

Inner Product Vectors for skew-Hadamard Matrices

Ilias S. Kotsireas and Jennifer Seberry and Yustina S. Suharini

Dedicated to Hadi Kharaghani on his 70th birthday

Abstract Algorithms to find new orders of skew-Hadamard matrices by complete searches are not efficient and require extensive CPU time. We consider a method relying on pre-calculation of *inner product vectors* aiming to reduce the search space. We apply our method to the algorithm of Seberry-Williamson to construct skew-Hadamard matrices. We find all possible solutions for ≤ 29 . We use these results to improve analysis in order to reduce the search space.

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions

An *Hadamard matrix*, H , of order n is a square ± 1 matrix whose rows (and columns) are pairwise orthogonal, that is $HH^T = nI_n$. Hadamard matrices of order n are conjectured to exist for all orders $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. A weighing matrix, $W = W(n, k)$, of order n and weight k has elements $0, \pm 1$ and satisfies $WW^T = kI_n$. If an Hadamard matrix M , can be written in the

Ilias S. Kotsireas

Wilfrid Laurier University, Department of Physics & Computer Science, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3C5, Canada e-mail: ikotsire@wlu.ca

Jennifer Seberry

Centre for Computer and Information Security Research, SCSSE, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia e-mail: jennie@uow.edu.au

Yustina S. Suharini

Teknik Informatika Institut Teknologi Indonesia, Jl. Puspiptek Raya Serpong, Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia e-mail: yustina.ss@gmail.com

form $M = I + S$ where $S^\top = -S$, then M is said to be a *skew-Hadamard matrix*. Skew Hadamard matrices are also conjectured to exist for all orders $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

However, compared with the knowledge regarding the existence of Hadamard matrices very little is known regarding the existence of skew-Hadamard matrices.

In all our examples minus ("−") is used to denote minus one ("−1").

Example 1. Hadamard matrices

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - \end{bmatrix} \quad H_{4_{\text{symmetric}}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & - & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad H_{4_{\text{skew-type}}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & - & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & - & - \\ - & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & - \end{bmatrix}$$

1.2 Circulant and Type 1 Matrix Basics

Because it is so important to the rest of our work we now spend a little effort to establish why the properties required for Williamson matrices are so important.

We define the *shift matrix*, T of order t by

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So any circulant matrix, of order t and first row x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_t \\ x_t & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{t-1} \\ x_{t-1} & x_t & x_1 & \cdots & x_{t-2} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

can be written as the polynomial

$$x_1 T^\top + x_2 T + x_3 T^2 \cdots x_t T^{t-1}.$$

We now note that polynomials commute, so any circulant matrices of the same order t commute.

We define the *back-diagonal matrix*, R of order t by

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We note $T^a R$ is a polynomial, for integer a , so that, similarly, any back-circulant matrix, of order t and first row x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_t \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_1 \\ x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_2 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ x_t & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

can be written as the polynomial

$$x_1 T^\top R + x_2 T R + x_3 T^2 R \cdots x_t T^{t-1} R.$$

We now note that polynomials commute, so any two back-circulant matrices of the same order t commute.

Definition 1. A *circulant matrix* $C = (c_{ij})$ of order t is a matrix which satisfies the condition that

$$c_{ij} = c_{1, j-i+1} = c_{i+k, j+k} \quad (2)$$

where $j - i + 1$ is reduced modulo t [36]. A *back circulant matrix* $B = (b_{ij})$ order n is a matrix with property that

$$b_{ij} = b_{1, i+j-1} = b_{i+k, j-k} \quad (3)$$

where $i + j - 1$ is reduced modulo t [36].

Two matrices, X and Y of the same order t which satisfy

$$XY^\top = YX^\top \quad (4)$$

are said to be *amicable matrices*.

A back circulant matrix has transpose as the same as itself, so it is also a symmetric matrix.

Lemma 1. *If X is a back circulant matrix and Y is a circulant matrix, then X and Y are amicable matrices because $XY^\top = YX^\top$, see [36].*

Here are examples of amicable matrices of order 3. (1,-1)-matrices are used rather than other matrices because we are talking about Hadamard matrices whose elements only ones and minus ones.

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & - \\ - & 1 \\ -1 & - \end{bmatrix}, \quad CB^\top = BC^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In all our definitions of circulant and back-circulant matrices we have assumed that the rows and columns have been indexed by the order, that is for order t , the rows are $1, 2, \dots, t$ and similarly for the columns. The internal entries are then defined by the first row using a 1:1 and onto mapping. However we could have indexed the rows and columns using the elements of a group G , with elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t . Loosely a type one matrix will then be defined so the (ij) element depends on a 1:1 and onto mapping of $g_j - g_i$ for type 1 matrices and on $g_j + g_i$ for type 2 matrices. We use additive notation, but that is not necessary. (Seberry) Wallis and Whiteman [37] have shown that circulant and type 1 can be used interchangeably and can the terms back-circulant and type 2. This can be used to explore similar theorems in more structured groups.

Definition 2. [Additive Property] k circulant matrices, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k , of order t with elements ± 1 only and which satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k A_i A_i^\top = ktI_t,$$

will be said to satisfy the *additive property (for k)*.

