 Bent Functions

We now introduce the concept of bent functions.

**Definition 11 (Bent Functions)** A function  $f$  on  $V_n$  is called a *bent* function if

$$2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in V_n} (-1)^{f(x) \oplus \langle \beta, x \rangle} = \pm 1,$$

for all  $\beta \in V_n$ . Here  $f(x) \oplus \langle \beta, x \rangle$  is regarded as a real-valued function.

Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . We know that the following seven statements are equivalent

- (i)  $f$  is bent,
- (ii)  $\langle \xi, \ell \rangle = \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  for any affine sequence  $\ell$  of length  $2^n$ , where  $\xi$  is the sequence of  $f$ ,
- (iii)  $2^{-\frac{1}{2}n} H_n \xi^T$  is a  $(1, -1)$  vector,
- (iv)  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is balanced for any non-zero vector  $\alpha \in V_n$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,
- (v)  $M$ , the matrix of  $f$ , is an Hadamard matrix,
- (vi) the nonlinearity  $N_f$  satisfies  $N_f = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ ,
- (vii)  $D = \{x | f(x) = 1\}$  is an Hadamard difference set in  $V_n$ ,

$$D = (2^n, 2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}, 2^{n-2} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}).$$

The proof can be found in many literatures, for example, [1, 20, 27]. As examples, we now prove that bent functions can be defined as mentioned in (v), (vi) or (vii) by supposing the equivalence of (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv).

*Proof.* [(v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)] From a very pretty result by R. L. McFarland (see Theorem 3.3 of [5])

$$M = 2^{-n} H_n \text{diag}(\langle \xi, \ell_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle) H_n, \quad (3)$$

the equivalence of (v) and (ii) is obvious.  $\square$

*Proof.* [(vi)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)] Suppose (ii) holds i.e.  $\langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle = \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  for each linear sequence of length  $2^n$ , say  $\ell_j$ , that is the sequence of linear function, say  $\varphi_j$ . Note that  $\langle \xi, 1 + \ell_j \rangle = \mp 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  for each linear sequence of length  $2^n$ ,  $\ell_j$ . Note that  $1 + \ell_j$  is the sequence of affine function  $1 \oplus \varphi_j$ . From Lemma 6, for any linear  $\varphi_j$ , either  $d(f, \varphi_j) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  or  $d(f, 1 \oplus \varphi_j) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . This proves (vi).

Conversely, suppose (vi) holds. We prove that (ii) must hold. Otherwise, from (2), there exists a linear sequence of length  $2^n$ , say  $\ell$ , that is the sequence of a linear function, say  $\varphi$ , such that  $|\langle \xi, \ell \rangle| > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . Hence  $\langle \xi, \ell \rangle > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  or  $\langle \xi, \ell \rangle < -2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . In the first case, by Lemma 6,  $d(f, \varphi_j) < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  hence  $N_f < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . The second case can be rewritten as  $\langle \xi, -\ell \rangle > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . Note that  $-\ell$  is the sequence of affine function  $1 \oplus \varphi$ . By the same reasoning,  $d(f, 1 \oplus \varphi_j) < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  hence  $N_f < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $N_f = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . Hence (ii) holds.  $\square$

*Proof.* [(vii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v)] Before the proof, we introduce the concepts of difference sets and Hadamard difference sets. Let  $G$  be an Abelian group of order  $v$  and let  $D$  be a  $k$ -subset of  $G$ .  $D$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference set in  $G$  if for each nonzero element  $g \in G$  the equation  $g = d_i - d_j$  has exactly  $\lambda$  solutions  $(d_i, d_j)$  with  $d_i, d_j \in D$ . In particular, a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference set is called an *Hadamard difference set* if  $v = 4(k - \lambda)$ .

Let  $[D]$  be a  $(0, 1)$  (real value) matrix of order  $v \times v$ , whose entries are label by  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in G$  and entry on  $(\alpha, \beta)$  position is 1 if and only if  $\alpha - \beta \in D$ . From [6],  $D$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference set if and only if  $[D]^2 = (k - \lambda)I - \lambda J$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $J$  is the all-one matrix. Set  $[D^*] = J - 2[D]$ . Equivalently,  $D$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference set if and only if  $[D^*]^2 = 4(k - \lambda)I + (v - 4(k - \lambda))J$ . Hence  $D$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -Hadamard difference set if and only if  $[D^*]$  is an Hadamard matrix.

We now prove the equivalence between (vii) and (v). Specialize  $G$  as the set of all the vectors in  $V_n$  and regard the operation of  $G$  as the boolean addition of the vectors. Hence  $G$  is an Abelian group. Specialize  $D$  as  $D = \{x | f(x) = 1\}$ . It is not hard to find that  $[D^*]$  is identified with  $M$ , the matrix of  $f$ . From last paragraph,  $M$  is an Hadamard matrix if and only if  $D$  is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -Hadamard difference set, where  $v = 2^n$  thus  $k$  must be  $2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  and  $\lambda$  must be  $2^{n-2} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  (see [6]).  $\square$

It was Rothaus who first introduced and studied bent functions [19]. Other issues related to bent functions, such as their properties, construction and enumeration, can be found in [1, 7, 9, 15, 27]. Kumar, Scholtz and Welch [8] defined and studied bent functions from  $Z_q^n$  to  $Z_q$ , where  $q$  is a positive integer. Applications of bent functions to digital communications, coding theory and cryptography can be found in [2, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15].

**Example 21 (for Hadamard Difference Set)**  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3x_4$  is a bent function on  $V_4$ . Hence, from (vii),  $D = \{x | f(x) = 1\} = \{(0011), (0111), (1011), (1100), (1101), (1110)\}$  is an Hadamard difference set.

## Basic Properties of Bent Functions

Let  $f$  be a bent function on  $V_n$  then

1.  $n$  must be even,
2. the degree of  $f$  is less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{2}n$ , except for  $n = 2$ ,
3. for any affine function  $\varphi$ ,  $f \oplus \varphi$  is also bent,
4.  $f(xA \oplus \alpha)$  is also bent where  $A$  is any nonsingular matrix of order  $n$ , and  $\alpha$  is any vector in  $V_n$ ,
5.  $f$  takes the value zero  $2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  times,
6.  $2^{-\frac{1}{2}n} H_n \xi^T$  is also a bent sequence.

**Example 22 (Bent Function)** We now prove that  $f(x) = x_1x_2$  is a bent function on  $V_2$ .

1. *Proof* (using sequences).

The truth table of  $f$  is

$$f(0,0) = 0, f(0,1) = 0, f(1,0) = 0, f(1,1) = 1$$

Now consider the Sylvester-Hadamard matrix of order  $2^2$

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & - \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \\ l_3 \\ l_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We consider

$$\langle \xi, l_1 \rangle = 2, \langle \xi, l_2 \rangle = 2, \langle \xi, l_3 \rangle = 2, \langle \xi, l_4 \rangle = -2,$$

thus the sequence of  $f$  is

$$\xi = + + + -.$$

Hence the statement (ii) is satisfied.

2. *Proof* (using matrices).

The matrix of  $f$  is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & + & - \\ + & + & - & + \\ + & - & + & + \\ - & + & + & + \end{bmatrix},$$

which is an Hadamard matrix as  $MM^T = 4I_4$ .

3. *Proof* (using balance).

Let  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2) \neq (0,0)$ .

$f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) = x_1x_2 \oplus (x_1 \oplus a_1)(x_2 \oplus a_2) = a_1x_2 \oplus a_2x_1 \oplus a_1a_2$  is a nonconstant affine function thus 0-1 balanced.

**Example 23 (Bent Functions Are Not Balanced)**  $f = x_1x_2 \oplus x_3x_4$  is a bent function on  $V_4$ . The truth table of  $f$  is

0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0.

$f$  takes the value zero  $2^{4-1} + 2^{\frac{1}{2}4-1} = 8 + 2 = 10$  times Therefore this function is not balanced.

### 3 The Relationship Between Avalanche Effect and Nonlinearity

Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ ,  $\xi(\alpha)$  be the sequence of  $f(x \oplus \alpha)$ . Thus  $\xi(0) * \xi(\alpha)$  is the sequence of  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ .

