



Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 Guidelines

Opening date:	29 June 2022
Closing date and time:	17.00 AEST on 24 August 2022
Commonwealth policy entity:	National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)
Administering entity	NHMRC
Enquiries:	<p>Applicants requiring further assistance are to direct enquiries to their Administering Institution's Research Administration Officer. Research Administration Officers can contact NHMRC's Research Help Centre for further advice:</p> <p>Phone: 1800 500 983 (+61 2 6217 9451 for international callers)</p> <p>Email: help@nhmrc.gov.au</p> <p>NHMRC will not respond to any enquiries submitted after 13:00 AEST on 24 August 2022.</p> <p>Note: NHMRC's Research Help Centre aims to provide a reply to all requests for general assistance within two working days. This timeframe may be longer during peak periods or for more detailed requests for assistance.</p>
Date guidelines released:	29 June 2022
Type of grant opportunity:	Targeted competitive

Contents

1. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 processes.....	5
1.1. Introduction	6
1.1.1. About NHMRC	6
2. About the grant program.....	6
2.1. Key changes	7
2.2. NHMRC structural priorities, Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 priorities and funding with other organisations	8
3. Grant amount and grant period.....	8
3.1. Grants available	8
3.2. Grant period	8
4. Eligibility criteria.....	8
4.1. Who is eligible to apply for a grant?.....	9
4.1.1. Chief Investigators and Associate Investigators	9
4.2. Multiple applications/grants	9
4.3. Exclusion of applications	9
5. What the grant money can be used for	10
5.1. Eligible grant activities and expenditure	10
5.1.1. Salary support.....	10
5.2. Funding to support overseas grant activities and researchers.....	10
5.3. Duplicate funding	11
6. The assessment criteria.....	11
6.1. Health research involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People	13
7. How to apply.....	14
7.1. Overview and timing of grant opportunity processes.....	14
7.2. Application Extensions.....	14
7.3. Minimum data requirements	15
7.4. Application requirements	15
7.5. Attachments to the application.....	15
7.6. Consumer and community involvement.....	16
7.7. Certification and submission.....	16
7.7.1. CIA certification.....	16
7.7.2. Certification from other Chief Investigators (CIB-CIJ) and Associate Investigators	17
7.7.3. Administering Institution certification.....	17
7.8. Retracted publications	18
7.9. Withdrawal of applications	18
7.10. Questions during the application process.....	18
8. The grant selection process	19

8.1.	Assessment of grant applications	19
8.1.1.	Who will assess applications?	19
8.1.2.	Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants assessment process	19
8.2.	Who will approve grants?	20
9.	Notification of application outcomes.....	20
10.	Successful grant applications	20
10.1.	Information required from grantees	20
10.2.	Obligations and approvals	20
10.3.	NHMRC Funding Agreement.....	21
10.3.1.	Responsible and ethical conduct of research	21
10.4.	NHMRC policies.....	21
10.5.	Payments	21
10.6.	Suspension of grants	21
10.7.	Tax implications	21
11.	Announcement of grants	22
12.	How NHMRC monitors grant activity	22
12.1.	Variations	22
12.2.	Reporting	22
12.2.1.	Financial reports.....	22
12.2.2.	Non-financial reports	22
12.2.3.	Registration of clinical trials	22
12.3.	Evaluation of the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme	23
12.4.	Open Access Policy	23
13.	Probity.....	23
13.1.	Complaints process	23
13.2.	Conflicts of Interest	23
13.3.	Privacy: confidentiality and protection of personal information	24
13.4.	Freedom of information.....	25
14.	Glossary.....	26
Appendix A. NHMRC structural priorities, Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 priorities and funding organisations		29
Appendix B. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 Category Descriptors		31
Appendix C. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 Guide to Applicants.....		38
1.	Preparing an application	38
2.	Application Requirements	38
2.1	Use of gender-neutral language	38
2.2	Minimum Data Requirements	39
3.	Key Changes	39
4.	'My Profile' Requirements.....	39
4.1	About My Profile.....	40
4.2	Personal information	40

4.3	Academic Information	40
4.4	Peer Review Information	40
4.5	Unavailability Calendar	40
4.6	Contributions to NHMRC	41
5.	'My Profile' Requirements specific to Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 grant opportunity	41
5.1	Career Disruptions (within the last five years)	41
5.2	Relative to Opportunity (within the last five years)	42
5.3	Publications.....	42
6.	Application Form Requirements	43
6.1	Creating an application	43
6.2	Application details	43
6.3	Participating Institutions	45
6.4	Research Classification	45
6.5	Research Team	46
6.6	Top 10 Publications	47
6.7	Ethics	47
6.8	Grant Proposal.....	47
6.9	Third Party Research Facilities	51
6.10	Direct Research Costs	52
6.11	Funding Partners and MRFF	54
6.12	Strategic Priorities.....	54
7.	Certifying your application	54
8.	Checklist for applicants	55

1. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 processes

NHMRC's Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme is designed to achieve Australian Government objectives

The Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme is a component of the Portfolio Budget Statements
Program 1.1: Health and Medical Research, which contributes to Outcome 1: Improved health and medical knowledge.



The grant opportunity opens

NHMRC publishes the grant guidelines on GrantConnect.



Applicants complete and submit a grant application

Applicants must complete the application form and address all of the eligibility criteria to be considered for a grant.



Applications are verified and assessed

Applications are verified against eligibility criteria and applicants are notified if not eligible. Peer reviewers assess applications against the assessment criteria including an overall consideration of value with money.



Grant decisions are made

NHMRC's CEO seeks approval of funding recommendations from the Minister for Health.



NHMRC notifies applicants of the outcome



Applicant's Administering Institution signs the NHMRC Grant Schedule(s) setting out the grant activity



Delivery of grant

Grantees undertake the grant activity as set out in the schedule to the Funding Agreement.
NHMRC manages the grant through the relevant Administering Institution.



Evaluation of the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme

NHMRC undertakes periodic evaluations of the performance and administration of its funding schemes to determine strengths and to identify where improvements can be made.

1.1. Introduction

These guidelines contain information for the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 grant opportunity.

Applicants must read these guidelines before filling out an application.

This document sets out:

- the purpose of the grant scheme/grant opportunity
- the eligibility and assessment criteria
- how grant applications are considered and selected
- how grantees are notified and receive grant payments
- how grants will be monitored and evaluated
- responsibilities and expectations in relation to the opportunity.

GrantConnect (www.grants.gov.au) is the authoritative source of information on this grant opportunity. Any alterations or addenda to these Guidelines will be published on GrantConnect.

The Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 grant opportunity will be undertaken in accordance with the *Commonwealth Grants Rules and Guidelines 2017* (CGRGs), available from the [Department of Finance website](#).