1.3 Historical Background

Hadamard matrices firstly we appeared in the literature in an 1867 paper written by J. J. Sylvester [29]. In 1893 Hadamard matrices appear, called matrices on the unit circle, they satisfied Hadamard's inequality for the determinant of matrices with entries within the unit circle [20].

Many matrices were found by Scarpis in 1898 [28].

In 1933 Paley [27] conjectured that the matrices existed for all positive integer orders divisible by 4. This has become known as the Hadamard conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Hadamard matrices exist for all orders $1, 2, 4t$, where t is a positive integer.

After Paley's work [27] the following orders less than 200: 23(4), 29(4), 39(3), 43(3), 47(4), 59(12), 65(3), 67(5), 73(7), 81(3), 89(4), 93(3), 101(10), 103(3), 107(10), 109(9), 113(8), 119 for $p(3)$, 127(25), 133(3), 149(4), 151(5), 153(3), 163(3), 167(4), 179(8), 183(3), 189(3), 191, 193(3) were unresolved.

The number in brackets, if it is provided, indicates that one of order 2^at is given in Seberry and Yamada [33]. The first unsolved cases are currently for primes $p = 167, 179, 191$ and 193 .

1.4 Williamson Array

In 1944 [38] Williamson proposed using what has come known as the *Williamson array*. It can be shown, for example see [36] that if we can calculate suitable matrices, of order t , satisfying the additive property for 4, they can be plugged-in to his array to give Hadamard matrices of order $4t$. Hence

Definition 3. [Williamson matrices] Four circulant symmetric matrices, A, B, C and D , of order t with elements ± 1 only and which satisfy

$$AA^T + BB^T + CC^T + DD^T = 4tI_t,$$

will be called *Williamson matrices* of order t .

Theorem 1. [Williamson's Theorem] *Suppose A, B, C and D of order t , are Williamson matrices Then these matrices can be plugged into the Williamson array*

$$W_{array} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ -B & A & -D & C \\ -C & D & A & -B \\ -D & -C & B & A \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

to obtain an Hadamard matrix of order $4t$.

Remark 1. Crucial part of proof. When we look at the terms of $W_{array}W_{array}^T$ for, say the (2,3) element we obtain

$$-BC^T + AD^T - DA^T + CB^T$$

but for Williamson matrices $A = A^T, B = B^T, C = C^T$ and $D = D^T$. Further more A, B, C and D pairwise commute so

$$-BC^T + AD^T - DA^T + CB^T = -BC + AD - DA + -CB = -BC + BC - DA + DA = 0,$$

formally for all off diagonal elements of $W_{array}W_{array}^T$. \square

Example 2. Williamson matrix of order 4×3

$$W_{12_{Williamson}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 1 \\ \hline - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 \\ \hline - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ - & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - \\ - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Many researchers have continued to search for Williamson and Williamson-like matrices (Williamson type, good matrices, best matrices, Goethals-Seidel type matrices, see below) which satisfy the additive property for 4.

1.4.1 Existence of Williamson matrices

In the 1960's Hadamard matrices were studied as part of a program to find the best possible error correction codes to be used to transmit data from deep space back to Earth.

Williamson did not use a computer to construct Williamson matrices but used some clever number theory. After Williamson the following orders t : 23, 29, 47, 59, 65, 67, 77, 103, 105, 107, 111, 119, 133, 143, 151, 155, 161, 163, 167, 171, 179, 183, 185, 191, 203, 207, 209, 215, 219, 221, 223, 227, 237, 245, 247, 249, 251, 253, 259, 267, 273, 283, 287, 291, 299 less than 300 were unknown.

Baumert, Golomb and Hall [2] used a computer with the Williamson construction to construct the order $92 = 4 \times 23$.

More recent results have been sporadic or the results of extensive calculations. Baumert and Hall [4] gave a very pretty construction to find the Hadamard matrix of order 156 which used what came to be called Baumert-Hall arrays. Now new methods were being discovered to find Hadamard matrices and some of these used *skew-Hadamard matrices*.

In [12] Djokovic showed that there is no Williamson matrix for $t = 35$. The computational state-of-the-art paper on Williamson matrices is [23], in which the Authors show that Williamson matrices do not exist for $t = 47, 53, 59$.

1.4.2 Seberry-Williamson Arrays

Williamson matrices are symmetric: the Hadamard matrix they form is neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric. As interest rose in the usefulness of skew-Hadamard matrices for further construction modifications of the

Williamson array were proposed to enable computer search. This led to what we will now call the *Seberry-Williamson array*, which first appeared in the PhD thesis of (Seberry) Wallis) [35].

Definition 4. [Good matrices] Four circulant matrices, of order t with elements ± 1 only, where A , is skew-symmetric ($(A - I)^\top = -(A - I)$) and B , C and D , and which satisfy

$$AA^\top + BB^\top + CC^\top + DD^\top = 4tI_t,$$

will be called *good matrices* of order t .