Define  $\Delta(\alpha)$ , the *excess* of  $\alpha$ , to be  $\Delta(\alpha) = \langle \xi(0), \xi(\alpha) \rangle$ .

Let  $\ell_i$  be the  $i$ th row of  $H_n$ . By Lemma 2 of [23],  $\ell_i$  is the sequence of linear function  $\varphi_i = \langle \alpha_i, x \rangle$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is defined as in Definition 1.

**Lemma 10** *Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . Then the Hamming weight of  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is equal to  $2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_+$  ( $e_-$ ) denote the number of ones (minus ones) in the sequence of  $\xi(0) * \xi(\alpha)$ . Thus  $e_+ - e_- = \Delta(\alpha)$  and  $(2^n - e_-) - e_- = \Delta(\alpha)$  and  $e_- = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\alpha)$ . Note that  $e_-$  is also the number of ones in the truth table of  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ . Thus the lemma holds.  $\square$

Obviously, by Lemma 10,

**Lemma 11**  $\Delta(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is balanced i.e.  $f$  satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $\alpha$ .

If  $|\Delta(\alpha)| = 2^n$  then  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is constant and then  $\alpha$  is a linear structure (see [14]).

However the propagation criterion is not satisfied by every function. In most cases,  $\Delta(\alpha) \neq 0$  but is relatively small, thus  $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$  is nearly balanced, and thus  $f$  has good avalanche effects.

To measure the avalanche effect of a function, say  $f$ , with respect to every vector we consider

$$\sum_{\alpha \in V_n} \Delta^2(\alpha),$$

which we hope will be as small as possible. In fact, it is smallest for bent functions and largest for affine functions.

Let  $M$  be the matrix of  $f$  (see Section 1),  $\xi$  be the sequence of  $f$ . From (3), the first row of  $MM^T$  is

$$(\Delta(\alpha_0), \Delta(\alpha_1), \dots, \Delta(\alpha_{2^n-1})).$$

The first row of

$$2^{-n} H_n \text{diag}(\langle \xi, \ell_0 \rangle^2, \dots, \langle \xi, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle^2) H_n$$

can be expressed as

$$2^{-n} (\langle \xi^*, \ell_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi^*, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle) = 2^{-n} \xi^* H_n$$

where

$$\xi^* = (\langle \xi, \ell_0 \rangle^2, \dots, \langle \xi, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle^2).$$

Thus

$$(\Delta(\alpha_0), \Delta(\alpha_1), \dots, \Delta(\alpha_{2^n-1})) = 2^{-n} (\langle \xi, \ell_0 \rangle^2, \dots, \langle \xi, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle^2) H_n.$$

We have now constructed infinite balanced functions with nonlinearity greater than the lower bounds.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . Then*

$$(\Delta(\alpha_0), \Delta(\alpha_1), \dots, \Delta(\alpha_{2^n-1})) H_n = (\langle \xi, \ell_0 \rangle^2, \dots, \langle \xi, \ell_{2^n-1} \rangle^2).$$

Theorem 3 shows the relationship between the nonlinearity and the avalanche effect. This can be seen from Lemma 10 and the following fact (see Lemma 4 of [23])

$$d(g_1, g_2) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle$$

where each  $\eta_i$  is the sequence of function  $g_i$  on  $V_n$ .

Write  $\eta = (\Delta(\alpha_0), \Delta(\alpha_1), \dots, \Delta(\alpha_{2^n-1}))$ . Since

$$\langle \xi^*, \xi^* \rangle = \langle \eta H_n, \eta H_n \rangle = \eta H_n H_n^T \eta^T = 2^n \langle \eta, \eta \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^4 = 2^n \sum_{\alpha \in V_n} \Delta^2(\alpha).$$

Thus we have

**Corollary 1** *Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in V_n} \Delta^2(\alpha) = 2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^4.$$



From Corollary 1, we can unite the nonlinearity and the difference of a function on  $V_n$ , say  $f$ , by a new criterion, denoted by  $\sigma(f)$ , defined as follows

$$\sigma(f) = \sum_{\delta \in V_n} \Delta^2(\alpha) = 2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^4.$$

From Theorem 1, the larger the nonlinearity of a function is the better the avalanche effect is.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . Then*

- (i)  $2^{2n} \leq \sigma(f) \leq 2^{3n}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma(f) = 2^{2n}$  if and only if  $f$  is a bent function,
- (iii)  $\sigma(f) = 2^{3n}$  if and only if  $f$  is an affine function.

*Proof.* (i) By Theorem 1,

$$\sigma(f) = 2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^4 = 2^{-n} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^2 \right)^2.$$

From (2), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^2 = 2^{2n}.$$

Thus

$$\sigma(f) \leq 2^{-n} 2^{4n} = 2^{3n}.$$

(ii) Note that  $\Delta(0) = 2^n$ .

$$\sigma(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in V_n} \Delta^2(\alpha) = \Delta^2(0) = 2^{2n}. \quad (4)$$

From (4),  $\sigma(f) = 2^{2n}$  if and only if  $\Delta(\alpha) = 0$  for any  $\alpha \neq 0$  i.e.  $f$  is bent (see 1).

(iii) Set  $y_j = \langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle^2$ . By Parseval's equation,  $\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} y_j = 2^n$ .

It is not hard to see  $\sigma(f) = 2^{3n} \iff 2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} y_j^2 = 2^{3n} \iff \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} y_j^2 = 2^{4n} \iff \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} y_j^2 = (\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} y_j)^2 \iff y_i y_j = 0$  if  $j \neq i \iff$  there exists a  $j_0$  such that  $y_{j_0} = 2^{2n}$  and  $y_j = 0$  if  $j \neq j_0 \iff$  there exists a  $j_0$  such that  $\langle \xi, \ell_{j_0} \rangle = \pm 2^n$  and  $\langle \xi, \ell_j \rangle = 0$  if  $j \neq j_0 \iff$  there exists a  $j_0$  such that  $\xi = \pm \ell_{j_0}$  i.e.  $f$  is an affine function.  $\square$

## 4 Some Balanced Functions

Bent functions have the largest nonlinearity and the smallest difference but are not balanced thus they cannot be used in most cryptographic designs. In [22, 20, 21, 23] the authors constructed balanced functions having high nonlinearity and satisfying the propagation criterion. We now analyze  $\delta(f)$  for each construction.

## 4.1 Concatenating Bent Functions

### 4.1.1 On $V_{2k+1}$

Let  $f$  be a bent function on  $V_{2k}$  and  $g$  be a function on  $V_{2k+1}$  defined by

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k+1}) = x_1 \oplus f(x_2, \dots, x_{2k+1}).$$

Set

$$g^*(x) = g(xA)$$

where  $A$  is any nonsingular matrix of order  $2k + 1$  over  $GF(2)$ . By Corollary 6 of [23],  $g^*$  is a balanced function on  $V_{2k+1}$  and satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to all non-zero vectors except for  $\gamma^*$  where  $\gamma^*$  is the first row of  $A$ . The nonlinearity of  $g^*$  satisfies  $N_{g^*} \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ .

For any nonzero vector  $\alpha \in V_{2k+1}$ , Consider  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha)$ .

Case 1:  $\alpha \neq (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . From the definition of  $g$ ,  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha)$  is balanced thus  $\Delta(\alpha) = 0$ .

Case 2:  $\alpha = (1, 0, \dots, 0) = \alpha_1$ . From the definition of  $g$ ,  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha) = 1$ , for all  $x \in V_{2k+1}$ . Thus  $\Delta(\alpha_1) = -2^{2k+1}$ .

Hence

$$\sigma(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in V_{2k+1}} \Delta^2(\alpha) = \Delta^2(0) + \Delta^2(\alpha_1) = 2 \cdot 2^{4k+2} = 2^{4k+3}.$$

Note that  $\sigma(g)$  is invariant under any nondegenerate linear transformation on the variables. Thus  $\sigma^*(g^*) = 2^{4k+3}$ . By Theorem 4, the lower bound of  $\sigma(f)$ , where  $f$  is a function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , is  $2^{2k+1}$ . However this bound cannot be reached as this bound is only attained by bent functions and bent functions only exist in even dimension vector spaces.