NHMRC recognises the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on Australia's health and medical research community. NHMRC's [Relative to Opportunity Policy](#) specifies that circumstances associated with the pandemic and other calamities are considered, where applicable, in assessment of an applicant's track record. In their application, applicants can outline the interruption and impact on their research productivity.

1.1.1. About NHMRC

NHMRC is the Australian Government's key entity for managing investment in, and the integrity of, health and medical research. NHMRC works with stakeholders to plan and design the grant program in accordance with the *National Health and Medical Research Council Act 1992* (NHMRC Act) and the CGRGs.

NHMRC awards grants through several research funding schemes to advance health and medical knowledge and to improve the health status of all Australians. NHMRC invests in the highest quality research and researchers, as determined through peer review, across the four pillars of health and medical research: basic science, clinical medicine and science, public health and health services research.

2. About the grant program

Funding for the program will be provided from the NHMRC Medical Research Endowment Account (MREA), which is underpinned by section 51 of the NHMRC Act.

The objectives of the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme are:

- to support high-quality clinical trials and cohort studies that address important gaps in knowledge, leading to relevant and implementable findings for the benefit of human health. This grant opportunity is open to research proposals for clinical trials and/or cohort studies of any size – that is, they may be large or small clinical trials or cohort studies.

- The Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme is not intended to provide ongoing support from NHMRC (see section 2 below for grant duration) and grant funding does not support infrastructure costs (see section 5 below). Grantees will be required to report against milestones at twelve-month intervals.

The intended outcomes of the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme are improvements in health and well-being, health care and practice or policy as a result of:

- High-quality clinical trials that provide reliable evidence of the effects of health-related interventions on health outcomes (or appropriate surrogates). This means that:
 - the project must involve human participants
 - the participants are prospectively assigned to one or more interventions
 - the effects of the intervention(s) on the participants will be examined, and
 - the anticipated effects of the intervention will be a behavioural and/or health-related outcome.
- High-quality cohort studies that provide reliable evidence on the relation of important risk factors and other exposures to health-related outcomes. This means that:
 - the project must involve human participants
 - the participants are prospectively followed over a defined period of time, and
 - the project aims to evaluate associations between one or more factors in the cohort and a behavioural and/or health-related outcome.
- High quality retrospective cohort studies that provide reliable evidence on the relation of important risk factors and other exposures to health-related outcomes. This means that:
 - the project must involve human participants
 - the project will collect and examine retrospective data and may involve some elements of data linkage work, and
 - the project aims to evaluate associations between one or more factors in the cohort and a behavioural and/or health-related outcome.

2.1. Key changes

Applicants need to note the following changes for the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 opportunity:

- Health Services Research has been removed as a structural priority from the 2022 NHMRC Grant Guidelines. Targeted support for Health Services Research will continue to be delivered through NHMRC grant schemes such as Targeted Calls for Research and the Centres of Research Excellence scheme.
- Information on the identification of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander descent has been included in *Section 14*. Related record keeping requirements are described in *Appendix A*.
- NHMRC will consider preprints in track record assessment. For further details on what constitutes an acceptable preprint and how to include preprints in the 'My Profile' section of Sapphire, refer to *Appendix C*.
- Revised **Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022** Category Descriptors (Appendix B) and Section 6 (The Assessment Criteria) – Significance now includes consideration of community involvement and Research Quality includes consideration of budget scope and scale.
- Appendix C: Section 6.6 (Top 10 publications) has been added. Applicants are asked to list their top ten publications in the last ten years, taking into account career disruption.
- Appendix C: Section 6.8 (Grant Proposal) has been updated and will no longer include the list of all publications from the past five years.

2.2. NHMRC structural priorities, Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 priorities and funding with other organisations

NHMRC's [Corporate Plan](#) outlines strategic priorities and major health issues for the period covered by the Plan, including how NHMRC will address these issues, and a national strategy for medical research and public health research. Each year, NHMRC identifies structural priorities for funding to deliver against certain strategic priorities. Information on NHMRC's structural priorities, Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants priorities and Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants funding with other organisations is provided in [Appendix A](#).

3. Grant amount and grant period

3.1. Grants available

The provisional funding allocation for the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 grant opportunity is \$70 million. NHMRC's Research Committee annually reviews and recommends indicative budget amounts to be awarded across individual funding schemes.

3.2. Grant period

- A Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant can be requested for between one and five years depending on the proposal.

4. Eligibility criteria

Applications will only be accepted from NHMRC Administering Institutions. A list of NHMRC Administering Institutions is available on [NHMRC's website](#).

The Chief Investigator A (CIA) and Administering Institution must ensure applications meet all eligibility requirements, as set out in these guidelines, at the time of submission and for the duration of peer review. Applications that do not meet these eligibility requirements may be ineligible and may be excluded from further consideration.

An eligibility ruling may be made by NHMRC at any stage following the close of applications, including during peer review. Where an eligibility ruling is being considered, NHMRC may request further information in order to assess whether the eligibility requirement has been met.

Decisions are made based on current policies and considerations specific to this grant opportunity. Decisions made in relation to previous grant opportunities or other NHMRC funding schemes will not be regarded as precedents and will not be considered when assessing compliance with the requirements of this grant opportunity.

Administering Institutions will be notified in writing of ineligible applications and are responsible for advising applicants.

Grant offers may be withdrawn if eligibility criteria are not met. Action may also be taken over the life of a grant if eligibility criteria to continue holding a grant are not met.

NHMRC staff will not make eligibility rulings before an application is submitted.

4.1. Who is eligible to apply for a grant?

4.1.1. Chief Investigators and Associate Investigators

The maximum number of CIs allowed on a Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 application is ten.

Chief Investigator 'A'

At the time of acceptance and for the duration of a grant the CIA must be an Australian or New Zealand citizen, or a permanent resident of Australia, or have an appropriate work visa in place. The CIA must also be based in Australia for at least 80% of the funding period.

Chief Investigators

The role and contribution of each CI must be described in the grant application. PhD students may be named as CIs where the PhD student is critical for the successful completion of the proposed research. CIs are expected to remain on the grant activity for the duration of the grant, unless a variation is approved by NHMRC in accordance with the [NHMRC Grantee Variations Policy](#). NHMRC will only approve a change in CIs in exceptional circumstances and a variation request must not be used as a means to meet NHMRC eligibility requirements.

Associate Investigators

An Associate Investigator (AI) is defined as an investigator who provides some intellectual and/or practical input into the research and whose participation may warrant inclusion of their name on any outputs (e.g. publications).

There is no restriction on who may be named as an AI on an application. However, a maximum number of ten applies.

4.2. Multiple applications/grants

There are no limits to the number of applications a CI may make in the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 grant opportunity and the number is also not affected by other NHMRC grants applied for or held by a CI.