Theorem 2. [(Seberry) Wallis's Theorem] *Suppose A , B , C and D of order t , are good matrices. Then these matrices can be plugged into the Seberry-Williamson array*

$$SW_{array} = \begin{bmatrix} A & BR & CR & DR \\ -BR & A & -DR & CR \\ -CR & DR & A & -BR \\ -DR & -CR & BR & A \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

to obtain a skew Hadamard matrix of order $4t$.

Remark 2. The proof is similar to that for the Williamson array after noting that circulant matrices and back-circulant matrices are amicable which pairs of back-circulant matrices commute.

These were some of the first searched for by computer. The ones given in (Seberry) Wallis' PhD thesis [35] were found on a PDP6 taking over 100 hours per week for many months in 1969. The limitation was basically the RAM memory of 4K. The matrices for $92 = 4 \times 23$ [35] were found at the University of Newcastle, NSW in 1970 using about 200 hours of CPU time. Again RAM was the largest constraint.

Later Koukouvinos et al [22] found further examples. We do not have time-space data for these calculations but give details of our own experiments.

Example 3. Seberry-Williamson matrix of order 4×3

The Seberry-Williamson matrix for first rows $A = 11-$, $B = 1--$, $C = 1--$, $D = 111$ is:

$$W_{Seberry-Williamson} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 \\ - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - \\ - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ \hline - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ - & - & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 \\ - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \end{array} \right].$$

We note that because of the polynomial nature of back-circulants we could have also said that the $W_{Seberry-Williamson}$ skew-Hadamard matrix just described we could have used the equivalent matrix W_{SW}

$$W_{SW} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - \\ \hline - & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 \\ 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & - \\ \hline - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ - & - & - & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 \\ - & - & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \end{array} \right].$$

2 IPV Vectors

We first note

Definition 5. The *inner product* of rows i and j of any matrix, $G = (g_{xy})$, of order t is

$$\sum_{k=1}^t g_{ik}g_{jk}.$$

Example 4. Inner products of matrix G_1

$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inner product between the first row and the second row is calculated as

$$\sum_{k=1}^5 (g_{1k} \times g_{2k}) = (1 \times -1) + (1 \times 1) + (-1 \times 1) + (1 \times -1) + (-1 \times 1) = -3.$$

□

Lemma 2. [Mirror Lemma] *Consider the inner products of rows of a circulant (back-circulant) matrices of order t . The inner product for the j th and ℓ th row is the same as the inner product for the 1st and $\ell - j$ th the rows so that there are at most $\frac{t-1}{2}$ distinct inner products.*

Definition 6. Let $G = (g_{xy})$ be a circulant (back-circulant) matrix of order t . We call the $1 \times \frac{t+1}{2}$ vector with entries $(p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{\frac{t+1}{2}})$, that is the vector has coordinates the inner products of rows 1 and 2, 1 and 3, \dots , 1 and $\frac{t+1}{2}$, that is the vector

$$IPV_{x_{ij}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^t x_{1,k} x_{1,k-j+i} \right)$$

the inner product vector. □

Remark 3. A naive approach to finding the inner product vectors of any $n \times n$ matrix would take $\binom{n}{2} \times n^2$ calculations. Using the mirror lemma we have reduced the number of by 2.

Remark 4. Each row of a circulant ± 1 matrix can be considered as an integer, uniquely, by replacing the elements -1 by zero and converting the sequence to decimal. Thus a circulant matrix of order t can be represented by an integer of size the least integer greater than $ln_2 t$. This means any sequence we would consider in search for skew-Hadamard matrices using current technology can be represented by one word of space. This is used in the Appendices to describe the solution matrices.

Lemma 3. *We now consider circulant (or back circulant) matrices of order t , t odd, with entries ± 1 only. Then*

- if $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then the entries of the IPV are $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- if $t \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then the entries of the IPV are $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;
- the coordinates in an IPV are integers between $-(t-4)$ and t ;
- the sum of the coordinates in an IPV is 0.

2.1 Sums of Squares of First Rows of Williamson matrices

We notice that for arrays which have ± 1 matrices, A, B, C, D of order t , satisfying the 4-additive property, plugged into them where

$$AA^\top + BB^\top + CC^\top + DD^\top = 4tI_t.$$

Then if \mathbf{e} is the $1 \times m$ matrix of all ones and the row sums of A, B, C, D are $a, b, c,$ and d respectively. Then

$$\mathbf{e}A = a\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}B = b\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}C = c\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}D = d\mathbf{e},$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}(AA^\top + BB^\top + CC^\top + DD^\top) = 4mI_m = a^2\mathbf{e} + b^2\mathbf{e} + c^2\mathbf{e} + d^2\mathbf{e} = 4me.$$

2.1.1 Sums of Squares of First Rows of Good Matrices for Seberry-Williamson Matrices

Let A, B, C and D be good matrices of order t with first row sums a, b, c and d . Then using exactly the same proof as for the first rows of Williamson matrices, except that the row-sum of the skew-type matrix is $a = +1$ we have for four good matrices of order t

$$4t = 1 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2.$$

In the case of the skew-Williamson array a is always $= +1$.