By Lemma 10 of [23], the nonlinearity and the number of vectors for which the propagation criterion is satisfied, is the same for  $g^*$  and  $g$ .

Unfortunately,  $g$  has a linear structure although it satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to other nonzero vectors.

### 4.1.2 On $V_{2k}$

Let  $f$  be a bent function on  $V_{2k-2}$  and  $g$  be a function on  $V_{2k+1}$  defined by

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus f(x_3, \dots, x_{2k}).$$

Set

$$g^*(x) = g(xA)$$

where  $A$  is any nonsingular matrix of order  $2k$  over  $GF(2)$ . By Corollary 7 of [23],  $g^*$  is a balanced function on  $V_{2k}$  and satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to all but three non-zero vectors. The nonlinearity of  $g^*$  satisfies  $N_{g^*} \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ .

For any nonzero vector  $\alpha \in V_{2k}$ , Consider  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha)$ .

Write  $\alpha_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\alpha_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\alpha_3 = (1, 1, \dots, 0)$ .

Case 1:  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ . From the definition of  $g$ ,  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha)$  is balanced thus  $\Delta(\alpha) = 0$ .

Case 2:  $\alpha = \alpha_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . From the definition of  $g$ ,  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha_j) = 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , for all  $x \in V_{2k+1}$ . Thus  $\Delta(\alpha_j) = -2^{2k}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .  $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \alpha_3) = 0$ , since  $\Delta(\alpha_3) = 2^{2k}$ .

Thus

$$\sigma(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in V_{2k}} \Delta^2(\alpha) = \Delta^2(0) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Delta^2(\alpha_j) = 4 \cdot 2^{4k} = 2^{4k+2}.$$

Note that  $\sigma(g)$  is invariant under any nondegenerate linear transformation on the variables. Thus  $\sigma(g^*) = 2^{4k+2}$ . By Theorem 4, the lower bound of  $\sigma(f)$ , where  $f$  is a function on  $V_{2k}$ , is  $2^{2k}$ . But this bound is reached only by bent functions and not by balanced functions.

By Lemma 10 of [23], the nonlinearity and the number of vectors to which the propagation criterion is satisfied, is the same for  $g^*$  and  $g$ .

Unfortunately,  $g$  has three linear structures although it satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to other nonzero vectors.

## 4.2 Concatenating Linear Functions

Let  $p < q$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_p)$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_q)$ . Since there exist  $2^q$  distinct linear functions on  $V_q$ , we can choose  $2^p$  different those and give each a subscript  $\delta$ ,  $\delta \in V_p$ . Write the set of the  $2^p$  linear functions as  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

We can construct balanced, highly nonlinear functions satisfying the propagation criterion by the following method

$$g(z) = g(y, x) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in V_p} D_\delta(y) \varphi_\delta(x) \tag{5}$$

where  $z = (y, x)$ .

By Lemma 3 of [21],

- (i)  $g$  is balanced,
- (ii) the nonlinearity of  $g$  satisfies  $N_g \geq 2^{p+q-1} - 2^{q-1}$ ,
- (iii)  $g$  satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to any  $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$  with  $\beta \neq 0$ , where  $\beta \in V_p$  and  $\alpha \in V_q$ ,
- (iv) the degree of  $g$  can be  $p + 1$  if  $\mathfrak{R}$  is appropriate.

Let  $\xi_\delta$  is the sequence of  $\varphi_\delta$  and  $\eta$  is the sequence of  $g$ . By Lemma 1 of [20],  $\eta$  is a concatenation of  $2^p$  distinct  $\xi_\delta$ .

Note that  $H_{p+q} = H_p \times H_q$ . and hence any row of  $H_{p+q}$ , say  $L$ , can be represented as  $L = \ell' \times \ell''$ , where  $\ell'$  is a row of  $H_p$  and  $\ell''$  is a row of  $H_q$ .

Since different rows of  $H_p$  is orthogonal

$$\langle \eta, L \rangle = \begin{cases} 2^q & \text{if } f \in \mathfrak{R}, \text{ where } L = \ell' \times \ell'' \\ 0 & \text{if } f \notin \mathfrak{R}, \text{ where } L = \ell' \times \ell'' \end{cases}$$

where  $f$  is the corresponding linear function of  $\ell''$ .

Note that there exist  $2^p \cdot 2^p$   $L$  such that the corresponding linear function of  $\ell''$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{R}$ , where  $L = \ell' \times \ell''$ .

By Theorem 1,

$$\sigma(g) = 2^{-p-q} 2^p \cdot 2^p \cdot 2^{4q} = 2^{p+3q}.$$

Note that  $\sigma(g)$  is invariable under any nondegenerate linear transformation on the variables. Thus  $\sigma(g^*) = 2^{p+3q}$ . By Theorem 4, the lower bound of  $\sigma(f)$ , where  $f$  is a function on  $V_{p+q}$ , is  $2^{2p+2q}$ . But this bound is reached only by bent functions instead of balanced functions.

By Lemma 10 of [23], the nonlinearity and the number of vectors to which the propagation criterion is satisfied, of  $g^*$  is the same with  $g$ .

We now prove the following conclusion.

If there exists  $\delta_0 \in V_p$  such that

$$\text{rank of } \{\varphi_\delta \oplus \varphi_{\delta_0} | \delta \in V_p\} = q$$

then  $g$ , defined in (5), has no linear structures.

Consider

$$g(z) \oplus g(z \oplus \gamma) = g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha). \quad (6)$$

(iii) of Lemma 3 of [21] (see a previous paragraph in this subsection) (6) is balanced for  $\beta \neq 0$ .

Thus to find a linear structure of  $g$  we only need to discuss (6) for  $\beta = 0$ . In this case (6) is specialized as

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) \oplus g(z \oplus \gamma) &= g(y, x) \oplus g(y, x \oplus \alpha) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\delta \in V_p} D_\delta(y) (\varphi_\delta(x) \oplus \varphi(x \oplus \alpha)) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in V_p} D_\delta(y) \varphi_\delta(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Clearly,  $\gamma = (0, \alpha)$  is a linear structure if and only if (7) is constant if and only if

$$\varphi_\delta(\alpha) = c \quad (8)$$

for every  $\delta \in V_p$ , where  $c \in GF(2)$ . (8) is equivalent to

$$\varphi_\delta(\alpha) \oplus \varphi_{\delta_0}(\alpha) = 0 \quad (9)$$

for each  $\delta \in V_p$ . Since the rank of  $\{\varphi_\delta \oplus \varphi_{\delta_0} | \delta \in V_p\} = q$ , also  $\alpha \in V_q$ , there exists no nonzero  $\alpha$  satisfying (9), a set of linear equations about  $\alpha$ . This proves that  $g$  has no linear structures.

The condition in this conclusion is easy to satisfy. For example,  $h_1(x) = x_1, h_2(x) = x_2, \dots, h_q(x) = x_q$  are linearly independent functions on  $V_q$ . Let  $\varphi_0$  be an arbitrary linear function on  $V_q$ . Write  $\varphi_j = h_j \oplus \varphi_0, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Thus  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_q \oplus \varphi_0$  are linearly independent. To construct function  $g$ , defined by (5), we need  $2^p$  linear functions on  $V_q$ , whose collection is denoted by  $\mathcal{U} = \{\varphi_\delta, |\delta \in V_p\}$ . Count  $\varphi_1 = h_1 \oplus \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_q = h_q \oplus \varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_0$ , as  $q + 1$  linear functions in  $\mathcal{U}$  and choose any  $2^p - q - 1$  linear functions on  $V_q$  as the rest we construct  $\mathcal{U}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfies the condition in the above conclusion.

Also,  $g$  still satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), mentioned in the beginning of this subsection. We only need to expline (iv). Since  $2^p - p - 1$  linear functions can be chosen arbitrarily after  $q + 1$  linear functions are fixed, we can make  $\bigoplus_{\delta \in V_p} \varphi_\delta \neq 0$ . By the proof of Lemma 3 of [21], the degree of  $g$  is  $p + 1$ .

The request that a function has no linear structures is an important criterion for cryptographic function as a linear structure shows the function has an unnecessary variable that will be identified by cryptographic attack.