4.3. Exclusion of applications

An application may be excluded from further consideration if NHMRC identifies that:

- it contravenes an eligibility rule or other requirement as set out in the Grant Guidelines
- it, or the CIA/any CI named on the application, contravenes an applicable law or code
- it is inconsistent with the objectives of the NHMRC Act and/or the purposes of the MREA, and
- the CIA/any CI named on the application is the subject of a decision by NHMRC's CEO or Delegate that any application they make to NHMRC, for specified funding schemes, will be excluded from consideration for a period of time, whether or not they otherwise meet the eligibility requirements. For example, such decisions will generally reflect consequential action taken by NHMRC in response to findings of a serious breach of the [Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research](#) (the Code) (including a finding of research misconduct, where this term is used) or a Probit Event. See the Code for a definition of 'research misconduct' and the *NHMRC Research Integrity and Misconduct Policy* available from [NHMRC's website](#).

Such exclusion may take place at any time following CIA and Administering Institution certification of the application.

If a decision is made to exclude an application from further consideration, NHMRC will provide its decision and the reason(s) for the decision to the Administering Institution's Research Administration Officer (RAO). The Administering Institution's RAO is responsible for advising applicants of the decision. Decisions to exclude an application may be reviewable by [NHMRC's Commissioner of Complaints](#).

5. What the grant money can be used for

5.1. Eligible grant activities and expenditure

Funding provided by NHMRC for a grant activity must be spent on costs directly incurred in that grant activity that satisfy the principles and requirements outlined in the *Direct Research Costs Guidelines* on the [NHMRC website](#).

5.1.1. Salary support

Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants are not normally intended to provide salary support for CIs. However, if applicants are seeking CI salaries, justification on how the proposed budget is directly associated with achieving the outcomes of the research must be provided and will be considered during peer review.

CIs, including the CIA, can draw a salary from the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant if they are based in Australia for at least 80% of the funding period. CIs based overseas are not able to draw a salary, but salary support is available for research support staff based overseas (see section 5.2). Requested salaries must be based on Personnel Support Packages (PSPs) outlined on the [NHMRC website](#).

Applicants can receive up to 100% salary across all NHMRC grants held. Multiple partial salaries can be drawn up to 100%, if allowed in the grant guidelines for the respective grant opportunities.

Associate Investigators cannot draw a salary from any Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants.

5.2. Funding to support overseas grant activities and researchers

The CIA can request funding to support specific grant activities to be undertaken overseas. In doing so, the CIA must clearly demonstrate that the overseas grant activity is critical to the successful completion of the project, and the equipment/resources required for the grant activity are not available in Australia.

In some instances, the CIA can seek to conduct the majority of the work overseas. However, it is important that the CIA ensures such research is well justified and conforms with the scheme eligibility requirements. For example, the CIA is required to be based in Australia for at least 80% of the requested grant duration. Funding (including salaries) for research support staff based overseas can be considered where this is important to achieving the aims of the research.

See *Direct Research Costs Guidelines* on the [NHMRC website](#) for further guidance on the expenditure of funding for a grant activity.

5.3. Duplicate funding

NHMRC may compare the research proposed in grant applications with grants previously funded, currently funded, and funded by other agencies (e.g. Australian Research Council or Department of Health) and published research. NHMRC will not fund research that it considers duplicates research previously or currently being funded.

Where NHMRC believes that an applicant has submitted similar research proposals to NHMRC and has been successful with more than one application, the applicant may be required to provide NHMRC with a written report clearly identifying the difference between the research aims of the research activities. If NHMRC subsequently does not consider the research activities to be sufficiently different, the applicant will be required to decline or relinquish one of the grants.

6. The assessment criteria

Applications for the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme are assessed by peers against the assessment criteria listed below and the category descriptors at [Appendix B](#).

1. Significance (40%)

Significance for this grant opportunity is the extent to which the research findings will substantially advance knowledge to improve the prevention, diagnosis or treatment of medical conditions, or to improve health and wellbeing. Significance will be assessed in terms of, but not limited to, the following considerations:

- Is the research proposal directly relevant to the objectives and desired outcomes of the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant opportunity? Specifically:
 - high-quality clinical trials and/or cohort studies that address important gaps in knowledge, leading to relevant and implementable findings for the benefit of human health.
 - improvements in health and wellbeing, health care practice or policy, as a result of:
 - high-quality clinical trials that provide reliable evidence of the effects of health-related interventions on health outcomes (or appropriate surrogates), and/or
 - high-quality cohort studies that provide reliable evidence on the relation of important risk factors and other exposures to health-related outcomes.
- Is the rationale for the proposed research strongly supported by evidence?
 - What previous research has occurred?
 - Has the applicant referred to or conducted a systematic review or a thorough literature review? Do the points of difference between these studies and the proposed research provide strong justification for the proposed research?
 - Does the research question(s) meet the needs of research end-users, such as consumers, community members, policy makers and clinical practitioners?
 - Is the proposed research developed with meaningful community involvement, ensuring it is feasible? Is consumer representation integrated in all aspects of the project?
 - If the research objectives are achieved, would the research have a significant impact on the health issue in question? This may include contributing to knowledge, health, economic and social impacts.

2. Research quality (40%)

Research quality for this grant opportunity encompasses the quality and feasibility of the proposed research, incorporating theoretical concepts, hypothesis, research design and robustness.

Research quality will be assessed in terms of, but is not limited to, the following considerations:

- Is there a clear research question(s)?
- Are the clinical trial and/or cohort study design and methodologies appropriate for the research question(s)? For example:
 - Have any major pitfalls been overlooked?
 - Have the risks associated with the study been identified and strategies employed to mitigate them (e.g. recruitment shortfalls, participant attrition, legal-ethical barriers, political issues)?
 - Are the proposed inclusion and exclusion criteria appropriate and justified? This includes appropriate consideration of sex and gender, and other factors such as ethnicity, culture and language.
 - Are the proposed methodological approaches appropriate? Are the participants' intervention/exposure and comparators/controls clearly specified? Are data collection, management and statistical analyses described?
 - Have barriers and enablers associated with implementation been thoroughly considered and managed?
- Is the clinical trial and/or cohort study feasible? For example:
 - Are the required techniques established? Are the required expertise and resources available, including infrastructure, equipment and facilities?
 - Are targets for the recruitment of participants realistic? Is the sample size achievable and sufficient to detect meaningful effect differences?
 - Does the proposal include appropriate and realistic milestones and performance indicators and timeframes? Can the end-points be measured?
 - Has a proposed budget been developed that matches the scope and scale of the project, and is feasible, sustainable and appropriate to conduct the work?
 - If the proposal is a retrospective cohort study, are the data available of high quality, with low confounding factors and of sufficient volume to be informative, in relation to the health-related questions being asked?