3 Computational results on IPV for $t = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13$

A naive algorithm to find matrices for the skew-array or good matrices was used on various machines to obtain comparison run times for $t = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 27, 29, 31$. These show that more sophistication is needed to make the results required attainable for larger t . The results obtained for $t = 1, \dots, 13$ are given in the appendix. Further results are available from the authors for $t = 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29$. The computations were performed on SHARCNET and RQCHP clusters. This work was made possible by the facilities of the Shared Hierarchical Academic Research Computing Network (SHARCNET www.sharcnet.ca) and Compute/Calcul Canada. Computations were made on the Mammouth supercomputer managed by Calcul Québec and Compute Canada. The operation of this supercomputer is funded by the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), NanoQuébec, RMGA and

the Fonds de recherche du Québec - Nature et technologies (FRQ-NT).

We consider the inner product vectors *IPVs* for the Seberry-Williamson construction for skew-Hadamard matrices which uses the first rows of the corresponding good matrices: *A* (skew-type), *B* (symmetric), *C* (symmetric), and *D* (symmetric) in their construction. We give $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\frac{1}{2}(t-1)}\}$, $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{\frac{1}{2}(t-1)}\}$, $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{\frac{1}{2}(t-1)}\}$, and $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\frac{1}{2}(t-1)}\}$ for the coordinates of the *IPV_A*, *IPV_B*, *IPV_C* and *IPV_D* respectively.

In each case we note that for the coordinates in the *IPV*

- we have $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, 2 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2}(t-1)$ always takes only integer values $\equiv t \pmod{4}$, see Lemma 3.
- summing $a_i + b_i + c_i + d_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(t-1)$ always gives 0 (this is required for skew-Williamson matrices);
- we have $4t = (1)^2 + (\pm b)^2 + (\pm c)^2 + (\pm d)^2$ in every case (this is required for skew-Williamson matrices).

The IPVs for t=3

There is only one solution from a complete search for $t = 3$. It involves 4 matrices *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* which have row sums

$$(-1)(-1)(-1)(3).$$

1. the maximum coordinate that appears is +3 and the absolute minimum coordinate that appears is -1;
2. the sum of the row sums is $(-1) + (-1) + (-1) + 3 = 0$;
3. $4t = 12 = (1)^2 + (-1)^2 + (-1)^2 + (3)^2$;
4. the first rows of the corresponding good matrices *A* (skew-type), *B* (symmetric), *C* (symmetric), and *D* (symmetric) are

$$1 \ 1 \ -1; \ 1 \ -1 \ -1; \ 1 \ -1 \ -1; \ 1, \ 1, \ 1;$$

5. the integers which correspond to these first rows are 6, 4, 4, 7;

The IPVs for t=5

A complete search yields two possible solutions for $t = 5$. They each involve 4 good matrices *A* (skew-type), *B* (symmetric), *C* (symmetric), and *D* (symmetric) which have row sums

$$5.1 : (1, -3)(-3, 1)(1, 1)(1, 1)$$

and

$$5.2 : (-3, 1)(1, -3)(1, 1)(1, 1)$$

1. the maximum absolute value coordinate that appears in any of the IPVs is 1 and the minimum absolute value coordinate that appears in any of the IPVs is -3 ;
2. summing $a_i + b_i + c_i + d_i$ for $i=1, 2, 3, 4$, for the IPVs gives $(-2) + (-2) + (2) + (2) = 0$ in both cases;
3. $4t = 20 = (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (-3)^2 + (-3)^2$;
4. the first rows of the corresponding good matrices A (skew-type), B (symmetric), C (symmetric), and D (symmetric) are

$$1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1; \ 1 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ 1; \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1; \ 1, -1, -1 \ -1 \ -1;$$

and

$$1 \ 1 \ -1 \ 1 \ -1; \ 1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1; \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1; \ 1, -1, -1 \ -1 \ -1;$$

5. the integers which correspond to these first rows are 30, 25, 16, and 16; and 26, 22, 16 and 16;

The IPVs for $t=7$

A complete search gives a total of seven solutions.

1. the IPV vectors obtained are:

$$7.1 : (-5, 3, -1) \ (-1, -5, 3) \ (3, -1, -5) \ (3, 3, 3)$$

$$7.2 : (-5, 3, -1) \ (-1, -1, 3) \ (3, -1, -1) \ (3, -1, -1)$$

$$7.3 : (-1, -5, 3) \ (-5, 3, -1) \ (3, -1, -5) \ (3, 3, 3)$$

$$7.4 : (-1, -5, 3) \ (-1, 3, -1) \ (-1, 3, -1) \ (3, -1, -1)$$

$$7.5 : (-1, -1, -1) \ (-1, -1, 3) \ (-1, 3, -1) \ (3, -1, -1)$$

$$7.6 : (3, -1, -5) \ (-5, 3, -1) \ (-1, -5, 3) \ (3, 3, 3)$$

$$7.7 : (3, -1, -5) \ (-1, -1, 3) \ (-1, -1, 3) \ (-1, 3, -1)$$

2. the IPV values range from -5 to $+3$. All coordinates in the IPV are $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;
3. the sum of the row sums of the IPV is $(-3) + (-3) + (-3) + (9) = 0$ in three cases and $(-3) + (1) + (1) + (1) = 0$, in four cases cases;
4. $4t = 28 = (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (-5)^2 = (1)^2 + (3)^2 + (3)^2 + (3)^2$;
5. the integers which correspond to the first rows to make the good matrices A (skew-type), B (symmetric), C (symmetric), and D (symmetric) are:

$$106 \ 76 \ 97 \ 64$$

$$106 \ 109 \ 115 \ 115$$

$$89 \ 82 \ 97 \ 64$$

$$89 \ 94 \ 94 \ 115$$

$$75 \ 109 \ 94 \ 115$$

120 82 76 64
120 109 109 94

The IPVs for $t=9$

A complete search gives a total of seven solutions.