It is impossible to calculate the maximum  $\Delta_\gamma$  of functions constructed in (5) unless further request or information are given. However we guarantee that we can easily construct functions without linear structures using (5).

## 5 New Construction of Cryptographic Functions with Small Difference

In this section we construct balanced functions having high nonlinearity and satisfying the propagation criterion with respect to many vectors. Furthermore we require the functions to have small  $\sigma(f)$  and difference. Big difference with respect to a nonzero vector implies the avalanche effect of the function is weak. In particular, if the difference with respect to a nonzero vector reaches the maxmun value i.e. the vector is a linear structure, the function contains an unnecessary variable. In this paper we are concerned with the difference as well as other criteria and make the difference as small as possible. At least, we require the functions to have no linear structure.

### 5.1 On $V_{2k}$

For  $z \in V_{2k}$ , write  $z = (y, x), y \in V_k, x \in V_k$ . Set

$$g(z) = g(y, x) = \begin{cases} \langle y, x \rangle & \text{if } y \neq 0 \\ \langle \alpha_1, x \rangle & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^k-1}$  are defined as at beginning of Section 1.

Obviously, for any fixed  $\alpha \in V_k$ ,  $g(\alpha, x)$  is a nonzero linear function and thus balanced. Hence we have

**Lemma 12**  *$g$ , a function on  $V_{2k}$ , defined as in (10), is balanced.*

Let  $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$  be a nonzero vector in  $V_{2k}$ , where  $\beta, \alpha \in V_k$ . By the definition of  $\Delta(\gamma)$

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{y \in V_k} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y,x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)}.$$

Case 1:  $\beta \neq 0$ .

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{y=0, \beta} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y,x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)} + \sum_{y \neq 0, \beta} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y,x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)}.$$

For  $y = 0$ ,

$$g(0, x) \oplus g(\beta, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle \alpha_1, x \rangle \oplus \langle \beta, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha_1 \oplus \beta, x \rangle \oplus \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle. \quad (11)$$

For  $y = \beta$ ,

$$g(\beta, x) \oplus g(0, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle \beta, x \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_1, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha_1 \oplus \beta, x \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle. \quad (12)$$

For  $y \neq 0, \beta$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle y, x \rangle \oplus \langle y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \oplus \langle \beta, x \rangle \oplus \langle y, \alpha \rangle. \quad (13)$$

Case1.1:  $\beta = \alpha_1$ . In this case, (11) becomes  $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ , (12) becomes  $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle$  and (13) is a nonzero linear function of  $x$  for fixed  $y$  and thus balanced. Hence

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in V_k} [(-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle} + (-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle}] =$$

$$2 \cdot 2^k \cdot c = 2^{k+1} c$$

where  $c = (-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle} = \pm 1$ .

Case 1.2:  $\beta \neq \alpha_1$ . (11), (12) and (13) are all nonzero linear functions and thus balanced. Hence  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ .

Case 2:  $\beta = 0$ . In this case,  $\alpha \neq 0$  is necessary. (10) is specialized as

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(0,x) \oplus g(0,x \oplus \alpha)} + \sum_{y \neq 0} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y,x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)}.$$

For  $y = 0$ ,

$$g(0, x) \oplus g(0, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle \alpha_1, x \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_1, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle. \quad (14)$$

For  $y \neq 0$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle y, x \rangle \oplus \langle y, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle y, \alpha \rangle. \quad (15)$$

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle} + \sum_{y \neq 0} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle y, \alpha \rangle} =$$

$$\sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle} + \sum_{y \in V_k} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle y, \alpha \rangle} - \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle 0, \alpha \rangle}.$$

Note that  $\langle y, \alpha \rangle$  is a nonzero linear function and thus balanced.

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle} - \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle 0, \alpha \rangle} = \sum_{x \in V_k} [(-1)^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle} - 1] =$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle = 0 \\ 2^{k+1} & \text{if } \langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle = 1 \end{cases}$$

Summarize Cases 1 and 2, we conclude

**Lemma 13** *Let  $g$ , be the function on  $V_{2k}$ , defined as in (10). Then*

$$|\Delta(\gamma)| \leq 2^{k+1}$$

*for any nonzero vector  $\gamma \in V_{2k}$ .*

Lemma 13 shows that function  $g$  has no linear structure furthermore the difference with respect to any nonzero vector is bounded by a small value.

In Case 1.2,  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\beta \neq \alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha$  is arbitrary. There exist  $(2^k - 2)2^k = 2^{2k} - 2^{k+1}$  such  $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$ .

In Case 2,  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$ .  $\alpha$  satisfies  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha \rangle = 0$ , that has  $2^{k-1} - 1$  nonzero solutions of  $\alpha$ .

Hence there exist  $2^{2k} - 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1$   $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$  such that  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ . Since  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$  if and only if  $g(z) \oplus g(z \oplus \gamma)$  is balanced, we have the following conclusion

**Lemma 14**  *$g$ , a function on  $V_{2k}$ , defined as in (10) satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $2^{2k} - 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1$  nonzero vectors.*

Let  $\ell_i$  be the sequence of linear function, on  $V_k$ ,  $\langle \alpha_i, x \rangle$ . By Lemma 2 of [20],  $\ell_i$  is the  $i$ th row of  $H_k$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$ .

Let  $\xi$  be the sequence of  $g$ , defined as in (10). From Lemma 1 of [20],

$$\xi = (\ell_1, \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{2^k-1}).$$

Let  $L_s$  be the  $s$ th row of  $H_{2^k}$ . By Lemma 2 of [20],  $L_s$  is a linear sequence of length  $2^{2k}$ . Since  $H_{2^k} = H_k \times H_k$ ,  $L_s$  can be rewritten as  $L_s = \ell_p \times \ell_q$ , for some  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $0 \leq p, q, \leq 2^k - 1$ .

Write  $\ell_p = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2^k-1})$  thus

$$L_s = (c_0 \ell_q, c_1 \ell_q, \dots, c_{2^k-1} \ell_q).$$

Since  $H_k$  is a Hadamard matrix,  $\langle \ell_i, \ell_j \rangle = 0$ , if  $j \neq i$ .

Hence

$$\langle \xi, L_s \rangle = \begin{cases} (c_0 + c_1) \langle \ell_1, \ell_1 \rangle = (c_0 + c_1) 2^k & \text{if } q = 1 \\ \pm 2^k & \text{if } q \neq 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that  $c_0 = 1$  and  $c_1 = \pm 1$ . There exist  $2^{k-1}$   $\ell_p$  such that  $c_1 = 1$ . Hence there exist  $2^{k-1}$   $L_s$  such that  $L_s = \ell_p \times \ell_q$  with  $c_1 = 1$  and  $q = 1$ . For such  $L_s$   $\langle \xi, L_s \rangle = 2^{k+1}$ .

For  $c_1 = -1$ ,  $\langle \xi, L \rangle = 0$ .

There exists  $2^k \cdot (2^k - 2)$   $L_s$  such that  $L_s = \ell_p \times \ell_q$  with  $q \neq 0, 1$ . For such  $L_s$   $\langle \xi, L_s \rangle = \pm 2^k$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(g) &= 2^{-2k} \sum_{s=0}^{2^{2k}-1} \langle \xi, L_s \rangle^4 = 2^{k-1} \cdot 2^{4(k+1)} + 2^k \cdot (2^k - 2) \cdot 2^{4k} \\ &= 2^{4k} + 2^{3k+3} - 2^{3k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the following conclusion

**Lemma 15**  $g$ , a function on  $V_{2^k}$ , defined as in (10) satisfies  $\sigma(g) = 2^{4k} + 2^{3k+3} - 2^{3k+1}$ .

Note that  $|\langle \xi, L_s \rangle| \leq 2^{k+1}$  for any  $L_s$ , that is a row of  $H_{2^k}$ , also a linear sequence of length  $2^{2k}$ . By using Lemma 3 of [20], we have

**Lemma 16**  $g$ , a function on  $V_{2^k}$ , defined as in (10) satisfies  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ .

Summarize Lemmas 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 we have

**Theorem 5** Let  $g$  be the function on  $V_{2^k}$ , defined as in (10). Then



- (i)  $g$  is balanced;
- (ii)  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ ,
- (iii)  $g$  satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $2^{2k} - 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1$  nonzero vectors,
- (iv)  $\sigma(g) = 2^{4k} + 2^{3k+3} - 2^{3k+1}$ ,
- (v)  $|\Delta(\gamma)| \leq 2^{k+1}$  for any nonzero vector  $\gamma \in V_{2k}$ .

Furthermore, since  $g$  does not satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $2^{2k} - (2^{2k} - 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1) = 2^{k+1} - 2^{k-1} + 1 < 2^{2k-1}$  vectors, by Theorem 2 of [22], there exists a nonsingular matrix of order  $2k$  over  $GF(2)$ , say  $A$ , such that  $h(z) = f(zA)$  satisfies the strict avalanche criterion (SAC).

## 5.2 On $V_{2k+1}$

**Lemma 17** *There exists a permutation on  $V_k$ , say  $m(u)$ , such that  $u \oplus m(u)$  runs through all the vectors in  $V_k$  while  $u$  runs through  $V_k$  once.*

*Proof.* There are many proofs of this lemma. For example, from Section 4, [21], there exists a matrix, say  $E$ , of order  $2^k$  with entries linear functions on  $V_k$  such that each row (except for the top row) is a listing of all the linear functions on  $V_k$  and the sum of any two distinct rows is a listing of all linear functions on  $V_k$ .

Let the second and the third rows be

$$\varphi_{2,0}, \varphi_{2,1}, \dots, \varphi_{2,2^k-1}$$

and

$$\varphi_{3,0}, \varphi_{3,1}, \dots, \varphi_{3,2^k-1}$$

respectively, where each  $\varphi_{ij}$  is a linear function on  $V_k$ . Define a permutation  $m$  on all linear functions on  $V_k$  by the following regulation

$$m(\varphi_{2,j}) = \varphi_{3,j}$$

$j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$ . By the property of matrix  $E$ ,

$$\varphi_{2,j} \oplus m(\varphi_{2,j}) = \varphi_{2,j} \oplus \varphi_{3,j}$$

runs through all the linear functions on  $V_k$  while  $j$  runs through  $0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$ . Since all the linear functions on  $V_k$  is also a vector space isomorphic to  $V_k$  the lemma has been proved.  $\square$

Write  $W_1 = \{(0, u) | u \in V_k\}$ ,  $W_2 = \{(1, u) | u \in V_k\}$ , where  $0, 1 \in GF(2)$ . Obviously,  $V_{k+1} = W_1 \cup W_2$ .

For any  $y \in V_{k+1}$ , write  $y = (y_1, u)$ ,  $y_1 \in GF(2)$ ,  $u \in V_k$ .

For  $z \in V_{2k+1}$ , write  $z = (y, x)$ ,  $y \in V_{k+1}$ ,  $x \in V_k$ . Set

$$g(z) = g(y, x) = \begin{cases} 1 \oplus \langle u, x \rangle & \text{if } y \in W_1 \\ \langle m(u), x \rangle & \text{if } y \in W_2 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

For any fixed  $\alpha \in V_k$ , there exists a unique  $\alpha' \in V_k$ , such that  $m(\alpha') = \alpha$ . Write  $y' = (0, \alpha)$ ,  $y'' = (1, \alpha')$ . By the definition in (16),  $g(y', x) = 1 \oplus \langle \alpha, x \rangle$  and  $g(y'', x) = \langle m(\alpha'), x \rangle = \langle \alpha, x \rangle$ . This proves the following lemma

**Lemma 18**  *$g$ , a function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , defined as in (16), is balanced.*

Let  $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$  be a nonzero vector in  $V_{2k+1}$ , where  $\beta \in V_{k+1}$ ,  $\alpha \in V_k$ . By the definition of  $\Delta(\gamma)$

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{y \in W_1} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y,x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)} + \sum_{y \in W_2} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y,x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)}.$$

Case 1:  $\beta \neq 0$ .

Case 1.1:  $\beta \in W_1$  thus  $y \in W_1$  implies  $y \oplus \beta \in W_1$  and  $y \in W_2$  implies  $y \oplus \beta \in W_2$ .

Write  $\beta = (0, \tau)$ . Since  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ . For  $y \in W_1$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle u, x \rangle \oplus \langle u \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle u, \alpha \rangle \oplus \langle \tau, x \rangle \oplus \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle$$

is a nonzero linear function of  $x$  for a fixed  $y$ .

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle m(u), x \rangle \oplus \langle m(u \oplus \beta), x \oplus \alpha \rangle =$$

$$\langle m(u) \oplus m(u \oplus \tau), x \rangle \oplus \langle m(u \oplus \beta), \alpha \rangle$$

is a nonzero linear function and thus balanced since  $m(u) \oplus m(u \oplus \tau) \neq 0$  for  $\tau \neq 0$ . Hence  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ .

Case 1.2:  $\beta \in W_2$  thus  $y \in W_1$  implies  $y \oplus \beta \in W_2$  and  $y \in W_2$  implies  $y \oplus \beta \in W_1$ .

For  $y \in W_1$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle u, x \rangle \oplus \langle m(u \oplus \tau), x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle u \oplus m(u \oplus \tau), x \rangle \oplus \langle m(u \oplus \tau), \alpha \rangle.$$

By the properties of permutation  $m(u)$ , there exists a unique  $u_0$  such that

$$m(u_0) \oplus m(u_0 \oplus \tau) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Thus  $g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)$  is a nonzero linear function and thus balanced for any fixed  $y = (0, u) \neq (0, u_0)$ .

For  $y \in W_2$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle m(u), x \rangle \oplus \langle u \oplus \tau, x \oplus \alpha \rangle =$$

$$\langle m(u) \oplus u \oplus \tau, x \rangle \oplus \langle u \oplus \tau, \alpha \rangle.$$

By the properties of permutation  $m(u)$ , there exists a unique  $u_*$  such that

$$m(u_*) \oplus u_* \oplus \tau = 0. \quad (18)$$

Thus  $g(y, x) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta, x \oplus \alpha)$  is a nonzero linear function and thus balanced for any fixed  $y \neq (1, u), (1, u_*)$ .

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{\langle m(u_0 \oplus \tau), \alpha \rangle} + \sum_{x \in V_k} +(-1)^{\langle u_* \oplus \tau, \alpha \rangle}.$$

Rewrite (17) and (18) as

$$m(u_0 \oplus \tau) \oplus u_0 \oplus \tau = \tau$$

and

$$m(u_*) \oplus u_* = \tau$$

respectively. By the properties of permutation  $m(u)$ ,  $m(u_*) = u \oplus \tau$ . This causes  $m(u_0 \oplus \tau) = u_* \oplus \tau$ . Hence

$$\Delta(\gamma) = 2^k [(-1)^{\langle m(u_0 \oplus \tau), \alpha \rangle} + (-1)^{\langle u_* \oplus \tau, \alpha \rangle}] = \pm 2^{k+1}.$$

Case 2:  $\beta = 0$ . In this case,  $\alpha \neq 0$  is necessary.

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \sum_{y \in W_1} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y, x) \oplus g(y, x \oplus \alpha)} + \sum_{y \in W_2, \beta} \sum_{x \in V_k} (-1)^{g(y, x) \oplus g(y, x \oplus \alpha)}.$$

For  $y \in W_1$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle u, x \rangle \oplus \langle u, x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle u, \alpha \rangle$$

is a nonzero linear function of  $x$ , and thus balanced.

For  $y \in W_2$ ,

$$g(y, x) \oplus g(y, x \oplus \alpha) = \langle m(u), x \rangle \oplus \langle m(u), x \oplus \alpha \rangle = \langle m(u), \alpha \rangle.$$

Since  $m(u)$  is a permutation on  $V_n$ ,  $m(u)$  will run through  $V_k$  once while  $u$  runs through  $V_k$  once. On the other hand,  $\langle u, \alpha \rangle$  is balanced hence  $\langle m(u), \alpha \rangle$  is balanced.

This proves that  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$  in Case 2.

Summarize Cases 1 and 2, we conclude

**Lemma 19** *Let  $g$ , be the function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , defined as in (16). Then*

$$|\Delta(\gamma)| \leq 2^{k+1}$$

for any nonzero vector  $\gamma \in V_{2k+1}$ .