3. Team quality and capability (20%)

This criterion is used to assess whether the CI team named in your application has the appropriate mix of research skills and experience to undertake the clinical trial and/or cohort study and achieve the stated objectives of the proposed research. Team quality will be assessed in terms of, but not limited to, the following considerations:

- Do the CIs collectively provide an appropriate mix of research skills and experience to successfully undertake this clinical trial and/or cohort study?
- Do the CIs have sufficient expertise to anticipate and solve potential obstacles (e.g. higher than anticipated non-compliance rates or new competing therapies) to the success of the proposal? Do they have expertise in all aspects of the research proposal? Does the expertise include the methodological and scientific underpinnings (e.g. statistics, bioinformatics and health economics) of the research proposal?
- Do the CIs have the networks, influence and experience to manage all aspects of the study?

- Do the CIs have high-quality track records over the last five years? Have the CIs previously delivered high-quality research outputs in this area of research? Does this demonstrate the team's capability to undertake the clinical trial and/or cohort study?
- Does the CI team reflect the contribution of early- and mid-career researcher/s to the clinical trial and/or cohort study?

Track records are assessed [Relative to Opportunity](#), taking into consideration any career disruptions, where applicable.

It is recognised that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander applicants often make additional valuable contributions to policy development, clinical/public health leadership and/or service delivery, community activities and linkages, and are often representatives on key committees. If applicable, these contributions will be considered when assessing research output and track record.

6.1. Health research involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People

As part of NHMRC's stated commitment to advancing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research, NHMRC has requirements and processes designed to ensure that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research is of the highest scientific merit and is beneficial and acceptable to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and communities.

Applicants proposing to undertake research which specifically relates to the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, or which includes distinct Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations, biological samples or data must refer to the following documents in formulating their proposal:

- [Road Map 3: A strategic framework for improving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health through research](#)
- [Ethical conduct in research with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples and communities: Guidelines for researchers and stakeholders](#), and
- [Keeping Research on Track II](#), which is a companion document on how the values and principles outlined in the [Ethical conduct in research with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples and communities: Guidelines for researchers and stakeholders](#) can be put into practice in research.

To qualify as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research, at least 20% of the research effort and/or capacity-building must relate to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health.

Qualifying applications must address NHMRC's *Indigenous Research Excellence Criteria* as follows:

- Community engagement - the proposal demonstrates how the research and potential outcomes are a priority for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities with relevant community engagement by individuals, communities and/or organisations in conceptualisation, development and approval, data collection and management, analysis, report writing and dissemination of results.
- Benefit - the potential health benefit of the project is demonstrated by addressing an important health issue for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. This benefit can have a single focus or affect several areas, such as knowledge, finance and policy or quality of life. The benefit may be direct and immediate, or it can be indirect, gradual and considered.

- Sustainability and transferability - the proposal demonstrates how the results of the project have the potential to lead to achievable and effective contributions to health gain for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, beyond the life of the project. This may be through sustainability in the project setting and/or transferability to other settings such as evidence-based practice and/or policy. In considering this issue, the proposal needs to address the relationship between costs and benefits.
- Building capability - the proposal demonstrates how Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, communities and researchers will develop relevant capabilities through partnerships and participation in the project.

Peer reviewer(s) with specific expertise in Indigenous health research will evaluate how well the application addresses the *Indigenous Research Excellence Criteria*. This evaluation will be taken into consideration in the overall assessment of the application, using the assessment criteria outlined in section 6 (it does not alter the weighting of the assessment criteria).

7. How to apply

7.1. Overview and timing of grant opportunity processes

29 June 2011	Applications open in Sapphire
17.00 AEST	
27 July 2022	Minimum data due in Sapphire
17.00 AEST	
24 August 2022	Applications close in Sapphire
October 2022 – February 2023	Anticipated peer review period
April – May 2023*	Anticipated notification of outcomes

*Date is indicative and subject to change.

Applications must be submitted electronically using Sapphire (unless otherwise advised by NHMRC).

Electronic submission requires Administering Institutions and all CIs on an application to register for an account in Sapphire. Applicants who are not registered can submit a new user request via the login page of Sapphire.

Applicants should refer to the [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#) for detailed user instructions, or contact their RAO or NHMRC's Research Help Centre for further assistance.

Late applications will not be accepted.

7.2. Application Extensions

Requests for application extensions will be considered on a case-by-case basis and must be submitted by email to help@nhmrc.gov.au before the scheme close date and time. Requests will only be considered for:

- unforeseen circumstances, e.g. natural calamities such as bushfires, floods or cyclones;

- exceptional circumstances that affect multiple researchers, e.g. power and/or internet network outages, or
- where an applicant, or a member of their immediate family¹, is incapacitated due to an unforeseen medical emergency, such as life-threatening injury, accident or death.

Extensions will be for a maximum of seven calendar days. This is to ensure that subsequent peer review processes and approval of funding recommendations are not delayed, especially as eligibility decisions for some NHMRC schemes depend on an applicant's success with other schemes.

Requests for extensions submitted after the scheme close date and time will not be considered.

7.3. Minimum data requirements

Minimum data must be entered in Sapphire by the specified due date. Applications that fail to satisfy this requirement will not be accepted. Applicants must complete the required fields with correct information. Using placeholder text such as “text”, “synopsis” or “xx” etc. is not acceptable as minimum data.

Applicants are discouraged from making any changes to minimum data fields following the minimum data deadline as NHMRC uses minimum data to identify appropriate peer reviewers to assess the application. Incorrect minimum data may result in less suitable peer reviewers assessing the application.

Minimum data fields for Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 are outlined in [Appendix C: Guide to Applicants](#) (see section 2.1, ‘Minimum Data Requirements’) and within Sapphire.

Failure to meet this deadline will result in the application not proceeding. RAOs are not required to certify applications for the purpose of minimum data. Applications are only to be certified once complete and ready for submission.

7.4. Application requirements

The application is to contain all information necessary for assessment without the need for further written or oral explanation or reference to additional documentation. Further information on what can and cannot be included in the application is provided in the Guide to Applicants at [Appendix C](#).

All details included must be current at the time of submission, as this information is relied on during assessment.

Applications must comply with all content and formatting requirements. Incomplete or non-compliant applications may be ineligible.

Additional requirements and guidance in relation to each component of the application are outlined at [Appendix C](#).

7.5. Attachments to the application

NHMRC requires the following documents with your application:

- Grant Proposal as an attachment

¹ Immediate family comprises a spouse, child, parent or sibling. It includes de facto, step and adoptive relations (e.g. de facto, step or adopted children).

You must attach supporting documentation to the application in line with the instructions provided in Sapphire or [Appendix C](#). Only attach requested documents. NHMRC will not consider information in attachments that it does not request.

7.6. Consumer and community involvement

The *Statement on Consumer and Community Involvement in Health and Medical Research* (the Statement) has been developed because of the important contribution consumers make to health and medical research. The Statement's purpose is to guide research institutions, researchers, consumers and community members in the active involvement of consumers and community members in all aspects of health and medical research. The Consumers Health Forum of Australia Ltd and NHMRC worked in partnership with consumers and researchers to develop the Statement.