1. The IPVs obtained are:

9.1 :(-3, 1, -3, 1) (-3, -3, 1, 1) (5, 1, -3, -3) (1, 1, 5, 1)
9.2 :(1, -3, -3, 1) (1, -3, 1, -3) (-3, 5, -3, 1) (1, 1, 5, 1)
9.3 :(1, 1, -3, -3) (-3, 1, 1, -3) (1, -3, -3, 5) (1, 1, 5, 1)

2. the IPV values range from -3 to +5. All coordinates in the IPV are $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;

3. the sum of the row sums of the IPV is $(-4) + (-4) + (0) + (0) = 0$ in all three cases;

4. $4t = 36 = (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (-3)^2 + (5)^2$;

5. the integers which correspond to the first rows to make the good matrices A (skew-type), B (symmetric), C (symmetric), and D (symmetric) are:

468 358 385 475
369 316 322 475
279 421 280 475

In future research we will seek to find the relationships between the IPVs to limit our search space and time.

The IPVs for $t=11$

A complete search gives a total of fifteen solutions.

1. The IPVs obtained are:

11.1: (-5, -1, 3, -5, 3) (-1, -1, -5, 3, 3) (7, 3, -1, -5, -5) (-1, -1, 3, 7, -1)
11.2: (-5, -1, 3, -1, -1) (-5, 3, -1, -1, 3) (3, -5, -1, 3, -1) (7, 3, -1, -1, -1)
11.3: (-5, -1, 3, -1, -1) (3, -5, -5, -1, 7) (3, -1, 3, -1, -5) (-1, 7, -1, 3, -1)
11.4: (-5, 3, -5, 3, -1) (-5, -1, 7, -5, 3) (3, -5, -1, 3, -1) (7, 3, -1, -1, -1)
11.5: (-1, -5, -1, -1, 3) (-5, 3, -1, -1, 3) (7, 3, -1, -5, -5) (-1, -1, 3, 7, -1)
11.6: (-1, -5, -1, -1, 3) (-1, 3, 3, -5, -1) (3, -5, -1, 3, -1) (-1, 7, -1, 3, -1)
11.7: (-1, -5, 3, 3, -5) (-1, 3, 3, -5, -1) (3, -5, -5, -1, 7) (-1, 7, -1, 3, -1)
11.8: (-1, -1, -1, 3, -5) (-5, -1, 7, -5, 3) (-1, -1, -5, 3, 3) (7, 3, -1, -1, -1)
11.9: (-1, -1, -1, 3, -5) (-5, 3, -1, -1, 3) (3, -1, 3, -1, -5) (3, -1, -1, -1, 7)
11.10: (-1, 3, -5, -1, -1) (-1, -5, 3, 7, -5) (-1, 3, 3, -5, -1) (3, -1, -1, -1, 7)

11.11: $(-1, 3, -5, -1, -1)(-1, -1, -5, 3, 3)(3, -1, 3, -1, -5)(-1, -1, 7, -1, 3)$
 11.12: $(3, -5, -5, -1, 3)(-5, 7, -5, 3, -1)(3, -1, 3, -1, -5)(-1, -1, 7, -1, 3)$
 11.13: $(3, -1, -1, -5, -1)(-5, 7, -5, 3, -1)(3, -5, -1, 3, -1)(-1, -1, 7, -1, 3)$
 11.14: $(3, -1, -1, -5, -1)(-1, -1, -5, 3, 3)(-1, 3, 3, -5, -1)(-1, -1, 3, 7, -1)$
 11.15: $(3, 3, -1, -5, -5)(-5, 3, -1, -1, 3)(-1, -5, 3, 7, -5)(3, -1, -1, -1, 7)$

2. the IPV values range from -5 to +7. All coordinates in the IPV are $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;
3. the row sums of the IPV is $(-5) + (-1) + (-1) + (7)$ in all fifteen cases;
4. $4t = 44 = (1)^2 + (3)^2 + (3)^2 + (-5)^2$;
5. the integers which correspond to the first rows to make the good matrices A (skew-type), B (symmetric), C (symmetric), and D (symmetric) are:

1381 1486 1927 1096
 1449 1717 1843 1537
 1449 1657 1276 1282
 1195 1462 1843 1537
 1836 1717 1927 1096
 1836 1867 1843 1282
 1892 1867 1657 1282
 1582 1462 1486 1537
 1582 1717 1276 1072
 1960 1741 1867 1072
 1960 1486 1276 1156
 1127 1402 1276 1156
 1505 1402 1843 1156
 1505 1486 1867 1096
 1071 1717 1741 1072

Observations.