Lemma 19 shows that function  $g$  has no linear structure furthermore the difference with respect to any nonzero vector is bounded by a small value.

In Case 1.1,  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ , and  $\beta \in W_1$  while  $\alpha$  is arbitrary. There exist  $(2^k - 1)2^k = 2^{2k} - 2^k$  such  $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$ .

In Case 2,  $\Delta(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$ .  $\alpha \neq 0$  is arbitrary. There exist  $2^k - 1$  such  $\gamma = (\beta, \alpha)$ .

Summarize Cases 1.1 and 2 we have

**Lemma 20**  *$g$ , a function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , defined as in (16) satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $2^{2k} - 1$  nonzero vectors.*

Let  $\ell_i$  be the  $i$ th row of  $H_{k+1}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1$ . By Lemma 2 of [20], each  $\ell_i$  is a linear sequence of length  $2^{k+1}$ .

Similarly, denote  $i$ th row of  $H_k$  by  $e_i$ . this is the sequence. By Lemma 2 of [20],  $e_i$  is the sequence of linear function  $\langle \alpha_i, x \rangle = 0$ , where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^k-1}$  are defined as at beginning of Section 1.

Recall (16), for fixed  $\beta = (y_1, \tau)$ ,  $y_1 \in GF(2)$ ,  $\tau \in V_k$ .

$$g(\beta, x) = \begin{cases} 1 \oplus \langle \tau, x \rangle & \text{if } \beta \in W_1 \\ \langle m(\tau), x \rangle & \text{if } \beta \in W_2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Clearly, for any fixed  $\beta \in V_{k+1}$ ,  $g(\beta, x)$  is a linear function on  $V_k$ .

Let  $\xi$  be the sequence of  $g$ , defined as in (10). From Lemma 1 of [20],  $\xi$  is a concatenation of  $2^{k+1}$  linear sequences of length  $2^k$ . Furthermore, from (19), each linear sequence of length  $2^k$  appear in this concatenation (20). Write

$$\xi = -e_0, -e_1, \dots, -e_{2^k-1}, e'_0, e'_1, \dots, e'_{2^k-1} \quad (20)$$

where  $e'_0, e'_1, \dots, e'_{2^k-1}$  is a permutation of  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2^k-1}$ , this permutation depends on permutation  $m(u)$ .

Let  $L_s$  be the  $s$ th row of  $H_{2k+1}$ . By Lemma 2 of [20],  $L_s$  is a linear sequence of length  $2^{2k+1}$ . Since  $H_{2k} = H_{k+1} \times H_k$ ,  $L_s$  can be rewritten as  $L_s = \ell_p \times e_q$ , for some  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq 2^{k+1} - 1$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq 2^k - 1$ .

Write  $\ell_p = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2^{k+1}-1})$  thus

$$L_s = (c_0 e_q, c_1 e_q, \dots, c_{2^{k+1}-1} e_q).$$

Since  $H_k$  is a Hadamard matrix,  $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ , if  $j \neq i$ .

Let  $e_q$  appear the  $i$ th entry and  $j$ th ( $j > 2^k - 1$ ) entry in the sequence (20), whose entries come from linear sequences of length  $2^k$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, L_s \rangle &= \langle \xi, \ell_p \times e_q \rangle = (-c_i + c_j) \langle e_q, e_q \rangle = (-c_i + c_j) 2^k \\ &= \begin{cases} \pm 2^{k+1} & \text{if } c_j = -c_i \\ 0 & \text{if } c_j = c_i \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

There exist  $2^{2k} L_s = \ell_p \times e_q$  such that  $c_j = -c_i$  and  $2^{2k} L_s = \ell_p \times e_q$  such that  $c_j = c_i$  and  $q = 1$ .

Hence

$$\sigma(g) = 2^{-2k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{2^{2k+1}-1} \langle \xi, L_s \rangle^4 = 2^{-2k-1} \cdot 2^{2k} \cdot 2^{4(k+1)} = 2^{4k+3}.$$

This proves that the following conclusion

**Lemma 21**  $g$ , a function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , defined as in (16) satisfies  $\sigma(g) = 2^{4k+3}$ .

Note that  $|\langle \xi, L_s \rangle| \leq 2^{k+1}$  for any  $L_s$ , that is a row of  $H_{2k+1}$ , also a linear sequence of length  $2^{2k+1}$ . By using Lemma 3 of [20], we have

**Lemma 22**  $g$ , a function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , defined as in (16) satisfies  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ .

Summarize Lemmas 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 we have

**Theorem 6** Let  $g$  be the function on  $V_{2k+1}$ , defined as in (16). Then

- (i)  $g$  is balanced;
- (ii)  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ ,
- (iii)  $g$  satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to  $2^{2k} - 1$  nonzero vectors,
- (iv)  $\sigma(g) = 2^{4k+3}$ ,
- (v)  $|\Delta(\gamma)| \leq 2^{k+1}$  for any nonzero vector  $\gamma \in V_{2k}$ .

We indicate that  $g$  does not satisfies the SAC even we use any nonsingular linear transformation on the variables, since there exists no  $2k + 1$  linearly independent vectors which  $g$  satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to.

## 6 Group Hadamard Matrices and Bent Functions

The properties of *Group Hadamard Matrices* are:

1. Let  $G$  be a group. Define a vector, say  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , where each  $p_j \in G$ .
2. The product  $*$  of two vectors, say  $p$  and  $q$ , is  $p * q = (p_1q_1, \dots, p_nq_n)$ , where  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ .
3. The inverse of  $p$  is  $p^{-1} = (p_1^{-1}, \dots, p_n^{-1})$ , where  $p_j^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $p_j$  in  $G$ .
4.  $p$  and  $q$  are *s-orthogonal* if  $p * q^{-1} = (p_1q_1^{-1}, \dots, p_nq_n^{-1})$  is  $s$  listings of  $G$ . In this case  $n = s|G|$ .

**Definition 12** A square matrix with elements from  $G$  is called a generalized Hadamard matrix of type  $s$  for group  $G$  if its rows are mutually  $s$ -orthogonal (Butson, Drake).

A  $(1, -1)$  Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  is a generalized Hadamard matrix of type  $\frac{1}{2}n$  for the group  $G = \{1, -1\}$ .

**Example 24 (Generalized Hadamard Matrix)** Let  $\varepsilon$  be the root of a primitive equation on  $GF(2^3)$ , say  $1 \oplus x \oplus x^3 = 0$ .

List

$$1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^3, \varepsilon^4, \varepsilon^5, \varepsilon^6.$$

$$1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon, \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon^2.$$

For convenience, write  $a \oplus b\varepsilon \oplus c\varepsilon^2$  as  $(abc) \in V_3$ . Correspondingly, the above sequence becomes

$$(100), (010), (001), (110), (011), (111), (101).$$

Set

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} (000) & (000) & (000) & (000) & (000) & (000) & (000) & (000) \\ (000) & (100) & (010) & (001) & (110) & (011) & (111) & (101) \\ (000) & (010) & (001) & (110) & (011) & (111) & (101) & (100) \\ (000) & (001) & (110) & (011) & (111) & (101) & (100) & (010) \\ (000) & (110) & (011) & (111) & (101) & (100) & (010) & (001) \\ (000) & (011) & (111) & (101) & (100) & (010) & (001) & (110) \\ (000) & (111) & (101) & (100) & (010) & (001) & (110) & (011) \\ (000) & (101) & (100) & (010) & (001) & (110) & (011) & (111) \end{bmatrix}$$

The second row of  $N$  is the above sequence with  $(000)$ . The third row is the left shifting the second and keeping  $(000)$ . The fourth row is the left shifting the third and keeping  $(000)$  ....

$N$  is a generalized Hadamard matrix of type 1 for group  $G = V_3$ .

Changing each  $(abc)$  in  $N$  into  $ax_1 \oplus bx_2 \oplus cx_3$  gives matrix  $M$  whose second row is

$$0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2, x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_3.$$

$M$  is a generalized Hadamard matrix of type 1 for group

$$G = \{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2, x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3\}.$$

Any  $(1, -1)$  Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  is type  $\frac{1}{2}n$  for group  $G = \{1, -1\}$ .