To complement the statement, NHMRC has released a Toolkit with resources on consumer and community involvement in, and expectations of, health and medical research. Researchers are encouraged to consider the benefits of actively engaging consumers and to use this Toolkit throughout all stages of research, including the planning and preparation of grant applications, the conduct of research and the evaluation of outcomes.

Further information on The Consumers Health Forum, the Statement and the Toolkit is available on [NHMRC's website](#). Consumer and community involvement in the proposed research will be considered, as relevant, as part of the applicable assessment criteria (see section 6).

7.7. Certification and submission

Once complete, applications must be electronically certified and then submitted to NHMRC through the RAO of an NHMRC Administering Institution using Sapphire.

Certification is required firstly by the CIA and then by the Administering Institution RAO by the specified due date or the application will be ineligible and excluded from further consideration.

Once submitted to NHMRC, the application is considered final and no changes can be made.

7.7.1. CIA certification

The following assurances, acknowledgements and undertakings are required of the CIA prior to submitting an application:

- All required information has been provided and is complete, current and correct.
- All eligibility and other application requirements have been met.
- All personnel contributing to the grant activity have familiarised themselves with the [Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research](#), the [National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research](#), the [Australian code for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes](#) and other relevant NHMRC policies concerning the conduct of research, and agree to conduct themselves in accordance with those policies.
- The application may be excluded from consideration if found to be in breach of any requirements, in accordance with the grant guidelines.

And if funded,

- The research will be carried out in strict accordance with the conditions governing NHMRC grants at the time.

- The Head of Department of the Administering Institution (and Participating Institution/s, if applicable) will ensure the appropriate facilities will be available.
- The research may be used for internal NHMRC quality evaluations/reviews.

7.7.2. Certification from other Chief Investigators (CIB-CIJ) and Associate Investigators

By accepting an invitation to participate on an application, Chief and Associate Investigators certify that, at the time of application submission, they:

- Agree to be named on the application
- Endorse application certification by the Chief Investigator A and submission for endorsement by the Administering Institution's RAO
- Have familiarised themselves with the [Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research](#), the [National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research](#), the [Australian code for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes](#) and other relevant NHMRC policies concerning the conduct of research, and agree to conduct themselves in accordance with those policies
- Agree to participate in the manner described in the application and to handling of personal information contained within the application as described in the *NHMRC Privacy Policy*.

7.7.3. Administering Institution certification

The following assurances, acknowledgements and undertakings are required of the Administering Institution prior to submitting an application:

- Reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the application is complete and correct and complies with all eligibility and other application requirements.
- CIA is an Australian or New Zealand citizen or permanent resident at the time of accepting the successful grant.
- CIA will be based in Australia for at least 80% of the funding period.
- Where the CIA is not an Australian or New Zealand citizen or permanent resident, they will have the requisite work visa in place at the time of accepting the successful grant and will be based in Australia for at least 80% of the funding period.
- The appropriate facilities and salary support will be available for the funding period.
- Approval of the grant activity by relevant institutional committees and approval bodies, particularly for ethics and biosafety, will be sought and obtained prior to the commencement of the research, or the parts of the research that require their approval.
- Arrangements for the management of the grant have been agreed between all institutions associated with the application.
- The application is being submitted with the full authority of, and on behalf of, the Administering Institution, noting that under section 136.1 of the *Commonwealth Criminal Code Act 1995*, it is an offence to provide false or misleading information to a

Commonwealth body in an application for a benefit. This includes submission of an application by those not authorised by the Institution to submit applications for funding to NHMRC.

Administering Institutions must ensure that the RAO is authorised to certify and submit applications.

7.8. Retracted publications

If a publication relevant to an application is retracted after the application has been submitted, the applicant must promptly notify their RAO. The RAO must advise NHMRC at the earliest opportunity of the retraction by email (help@nhmrc.gov.au) with an explanation of the reasons for the retraction.

In addition, where the publication forms part of the applicant's track record, the applicant must immediately record that information in their Profile in Sapphire.

If an application is largely dependent on the results of a retracted publication, the applicant should also consider withdrawing the application. If, under these circumstances, an applicant chooses not to withdraw the application, the RAO must advise NHMRC in writing (to help@nhmrc.gov.au), clearly outlining the reasons for not withdrawing the application.

7.9. Withdrawal of applications

Applications may be withdrawn at any time by written notice from the Administering Institution's RAO to NHMRC.

An application may be 'marked for deletion' by the applicant in Sapphire before the close of the round. This authorises NHMRC to delete the application once the grant opportunity has closed. The application will not be deleted while the grant opportunity remains open for application submission.

7.10. Questions during the application process

Applicants requiring further assistance should direct enquiries to their Administering Institution's RAO.

All policy enquiries must be submitted in writing by the Administering Institution's RAO to NHMRC's Research Help Centre. Policy enquiries from applicants will be re-directed to the RAO. Frequently asked policy questions will be addressed via the scheme's Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) document, which will be updated on GrantConnect as required and should be reviewed before submitting a query.

NHMRC's Research Help Centre details:

Phone: 1800 500 983 (+61 2 6217 9451 for international callers)

Email: help@nhmrc.gov.au

Refer to the [Research Help Centre webpage](#) for opening hours.

8. The grant selection process

8.1. Assessment of grant applications

NHMRC considers applications through a targeted competitive grant process. Applications are required to meet eligibility requirements as set out in these guidelines and are assessed against the assessment criteria (see Section 6) by independent peer reviewers.

The extent to which applications represent value for money is considered as part of the category descriptors at [Appendix B](#), which includes assessment of applications against the scheme's objectives and intended outcomes (Section 2), the quality of the proposed research and the capability of the applicant(s).

8.1.1. Who will assess applications?

NHMRC's peer review process is designed to provide a rigorous, fair, transparent and consistent assessment of the merits of each application to ensure that only the highest quality, value with money research is recommended for funding.

Applicants must not seek to identify or make contact about their application with anyone who is directly engaged with its assessment, in keeping with NHMRC's principles of impartial and independent peer review. Seeking to influence the process or outcomes of peer review may constitute a breach of the [Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research](#) and may result in the application being excluded from consideration.

8.1.2. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants assessment process

NHMRC will conduct peer review for this funding round in accordance with the following principles:

- Fairness. Peer review processes are fair and seen to be fair by all involved.
- Transparency. All stages of peer review are transparent.
- Independence. Peer reviewers provide independent advice. There is also independent oversight of peer review processes by independent Chairs and Observers.
- Appropriateness and balance. The experience, expertise and operation of peer reviewers are appropriate to the goals and scale of the funding vehicle.
- Research community participation. Persons holding taxpayer-funded grants should willingly make themselves available to participate in peer review processes, including mentoring of junior researchers, whenever possible.
- Confidentiality. Participants respect that confidentiality is important to the fairness and robustness of peer review.
- Impartiality. Peer review is objective and impartial, with appropriate processes in place to manage real and perceived conflicts of interest (CoI).
- Quality and excellence. NHMRC will continue to introduce evidence-based improvements into its processes to achieve the highest quality decision-making through peer review.