1. no solution for "A" contains a 7;
2. some "A" appear in more than one solution;
3. "C" is the only matrix for which the first coordinate, here $c_1 = 7$;

The IPVs for $t=13$

A complete search gave 36 solutions. The IPV values range from -7 to +9. All coordinates in the IPV are $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;

1. The IPVs obtained are:

13.1: $(-7, 1, 1, -3, 5, -3)(5, -3, -7, -3, 1, 1)(-3, 1, 1, 5, -3, 5)(5, 1, 5, 1, -3, -3)$
 13.2: $(-7, 1, 1, 1, -3, 1)(-3, -7, 1, 5, 1, -3)(1, 1, -3, -3, 5, 5)(9, 5, 1, -3, -3, -3)$
 13.3: $(-7, 1, 1, 1, -3, 1)(-3, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1)(5, -3, -3, 1, 5, 1)(5, 1, 5, 1, -3, -3)$
 13.4: $(-7, 1, 1, 1, -3, 1)(1, -7, -3, 1, 5, -3)(1, 5, 1, -3, -3, -7)(5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9)$

13.5: $(-3, -7, 5, 1, -3, 1)(1, 5, 1, -3, -3, -7)(-3, 5, -3, 1, 1, 5)(5, -3, -3, 1, 5, 1)$
 13.6: $(-3, -3, -3, 1, 5, -3)(1, 1, -7, -3, -3, 5)(1, -3, 5, 5, -3, 1)(1, 5, 5, -3, 1, -3)$
 13.7: $(-3, -3, 1, -3, -3, 5)(-7, 5, -3, 1, 1, -3)(5, -3, -3, 1, 5, 1)(5, 1, 5, 1, -3, -3)$
 13.8: $(-3, -3, 5, -3, 1, -3)(5, 1, -3, 1, -3, -7)(-3, 1, 1, 5, -3, 5)(1, 1, -3, -3, 5, 5)$
 13.9: $(-3, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1)(-3, -7, 1, 5, 1, -3)(1, 5, 1, -3, -3, -7)(5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9)$
 13.10: $(-3, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1)(-3, -3, 1, 1, -7, 5)(5, 1, -3, 1, -3, -7)(1, 1, 5, 1, 9, 1)$
 13.11: $(-3, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1)(1, -7, -3, 1, 5, -3)(1, -3, 5, -3, -7, 1)(1, 9, 1, 5, 1, 1)$
 13.12: $(-3, 1, -3, 5, -3, -3)(1, -3, 5, -3, -7, 1)(-3, 5, 1, -3, 5, 1)(5, -3, -3, 1, 5, 1)$
 13.13: $(-3, 1, 1, 1, -7, 1)(-3, 1, 5, -7, 1, -3)(5, -3, -7, -3, 1, 1)(1, 1, 1, 9, 5, 1)$
 13.14: $(-3, 1, 1, 1, -7, 1)(1, -3, 1, 1, -3, -3)(-3, 5, 1, -3, 5, 1)(5, -3, -3, 1, 5, 1)$
 13.15: $(-3, 1, 1, 1, -7, 1)(1, 1, -7, -3, -3, 5)(-3, 1, 5, -3, 9, -3)(5, -3, 1, 5, 1, -3)$
 13.16: $(-3, 5, -7, 1, -3, 1)(-3, 1, 5, -7, 1, -3)(1, -3, 5, 5, -3, 1)(5, -3, -3, 1, 5, 1)$
 13.17: $(1, -7, -3, 1, 1, 1)(-7, 1, -3, 5, -3, 1)(-3, 1, 5, -7, 1, -3)(9, 5, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
 13.18: $(1, -7, -3, 1, 1, 1)(-3, -3, 1, -7, 5, 1)(-3, 9, -3, 5, -3, 1)(5, 1, 5, 1, -3, -3)$
 13.19: $(1, -7, -3, 1, 1, 1)(1, -3, 1, 1, -3, -3)(-3, 5, -3, 1, 1, 5)(1, 5, 5, -3, 1, -3)$
 13.20: $(1, -3, -7, 1, 1, 1)(-3, -3, 1, 1, -7, 5)(1, 5, 1, -3, -3, -7)(1, 1, 5, 1, 9, 1)$
 13.21: $(1, -3, -7, 1, 1, 1)(-3, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1)(1, -3, 5, 5, -3, 1)(1, 5, 5, -3, 1, -3)$
 13.22: $(1, -3, -7, 1, 1, 1)(5, 1, -3, 1, -3, -7)(-3, -3, 9, 1, -3, 5)(-3, 5, 1, -3, 5, 1)$
 13.23: $(1, -3, -3, -7, 1, 5)(-7, 1, -3, 5, -3, 1)(1, 5, 5, -3, 1, -3)(5, -3, 1, 5, 1, -3)$
 13.24: $(1, -3, -3, 5, 1, -7)(-3, -3, 1, 1, -7, 5)(1, 1, -3, -3, 5, 5)(1, 5, 5, -3, 1, -3)$
 13.25: $(1, -3, 1, 1, -3, -3)(-7, 1, -3, 5, -3, 1)(-3, -3, 1, -7, 5, 1)(9, 5, 1, 1, 1, 1)$
 13.26: $(1, -3, 1, 1, -3, -3)(-7, 5, -3, 1, 1, -3)(5, -3, -7, -3, 1, 1)(1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 5)$
 13.27: $(1, -3, 1, 1, -3, -3)(-3, 1, 5, -7, 1, -3)(1, 1, -7, -3, -3, 5)(1, 1, 1, 9, 5, 1)$
 13.28: $(1, 1, 1, -7, 1, -3)(-3, -3, 1, 1, -7, 5)(1, -7, -3, 1, 5, -3)(1, 9, 1, 5, 1, 1)$
 13.29: $(1, 1, 1, -7, 1, -3)(-3, 1, -3, -3, 1, 1)(-3, 1, 1, 5, -3, 5)(5, -3, 1, 5, 1, -3)$
 13.30: $(1, 1, 1, -7, 1, -3)(1, -3, 5, -3, -7, 1)(-3, 5, -3, 1, 1, 5)(1, -3, -3, 9, 5, -3)$
 13.31: $(1, 1, 1, -3, 1, -7)(-7, 1, -3, 5, -3, 1)(5, -3, -7, -3, 1, 1)(1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 5)$
 13.32: $(1, 1, 1, -3, 1, -7)(-7, 5, -3, 1, 1, -3)(1, -3, 5, 5, -3, 1)(5, -3, -3, -3, 1, 9)$
 13.33: $(1, 1, 1, -3, 1, -7)(1, -3, 1, 1, -3, -3)(-3, 1, 1, 5, -3, 5)(1, 1, -3, -3, 5, 5)$
 13.34: $(1, 5, -3, -3, -3, -3)(-3, -3, 1, -7, 5, 1)(-3, 1, 1, 5, -3, 5)(5, -3, 1, 5, 1, -3)$
 13.35: $(5, -3, -3, -3, -3, 1)(-3, -7, 1, 5, 1, -3)(-3, 5, -3, 1, 1, 5)(1, 5, 5, -3, 1, -3)$
 13.36: $(5, 1, 1, -3, -7, -3)(1, -7, -3, 1, 5, -3)(-3, 1, 1, 5, -3, 5)(-3, 5, 1, -3, 5, 1)$