**Definition 13** Let  $M$  be a generalized Hadamard matrix of type  $s$  for group  $G$ .  $M$  is called a *group Hadamard matrix* if the rows of  $M$  and the columns form a group under the operation  $*$  (Butson, Drake and de Launey).

If  $G$  is cyclic then any row group Hadamard matrix for  $G$  is also a column group Hadamard matrix.

**Example 25 (Group Hadamard Matrix)** The matrix  $N$  in a previous example is a group Hadamard matrix of type 1 for  $G = V_3$ .

$$\{1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon, \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon, \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon^2\}.$$

**Example 26 (Group Hadamard Matrix)**  $M$  in a previous example is a group Hadamard matrix of type 1 for

$$G = \{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2, x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3\}.$$

**Example 27 (Group Hadamard Matrix)**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a group Hadamard matrix of type 4 for group  $G = GF(2)$ .

**Example 28 (Group Hadamard Matrix)** Replace elements 0 and 1 in  $M$  by 1 and  $-1$  respectively a group Hadamard matrix of type 4 for group  $G = \{1, -1\}$ .

Sylvester-Hadamard matrix  $H_n$  is a group Hadamard matrix of type  $2^{n-1}$  for the group  $G = \{1, -1\}$ . For example,

$$H_3 = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & - & + & - & + & - \\ + & + & - & - & + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + & + & - & - & + \\ + & + & + & + & - & - & - & - \\ + & - & + & - & - & + & - & + \\ + & + & - & - & - & - & + & + \\ + & - & - & + & - & + & + & - \end{bmatrix}$$

is a group Hadamard matrix of type  $2^2 = 4$  for the group  $G = \{1, -1\}$ .

**Example 29 (A Set of Bent Functions)** Let  $\ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5, \ell_6, \ell_7$  be the truth table of the linear functions on  $V_3$ :

$$\{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2, x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3\}$$

respectively.

Construct seven  $(0, 1)$ -sequences (truth tables) of length  $2^6$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} \eta_1 = \ell_0 \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 \ \ell_4 \ \ell_5 \ \ell_6 \ \ell_7 \\ \eta_2 = \ell_0 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 \ \ell_4 \ \ell_5 \ \ell_6 \ \ell_7 \ \ell_1 \\ \eta_3 = \ell_0 \ \ell_3 \ \ell_4 \ \ell_5 \ \ell_6 \ \ell_7 \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \\ \eta_4 = \ell_0 \ \ell_4 \ \ell_5 \ \ell_6 \ \ell_7 \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 \ . \\ \eta_5 = \ell_0 \ \ell_5 \ \ell_6 \ \ell_7 \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 \ \ell_4 \\ \eta_6 = \ell_0 \ \ell_6 \ \ell_7 \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 \ \ell_4 \ \ell_5 \\ \eta_7 = \ell_0 \ \ell_7 \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 \ \ell_4 \ \ell_5 \ \ell_6 \end{array}$$

Since  $M$  is a group Hadamard matrix, for any  $j \neq i$  there exists a unique  $t$  such that  $\eta_j \oplus \eta_i = \eta_t$ .

Thus if we let  $\eta_j$  be the truth table of a (bent) function  $V_6$ , say  $g_j$ , for any  $j \neq i$  there exists a unique  $t$  such that  $g_j \oplus g_i = g_t$ .

$g_1, g_2$  and  $g_3$  are linearly independent. Thus any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  is also a bent function on  $V_6$ .

This construction can be extended to any dimension i.e. for any even number, say  $n$  there exist  $\frac{1}{2}n$  bent functions on  $V_n$  such that any nonzero linear combination of them is also a bent function on  $V_n$ . Since bent functions are not balanced we must modify them.

**Example 30 (Independent Set of Bent Functions)** Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  be bent functions on  $V_{2k}$  whose any nonzero linear combination of them is also a bent function on  $V_{2k}$ .



Let  $\eta_j$  be the truth table of  $g_j$ . Write

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \eta_1 = \ell_0 & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \cdots & \ell_{2^k} \\ \eta_2 = \ell_0 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \cdots & \ell_1 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ \eta_k = \ell_0 & \ell_k & \ell_{k+1} & \cdots & \ell_{k-1}, \end{array}$$

where each  $\ell_j$  is the truth table  $\ell_0$  is the truth table of the zero linear functions.

**Example 31 (Modifying A Set of Independent Functions)** Select any  $2^t$  ( $t < k$ ) columns of the above array excluding the first column. We have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \xi_1 = \ell_{j_1} & \ell_{j_2} & \cdots & \ell_{j_{2^t}} \\ \eta_2 = \ell_{j_1+1} & \ell_{j_2+1} & \cdots & \ell_{j_{2^t}+1} \\ & \vdots & & \\ \eta_k = \ell_{j_1+k-1} & \ell_{j_2+k-1} & \cdots & \ell_{j_{2^t}+k-1}. \end{array}$$

Each  $\xi_j$  is of length  $2^{k+t}$ , thus it is the truth table of a function on  $V_{k+t}$ , say  $f_j$ .

Set

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)),$$

where  $x \in V_{k+t}$ . Then  $F$  is a mapping from  $V_{k+t}$  to  $V_k$ .

Select any  $2^t$  ( $t < k$ ) columns of the above array excluding the first column. We have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \xi_1 = \ell_{j_1} & \ell_{j_2} & \cdots & \ell_{j_{2^t}} \\ \eta_2 = \ell_{j_1+1} & \ell_{j_2+1} & \cdots & \ell_{j_{2^t}+1} \\ & \vdots & & \\ \eta_k = \ell_{j_1+k-1} & \ell_{j_2+k-1} & \cdots & \ell_{j_{2^t}+k-1}. \end{array}$$

Each  $\xi_j$  is of length  $2^{k+t}$ , thus it is the truth table of a function on  $V_{k+t}$ , say  $f_j$ .  
Set

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)),$$

where  $x \in V_{k+t}$ . Then  $F$  is a mapping from  $V_{k+t}$  to  $V_k$ .

**Example 32 (A Set of Bent Functions)** Let  $\varepsilon$  be the root of a primitive equation on  $GF(2^3)$ , say  $1 \oplus x \oplus x^3 = 0$ .

Consider

$$1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^3, \varepsilon^4, \varepsilon^5, \varepsilon^6$$

and rewrite as

$$1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon, \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^2, 1 \oplus \varepsilon^2.$$

Change  $1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2$  into  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  respectively. We have

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2, x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3, x_1 \oplus x_3.$$

Shifting this sequence six times gives seven sequences which form the core of  $M$ . From  $M$  we construct seven  $(0, 1)$  sequences (truth tables)  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_7$ , as mentioned previously. Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  be the truth tables of  $g_1, g_2, g_3$ . Then any nonzero linear combination of  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  is bent.

## 7 S-Box Design

**Definition 14** A  $n \times k$  *S-box* is a mapping from  $V_n$  to  $V_k$ :

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

where  $n \geq k$ , each  $f_j(x)$  is a function on  $V_n$ .

We require:

- (i) Any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ , say  $f = c_1 f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus c_k f_k, (c_1, \dots, c_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ , to be balanced,
- (ii) any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  to be highly nonlinear,
- (iii) any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  to satisfy SAC,
- (iv)  $F(x)$  to be *regular* run through each vector in  $V_k$   $2^{n-k}$  times while  $x$  runs through  $V_n$  once,
- (v)  $F(x)$  to have *good differential distribution* i.e.  $F(x) \oplus F(x \oplus \alpha)$  runs through some  $2^{k-1}$  vectors in  $V_k$  each  $2^{n-k+1}$  times while  $x$  runs through  $V_n$  once, but does not take another  $2^{k-1}$  vectors at all (Biham and Shamir) [3].