Peer reviewers will independently undertake an assessment of applications against the assessment criteria (see Section 6). Some applications may be discussed by peer reviewers. The overall scores from assessments will be used to produce a rank ordered list of applications, on which funding recommendations will be based.

Further information on the assessment process is on the [NHMRC website](#).

8.2. Who will approve grants?

In accordance with paragraph 7(1)(c) of the NHMRC Act, NHMRC's CEO makes recommendations on expenditure from the MREA to the Minister with portfolio responsibility for NHMRC. The Minister, acting on the advice of the CEO, determines expenditure from the MREA (paragraph 51 (2) of the NHMRC Act).

9. Notification of application outcomes

NHMRC will advise applicants and their nominated Administering Institution's RAO of the outcome of the application as early as possible, following the approval of grants. Advice of outcomes may occur before the approval of grants if an application has been assessed as uncompetitive or excluded for other reasons.

NHMRC may advise applicants and their Administering Institution's RAO of the outcome under embargo. This means that the information must not be made public until the embargo is lifted. During the embargo period, applicants must not publicise the information or post comments about the grant outcomes in public domains such as social forums, websites, journals or newspapers. [NHMRC's website](#) provides further information on what can and cannot happen where information on a grant is released under embargo.

10. Successful grant applications

CIAs whose applications are approved for funding will have access to a letter of offer through Sapphire. Administering Institutions responsible for administering approved applications will also have access to the letter of offer and to the Schedule to the Funding Agreement. The Administering Institution is responsible for accepting the Schedule through the online signing/acceptance process within Sapphire.

NHMRC's CEO or delegate may withdraw or vary an offer of a grant if they consider that it is reasonably necessary to protect Commonwealth revenue.

10.1. Information required from grantees

Grantees may be required to supply additional information about their grant activity before payments commence. This will be stated in the letter of offer.

10.2. Obligations and approvals

NHMRC-funded grant activities must comply with applicable guidelines, laws and approval requirements. For further information see [NHMRC's website](#).

The University Foreign Interference Taskforce [Guidelines to counter foreign interference in the Australian university sector](#) (UFIT Guidelines) have been developed to protect the university sector against foreign interference. NHMRC has determined that these Guidelines are to be implemented by all NHMRC Administering Institutions, including non-university institutions.

Institutions applying for NHMRC funding (both Administering and Participating Institutions) must also be aware of their obligations under The [National Redress Scheme for Institutional Child Sexual Abuse – Grant Connected Policy](#). Relevant institutions which have been named in an application for the Redress Scheme or named in the Royal Commission, and which have not joined the Redress Scheme, will be ineligible to access NHMRC funding.

NOTE: NHMRC research with ethics and biosafety considerations must be referred for approval to the relevant institutional committees and approval bodies.

10.3. NHMRC Funding Agreement

All grants are offered in accordance with the Funding Agreement (with any conditions specified in Schedules and these Grant Guidelines), which is a legal agreement between NHMRC and the Administering Institution. Schedule(s) are accepted by the Administering Institution electronically in accordance with the provisions of the Funding Agreement.

Details of the Funding Agreement can be found on [NHMRC's website](#) under Funding Agreement and Deeds of Agreement. A grant will not commence, nor grant funds be paid, until:

- the Funding Agreement between NHMRC and the Administering Institution is in place, and
- the appropriate Schedule to the Funding Agreement is executed in accordance with clause 2.3 of the Funding Agreement.

10.3.1. Responsible and ethical conduct of research

NHMRC expects the highest levels of research conduct and integrity to be observed in the research that it funds. Under the Funding Agreement, NHMRC funded research must be conducted in accordance with the *Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research*. Further information about the Code can be found on [NHMRC's website](#).

10.4. NHMRC policies

Under the Funding Agreement, it is the responsibility of Administering Institutions and CIs to be aware of, and comply with, all relevant legislation and policies relating to the conduct of the grant activity.

For further information see [NHMRC's website](#).

10.5. Payments

Payments will commence once all outstanding obligations (e.g. conditions, eligibility rules or data requirements specified in the Schedule to the Funding Agreement, relevant grant guidelines or letter of offer) have been met by the CIA and the Administering Institution.

10.6. Suspension of grants

NHMRC funding may be suspended for a variety of reasons including, but not limited to, requests made by the CIA. Variations will generally only be granted if allowed in the grant guidelines and the *NHMRC Grantee Variation Policy* available on the [NHMRC website](#).

Funding may also be suspended by NHMRC, in circumstances as set out in the Funding Agreement, including when there has been a failure to comply with a Policy or Guideline, or on the basis of a Probity Event or an investigation of an alleged breach of the [Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research](#) (including research misconduct, where this term is used).

10.7. Tax implications

All amounts referred to in these Grant Guidelines are exclusive of GST, unless stated otherwise.

Administering Institutions are responsible for all financial and taxation matters associated with the grant.

11. Announcement of grants

Grant outcomes are publicly listed on the [GrantConnect website](#) within 21 calendar days after the date of effect as required by the CGRGs.

12. How NHMRC monitors grant activity

12.1. Variations

A variation is a change (including a delay) to a grant. There are specific circumstances under which grantees are to report and seek approval of a variation to an NHMRC grant (including the grant activity) relative to the peer reviewed application. Requests must comply with the grant guidelines and the *NHMRC Grantee Variations Policy*. Requests to vary the terms of a grant are to be made to NHMRC via the Grantee Variation portal in Sapphire. For information on grant variations see the *NHMRC Grantee Variations Policy* available on the [NHMRC website](#).

Note that CIs are expected to remain on the grant for the full funding period and NHMRC will only approve changes to CIs in exceptional circumstances. Before a CIA applies for a grant variation, they and the relevant RAO will need to confirm that all CIs have agreed to the variation, noting the impact that it may have on their suite of grants and their eligibility to hold/apply for other grants. Grant variations cannot be used as a means to meet NHMRC eligibility requirements.

12.2. Reporting

Administering Institutions are required to report to NHMRC on the progress of the grant and the use of grant funds. Where an institution fails to submit reports (financial or otherwise) as required, NHMRC may take action under the provisions of the Funding Agreement. Failure to report within timeframes may affect eligibility to receive future funding.

12.2.1. Financial reports

Annual financial reports are required in a form prescribed by NHMRC. At the completion of the grant or upon transfer to a new Administering Institution, a financial acquittal is also required. Refer to [NHMRC's website](#) for details of format and timing.