2. the row sums of the IPV are -6, -6, 6, 6.

3. $4t = 52 = (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (5)^2 + (5)^2 = (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (-7)^2$;

4. the integers which correspond to the first rows to make the good matrices A (skew-type), B (symmetric), C (symmetric), and D (symmetric) are:

6858 7267 5998 5116
 6486 5734 6046 7951
 6486 5362 6649 5116
 6486 5020 7435 4192
 5325 7435 6901 6649
 4947 5902 7579 7831
 4811 6805 6649 5116
 4699 4600 5998 6046

5573 5734 7435 4192
 5573 6757 4600 4240
 5573 5020 7315 5122
 5969 7315 7531 6649
 5213 4852 7267 4360
 5213 6925 7531 6649
 5213 5902 5878 7783
 4439 4852 7579 6649
 6598 5530 4852 6145
 6598 6505 5626 5116
 6598 6925 6901 7831
 7260 6757 7435 4240
 7260 5362 7579 7831
 7260 4600 7021 7531
 7768 5530 7831 7783
 7620 6757 6046 7831
 7880 5530 6505 6145
 7880 6805 7267 4612
 7880 4852 5902 4360
 7106 6757 5020 5122
 7106 5362 5998 7783
 7106 7315 6901 7069
 6238 5530 7267 4612
 6238 6805 7579 7411
 6238 6925 5998 6046
 8016 6505 5998 7783
 4303 5734 6901 7831
 6081 5020 5998 7531

The IPVs for $t = 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29$

We summarize the results for the above values of t below. Full details are available from the authors.

- $t = 15$, a complete search gave 44 solutions. The values in the IPV range from -13 to +11.
- $t = 17$, a complete search gave 16 solutions. The IPV values range from -11 to +9.
- $t = 19$, a complete search gave 64 solutions. The IPV values range from -9 to +11.
- $t = 21$, a complete search gave 60 solutions. The IPV values range from -11 to +9.
- $t = 23$, a complete search gave 66 solutions. The values in the IPV range from -13 to +11.

- $t = 25$, a complete search gave 90 solutions. The values in the IPV range from -15 to +13.
- $t = 27$, a complete search gave 117 solutions. The values in the IPV range from -13 to +15.
- $t = 29$, a complete search gave 71 solutions. The values in the IPV range from -15 to +11.