**Note :** (ii) and (iv) are equivalent and some criteria have to be weakened if they cannot be satisfied completely.

**Example 33 (S-Box properties)** Consider the  $3 \times 3$  *S-box* mapping from  $V_3$  to  $V_3$ :

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x))$$

where

$$f_1 = x_1 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_2 x_3, f_2 = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_1 x_2 \oplus x_2 x_3, f_3 = x_1 x_2 \oplus x_2 x_3 \oplus x_1 x_3.$$

Then

- (i) Any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ , say  $f = c_1f_1 \oplus c_2f_2 \oplus c_3f_3$ ,  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ , is balanced,
- (ii) any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ , say  $f$ , has nonlinearity 2 i.e.  $N_f \geq 2$  (maximum for balanced functions on  $V_3$ ),
- (iii) any nonzero linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ , say  $f$ , satisfies the propagation criterion except for a single nonzero vector related to the particular combination,  $f$ ,
- (iv)  $F(x)$  is regular, in this case, it is a permutation,
- (v)  $F(x)$  has *good differential distribution* i.e.  $F(x) \oplus F(x \oplus \alpha)$  runs through some  $2^2$  vectors in  $V_3$  each  $2^1$  times while  $x$  runs through  $V_3$  once, but does not assume another  $2^2$  vectors at all.

**Example 34 (Difference Distribution)** For example, let  $\alpha = (001)$ .  $F(x) \oplus F(x \oplus \alpha)$  runs through some  $(010), (011), (100), (101)$  each  $2^1$  times while  $x$  runs through  $V_3$  once.

Let  $\alpha = (111)$ .  $F(x) \oplus F(x \oplus \alpha)$  runs through some  $(001), (011), (101), (111)$  each  $2^1$  times while  $x$  runs through  $V_3$  once.

**Example 35 (Polynomial Permutation)** Note that any element in  $GF(2^n)$ , say  $\alpha$ , can be expressed as  $\alpha = a_1 \oplus a_2\varepsilon \oplus \dots \oplus a_n\varepsilon^{n-1}$ , where each  $a_j \in GF(2)$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a primitive element of  $GF(2^n)$ . Thus we have establish a relationship between  $V_n$  and  $GF(2^n)$ , this is isomorphism under the operation, this is boolean addition. Let  $n$  be odd. Hence  $F(x) = x^3$ , where  $x \in V_n$ , can be regarded a permutation on  $V_n$ . It has been proved that

- (i) Any nonzero linear combination of the coordinate functions, say  $f$ , is balanced (i.e. regular, a permutation),
- (ii) any nonzero linear combination of the coordinate function, say  $f$ , has nonlinearity  $N_f \geq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}$ ,
- (iii) any nonzero linear combination of the coordinate function, say  $f$ , satisfies the propagation criterion except for a single nonzero vector related to the particular combination,  $f$ ,
- (iv)  $F(x)$  has *good differential distribution* i.e.  $F(x) \oplus F(x \oplus \alpha)$  runs through some  $2^{n-1}$  vectors in  $V_n$  each  $2^1$  times while  $x$  runs through  $V_n$  once, but does not assume another  $2^{n-1}$  vectors at all (Pieprzyk, Tavares and Nyberg).

**Example 36 (A Counterexample for S-box Design)** (i) Let

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

is a regular mapping with good differential distribution from  $V_n$  to  $V_k$ . Then

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_t(x)),$$

where  $t < k$ , is regular but does not have good differential distribution.

(ii) Let

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

be a regular mapping from  $V_n$  to  $V_k$  and  $F$  have good differential distribution. Then there exist functions on  $V_n$ , say

$$f_{k+1}(x), \dots, f_s(x)$$

such that

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x), f_{k+1}(x), \dots, f_s(x))$$

be a regular mapping from  $V_n$  to  $V_s$  but cannot have good differential distribution.

There exist a nonsingular matrix of order  $k + t$  such that  $F^*(x) = F(xA)$ , another mapping from  $V_{k+t}$  to  $V_k$ , has the following properties:

- (i) any nonzero linear combination of the coordinates of  $F^*$  is balanced,
- (ii) any nonzero linear combination of the coordinates of  $F^*$  has the nonlinearity no less than  $2^{k+t-1} - 2^{k-1}$ ,
- (iii) any nonzero linear combination of the coordinates of  $F^*$  satisfies SAC,
- (iv) any nonzero linear combination of the coordinates of  $F^*$  has the algebraic  $t + 1$ .

$F^*$  does not satisfy the good differential distribution. However we can add other special functions, for example, those we saw in the above examples, to  $F^*$  as some more coordinate functions and obtain a new mapping with better differential distribution.

## References

- [1] C. M. Adams and S. E. Tavares. Generating and counting binary bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-36 No. 5:1170–1173, 1990.
- [2] C. M. Adams and S. E. Tavares. The use of bent sequences to achieve higher-order strict avalanche criterion. Technical Report, TR 90-013, Department of Electrical Engineering, Queen's University, 1990.
- [3] E. Biham and A. Shamir. Differential cryptanalysis of DES-like cryptosystems. *Journal of Cryptology*, Vol. 4, No. 1:3–72, 1991.
- [4] J. Detombe and S. Tavares. Constructing large cryptographically strong S-boxes. In *Advances in Cryptology - AUSCRYPT'92*, volume 718, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 165–181. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [5] J. F. Dillon. A survey of bent functions. *The NSA Technical Journal*, pages 191–215, 1972. (unclassified).
- [6] M. Hall, Jr. *Combinatorial Theory*. Ginn-Blaisdell, Waltham, 1967.

- [7] P. V. Kumar and R. A. Scholtz. Bounds on the linear span of bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-29 No. 6:854–862, 1983.
- [8] P. V. Kumar, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch. Generalized bent functions and their properties. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. A, 40:90–107, 1985.
- [9] A. Lempel and M. Cohn. Maximal families of bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-28 No. 6:865–868, 1982.
- [10] V. V. Losev. Decoding of sequences of bent functions by means of a fast Hadamard transform. *Radiotekhnika i elektronika*, 7:1479–1492, 1987.
- [11] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1977.
- [12] W. Meier and O. Staffelbach. Nonlinearity criteria for cryptographic functions. In *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT'89*, volume 434, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 549–562. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990.
- [13] K. Nyberg. Perfect nonlinear S-boxes. In *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT'91*, volume 547, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 378–386. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
- [14] K. Nyberg. On the construction of highly nonlinear permutations. In *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT'92*, volume 658, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 92–98. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [15] J. D. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch. Bent-function sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-28 No. 6:858–864, 1982.
- [16] J. Pieprzyk and G. Finkelstein. Towards effective nonlinear cryptosystem design. *IEE Proceedings (Part E)*, 135:325–335, 1988.
- [17] B. Preneel, R. Govaerts, and J. Vandewalle. Boolean functions satisfying higher order propagation criteria. In *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT'91*, volume 547, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 141–152. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
- [18] B. Preneel, W. V. Leekwijck, L. V. Linden, R. Govaerts, and J. Vandewalle. Propagation characteristics of boolean functions. In *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT'90*, volume 437, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 155–165. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
- [19] O. S. Rothaus. On “bent” functions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. A, 20:300–305, 1976.
- [20] J. Seberry, X. M. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Highly nonlinear 0-1 balanced functions satisfying strict avalanche criterion. In *Advances in Cryptology - AUSCRYPT'92*, volume 718, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 145–155. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.

- [21] J. Seberry, X. M. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Systematic generation of cryptographically robust S-boxes. In *Proceedings of the first ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, pages 172 – 182. The Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 1993.
- [22] J. Seberry, X. M. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Improving the strict avalanche characteristics of cryptographic functions. *Information Processing Letters*, 50:37–41, 1994.
- [23] J. Seberry, X. M. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Nonlinearly balanced boolean functions and their propagation characteristics. In *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO'93*, volume 773, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 49–60. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1994.
- [24] W. D. Wallis, A. Penfold Street, and J. Seberry Wallis. *Combinatorics: Room Squares, sum-free sets, Hadamard Matrices*, volume 292 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
- [25] A. F. Webster. Plaintext/ciphertext bit dependencies in cryptographic system. Master's Thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering, Queen's University, Ontario, 1985.
- [26] A. F. Webster and S. E. Tavares. On the design of S-boxes. In *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO'85*, volume 219, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 523–534. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1986.
- [27] R. Yarlagadda and J. E. Hershey. Analysis and synthesis of bent sequences. *IEE Proceedings (Part E)*, 136:112–123, 1989.