12.2.2. Non-financial reports

The Funding Agreement requires the CIA to prepare reports for each grant activity. Scientific reporting requirements can be found on [NHMRC's website](#). While having outstanding obligations from previous NHMRC grants does not disqualify applicants from applying for other NHMRC grants, it is a condition of funding that outstanding obligations from previous NHMRC grants, including submission of a Final Report, have been met prior to acceptance of a new grant.

Information included in the Final Report may be publicly released. Use of this information may include publication on [NHMRC's website](#), publicity (including release to the media) and the promotion of research achievements.

All information provided to NHMRC in reports may be used for internal reporting and reporting to government. This information may also be used by NHMRC when reviewing or evaluating funded research projects or funding schemes or designing future schemes.

12.2.3. Registration of clinical trials

Research involving clinical trials must be registered in the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR) or equivalent before recruitment of the first participant. Information on how to

register your clinical trial is available at www.anzctr.org.au. Cohort studies can be registered in the ANZCTR and successful grantees are encouraged to register their study with the registry, if applicable.

12.3. Evaluation of the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme

NHMRC undertakes periodic evaluations of the performance and administration of its grant opportunities to determine their effectiveness and to identify where improvements can be made.

12.4. Open Access Policy

NHMRC supports the sharing of outputs from NHMRC funded research including publications and data. The aims of NHMRC's *Open Access Policy* are to mandate the open access sharing of publications and encourage innovative open access to research data. This policy also requires that patents resulting from NHMRC funding be made findable through listing in SourceIP. NHMRC's *Open Access Policy* is available on [NHMRC's website](#).

Combined, these approaches will help to increase reuse of data, improve research integrity and contribute to a stronger knowledge economy. Open access will also assist with reporting and demonstration of research achievement, improve track record assessment processes for the long term and contribute to better collaborations.

All recipients of NHMRC grants must comply with all elements of NHMRC's *Open Access Policy* as a condition of funding.

13. Probity

13.1. Complaints process

Applicants or grantees can lodge a formal complaint about an NHMRC process related to funding via the Administering Institution's RAO and in writing to NHMRC Complaints Team at: complaints@nhmrc.gov.au. Complaints must be lodged within 28 days of the relevant NHMRC decision or action. NHMRC will provide a written response to all complaints. NHMRC will not review the merits of a funding decision, but it will investigate complaints about the administrative process followed to reach a funding decision.

If applicants or grantees are dissatisfied with the response from the NHMRC Complaints Team, you can raise your concerns with the NHMRC Commissioner of Complaints (the Commissioner). Note that the Commissioner of Complaints does not undertake a merits review. Refer to NHMRC's Complaints Policy and the Commissioner of Complaints [webpage](#) for further information.

Applicants or grantees can complain to the Commonwealth Ombudsman if they do not agree with the way NHMRC has handled their complaint. The Ombudsman will not usually look into a complaint unless the matter has first been raised directly with NHMRC and, where relevant, the Commissioner of Complaints.

13.2. Conflicts of Interest

NHMRC is committed to ensuring that interests of any kind are dealt with consistently, transparently and with rigour, in accordance with sections 16A and 16B of the Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Rule 2014 (made under the subsection 29(2) of the *Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013* (PGPA Act)).

Applicants are not required to declare actual or perceived interests.

To manage any conflicts of interest with applicants, NHMRC requires peer review participants to declare interests, actual or perceived, and sign deeds of confidentiality. Peer review participants declare any direct or indirect, pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest, which is reviewed by NHMRC, prior to being granted full access to an application. Any peer reviewer who is determined by NHMRC to have a 'high' conflict of interest will not be able to participate in the review of that application.

By managing any conflict, NHMRC maintains objectivity, impartiality and integrity in the assessment of applications. Further information relating to the conflict of interest process is available in the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 Peer Review Guidelines.

13.3. Privacy: confidentiality and protection of personal information

NHMRC treats applicants' personal information in accordance with the Australian Privacy Principles, and the *Privacy Act 1988*. The [NHMRC Privacy Policy](#) details the types of personal or sensitive information that may be collected by NHMRC and how it will be handled. Applicants need to familiarise themselves with the NHMRC Privacy Policy before providing personal information to NHMRC.

Information that is generally regarded as confidential information is application information and any other information specifically identified as such by applicants and grantees, and will be received by NHMRC on the basis of a mutual understanding of confidentiality.

NHMRC may disclose personal and/or confidential information to:

- overseas entities, Australian, State/Territory or local government agencies, organisations or individuals where necessary to assess an application or to administer a grant
- the peer review committee and other Commonwealth employees and contractors to help NHMRC manage the grant scheme effectively
- employees and contractors of NHMRC to research, assess, monitor and analyse schemes and activities
- employees and contractors of other Commonwealth agencies for any purposes, including government administration, research or service delivery
- other Commonwealth, State, Territory or local government agencies in reports and consultations
- NHMRC approved Administering Institutions' Research Administration Offices
- the Auditor-General, Ombudsman or Privacy Commissioner
- the responsible Minister or Parliamentary Secretary, and
- a House or a Committee of the Australian Parliament.

In addition, NHMRC will provide certain limited personal information of the Chief Investigator/s included in an application to Administering Institutions for the purpose of certification of eligibility requirements.

13.4. Freedom of information

NHMRC as a Commonwealth agency is subject to the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* and is committed to meeting the Australian Government's transparency and accountability requirements. Freedom of Information laws facilitate the general public's access to documents held by national government agencies, including application and funding documentation relating to NHMRC researchers. This right of access is limited where documents, or parts of documents, are exempt under the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*.

Researchers are to familiarise themselves with NHMRC's Freedom of Information procedures before submitting an application. Further information on the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*, NHMRC's Freedom of Information application process and relevant contacts can be found on the [NHMRC website](#).

14. Glossary

Term	Definition
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander descent	<p>Identification of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander descent follows the advice given on the AIATSIS website (https://aiatsis.gov.au/family-history/you-start/proof-aboriginality). This states that government agencies and communities usually accept three ‘working criteria’ as confirmation of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander heritage, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • being of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent • identifying as an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, and • being accepted as such by the community in which you live, or formerly lived.
assessment criteria	The specified principles or standards against which applications will be judged. These criteria are used to assess the merits of proposals and, in the case of a competitive granting opportunity, to determine applicant rankings.
date of effect	This will depend on the particular grant. It can be the date the schedule to a grant agreement is executed or the announcement of the grant, whichever is later.
eligibility criteria	The principles, standards or rules that a grant applicant must meet to qualify for consideration of a grant.
<i>Commonwealth Grants Rules and Guidelines 2017 (CGRGs)</i>	The CGRGs establish the overarching Commonwealth grants policy framework and the expectations for all non-corporate Commonwealth entities in relation to grants administration.
final year	The final 12 calendar months of a grant.
Funding Agreement	For NHMRC MREA grants, the grant agreement is the NHMRC Funding Agreement and the Schedule to the Funding Agreement. It is available on NHMRC’s website .
grant	As defined in the NHMRC Funding Agreement.
grant activity	Defined as “Research Activity” in the NHMRC Funding Agreement.