References

1. L. D. Baumert, Hadamard matrices of orders 116 and 232, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1966), 237.
2. L. D. Baumert and S. W. Golomb and M. Hall, Jr., Discovery of an Hadamard matrix of order 92, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 68 (1962), 237–238.
3. L. D. Baumert and M. Hall, Jr., Hadamard matrices of Williamson type, *Math. Comp.*, 19 (1965), 442–447.
4. L. D. Baumert and M. Hall, Jr., A new construction for Hadamard matrices, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71 (1965), 169–170.
5. V. Belevitch, Conference networks and Hadamard matrices, *Ann. Soc. Scientifique Brux.* T82, (1968), 13–32.
6. V. Belevitch, Theory of $2n$ -terminal networks with applications to conference telephony, *Electr. Commun.*, 27, 3 (1950), 231–244.
7. D. Blatt and G. Szekeres, A skew-Hadamard matrix of order 52, *Canad. J. Math.*, 22, (1970), 1319–1322.
8. P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel, Orthogonal matrices with zero diagonal, *Canad. J. Math.*, 23 (1971), 816–832.
9. Dragomir Djokovic, Skew Hadamard matrices of order 4×37 and 4×43 . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 61 (1992), no. 2, 319–321.
10. Dragomir Djokovic, Skew-Hadamard matrices of orders 188 and 388 exist. *Int. Math. Forum*, 3 (2008), no. 21–24, 1063–1068.
11. Dragomir Djokovic, Skew-Hadamard matrices of orders 436, 580, and 988 exist. *J. Combin. Des.* 16 (2008), no. 6, 493–498.
12. Dragomir Djokovic, Williamson matrices of order $4n$ for $n = 33, 35, 39$. *Discrete Math.* 115 (1993), no. 1–3, 267–271.
13. Roderick J Fletcher, Christos Koukouvinos, and Jennifer Seberry, New skew-Hadamard matrices of order $4 \cdot 59$ and new D-optimal designs of order $2 \cdot 59$. *Discrete Math.*, 286 (2004), no. 3, 251–253.
14. Anthony V. Geramita, Norman J Pullman and Jennifer Seberry Wallis, Families of weighing matrices, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 10 (1974), 119–122.
15. Anthony V. Geramita and Jennifer Seberry, *Orthogonal Designs: Quadratic Forms and Hadamard Matrices*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1979.
16. Anthony V. Geramita and J. H. Verner, Orthogonal designs with zero diagonal, *Canad. J. Math.*, 28 (1976), 215–225.
17. J. M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel, Orthogonal matrices with zero diagonal, *Canad. J. Math.*, 19 (1967), 1001–1010.
18. J. M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel, A skew-Hadamard matrix of order 36, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 11 (1970), 343–344.
19. K. Goldberg, Hadamard matrices of order cube plus one, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17, (1966) 744–746.
20. J. Hadamard, Resolution d’une question relative aux determinants, *Bull. des. Sciences Mathematiques*, 17 (1893), 240–246.

21. M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial Theory*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
22. S. Georgiou, C. Koukouvinos and S. Stylianou, On good matrices, skew-Hadamard matrices and optimal designs, *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 41 (2002), 171–184.
23. W. H. Holzmann, H. Kharaghani, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, Williamson matrices up to order 59. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 46 (2008), no. 3, 343–352
24. H. Kharaghani, New classes of orthogonal designs, *Ars Combin.*, 29, (1990), 187–192.
25. I. S. Kotsireas and C Koukouvinos, New skew-Hadamard matrices via computational algebra. *Australas. J. Combin.* 41 (2008), 235–248,
26. A C Mukopadhyay, Some infinite classes of Hadamard matrices, *J Combin. Theory, Ser A.*, 25, no 2, (1978), 128–141.
27. R. E. A. C. Paley, On orthogonal matrices, *J. Math. Phys.*, 12 (1933), 311–320.
28. V. Scarpis, sui determinanti di valore massimo, *Rend. R. Inst. Lombardo Sci. e Lett.*, No 2, 31 (1898) 1441–1446.
29. J. J. Sylvester, Thoughts on inverse orthogonal matrices, simulataneous sign successions, and tesselated pavements in two or more colours, with applications to Newton’s rule, ornamental tilework, and the theory of numbers, *Phil. Mag.*,(4) 34, (1867) 461–475.
30. V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani and A.R. Calderbank, Space-time codes from orthogonal designs, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45, (1999), 1456–1467.
31. R. J. Turyn, C -matrices of arbitrary powers, *Bull. Canad. Math. Soc.*, 23, (1971), 531–535.
32. Jennifer Seberry and R. Craigen, Orthogonal designs, *Chapter in CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Editors Charles J. Colbourn and Jeffrey H. Dinitz, CRC Press, 1996, 400–406.
33. Jennifer Seberry and Mieko Yamada, Hadamard matrices, sequences, and block designs, *Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys*, J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, eds., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1992, 431–560.
34. Jennifer (Seberry) Wallis, *Combinatorial Matrices*, PhD. Thesis, La Trobe University, 1971.
35. Jennifer (Seberry) Wallis, A skew-Hadamard matrix of order 92, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 5, (1971), 203–203.
36. Jennifer Seberry Wallis, Hadamard matrices, in W. D. Wallis, Anne Penfold Street and Jennifer Seberry Wallis, *Combinatorics: Room Squares, Sum-Free Sets and Hadamard Matrices*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
37. Jennifer (Seberry) Wallis and A. L. Whiteman, Some classes of Hadamard matrices with constant diagonal, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*a, 7, (1972), 223–249.
38. John Williamson, Hadamard’s determinant theorem and the sum of four squares, *Duke Math. J.*, 11, (1944),65–81.