Term	Definition
GrantConnect	<p>GrantConnect is the Australian Government’s whole-of-government grants information system, which centralises the publication and reporting of Commonwealth grants in accordance with the CGRGs. It is available at www.grants.gov.au.</p> <p>Non-corporate Commonwealth entities must publish grant opportunities on GrantConnect to meet the grant publishing requirements under the CGRGs.</p> <p>Where information is published in more than one location, and there are inconsistencies, GrantConnect is the authoritative, auditable information source.</p>
grant opportunity	A notice published on GrantConnect advertising the availability of Commonwealth grants.
grant program	A group of one or more grant opportunities under a single entity Portfolio Budget Statement Program. This is referred to as a scheme in this document.
Grantee	An individual/organisation that has been awarded a grant. For NHMRC’s purposes, grants are awarded to the Administering Institution for the benefit of the grant recipients (however described).
Medical Research Endowment Account (MREA)	The purpose of the MREA is to provide assistance to Federal and State Government Departments, institutions, universities and/or persons engaged in medical research.
Medical Research Future Fund (MRFF)	<p>The MRFF was established on 26 August 2015 by the <i>Medical Research Future Fund Act 2015</i> (MRFF Act). Refer to the Department of Health website:</p> <p>https://www.health.gov.au/initiatives-and-programs/medical-research-future-fund</p>
peer reviewers	Individuals (peers) with appropriate knowledge and expertise who review grant applications.
Portfolio Budget Statement (PBS) Program	Described within the entity’s PBS, PBS programs each link to a single outcome and provide transparency for funding decisions. These high level PBS programs often comprise a number of lower level, more publicly recognised programs, some of which will be Grant Programs (schemes). A PBS Program may have more than one Grant Program (scheme) associated with it, and each of these may have one or more grant opportunities.
Probity Event	As defined in the NHMRC Funding Agreement.

Term	Definition
Sapphire	NHMRC's electronic, secure system that allows research administrators, applicants, assessors, grant holders and NHMRC staff to manage all aspects of the granting lifecycle.
Schedule	As defined in the NHMRC Funding Agreement.

Appendix A. NHMRC structural priorities, Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 priorities and funding organisations

A1 NHMRC structural priorities

Each year, NHMRC identifies structural priorities for funding to help achieve its broader goals. Applications that meet structural priorities may be funded in order of merit, supplementary to applications within the budget for the grant opportunity, based on advice from NHMRC's Research Committee. NHMRC's current structural priorities are:

- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research and researchers,
- female lead investigators.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research and researchers

NHMRC is committed to improving the health outcomes of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and encourages applications that address Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health. Accordingly, NHMRC is committed to allocating at least five per cent of the annual allocation from its Medical Research Endowment Account to research directed at improving the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Support for health and medical research and research translation is central to achieving improvements in this area. It is also important to increase the number of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander researchers and recognise the diversity of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and communities, and how this diversity relates to health issues in these communities.

Applicants identifying as being of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander descent are asked to indicate this in their Sapphire profile.

Identification of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander descent follows the advice provided on the AIATSIS website (<https://aiatsis.gov.au/family-history/you-start/proof-aboriginality>). This states that government agencies and communities usually accept three 'working criteria' as confirmation of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander heritage, namely:

- being of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent
- identifying as an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, and
- being accepted as such by the community in which you live, or formerly lived.

Administering Institutions must retain evidence, consistent with AIATSIS guidance, of a Chief Investigator A's identification as an Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander person and must provide this evidence to NHMRC, if requested.

Female Lead Investigators

Funding outcomes have highlighted the underrepresentation of female chief investigators across many of NHMRC's funding schemes. By providing structural priority funding for female lead investigators, NHMRC is seeking to give more outstanding female researchers the opportunity to receive funding and to encourage more to apply.

A2 Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 priority areas

In addition to these priorities, NHMRC may award Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants that:

- address other defined structural priorities
- acknowledge prominent Australians' contributions to health and medical research (Special Awards), and/or
- are funded with partner organisations.

Special awards

Details of NHMRC awards will be available on the [NHMRC website](#).

Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants funded by other organisations

Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants may be funded by or in conjunction with other organisations. These grants offer opportunities to researchers whose work is particularly relevant to the priorities and research interests of the partner organisations.

Some funding partners may require a separate application to be provided to them, or may have specific criteria and requirements, in addition to those of NHMRC. Applicants are to contact the funding partner to identify any additional requirements.

For the purposes of the *Privacy Act 1988*, applicants and other persons whose details appear in grant applications (e.g. other investigators) need to be aware that NHMRC may provide their personal information, including all pertinent application documentation and peer review outcomes, to the funding organisation(s) nominated by the applicant. The purpose of providing this information is to enable potential funding partners to assess the application's eligibility for funding under the funding organisation's policies.

In the event that a funding partner is unable to fulfil its obligation to a co-funded grant, NHMRC will continue to support the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 recipient under the conditions that would have been awarded by NHMRC.

Any additional benefits that may have been provided by the funding partner, including Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies grants that may have been fully funded by the funding partner, will not be supported by NHMRC.

Further information on Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants funded by other organisations is available on the [NHMRC website](#).

The following organisations are expected to partner with NHMRC in funding grants under this grant opportunity:

- Cancer Australia & Funding Partners

Appendix B. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 Category Descriptors

The following category descriptors are used as a guide to scoring an application against each of the assessment criteria.

While the category descriptors provide peer reviewers with some benchmarks for appropriately scoring each application, it is not essential that all descriptors relating to a given score are met.

The category descriptors are a “best fit” outcome. Peer reviewers will consistently refer to these category descriptors to ensure thorough, equitable and transparent assessment of applications.

Assessing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Contributions

To assist in assessing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research applications, the criteria for Indigenous health research have been integrated in the table below. This is to be used as a guide only.

Significance (40%)

SCORE						
7	6	5	4	3	2	1
<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will comprehensively and convincingly address the objective of this grant opportunity and will deliver against the desired outcomes • is informed by an exemplary analysis or review of existing and ongoing studies in the field • is developed with extremely broad and meaningful community involvement, meaning the proposal is extremely feasible • has consumer representation that is fully integrated into all aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project • if successful, will have very significant research impacts. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will strongly address the objective of this grant opportunity and will deliver against desired outcomes • is informed by a thorough analysis or review of existing and ongoing studies in the field • is developed with very broad and meaningful community involvement, meaning the proposal is very highly feasible • has consumer representation that is integrated into most aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project • if successful, will have significant research impacts. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will address the objective of this grant opportunity with only minor concerns and deliver relevant desired outcomes • is informed by a good analysis or review of relevant existing and ongoing studies in the field, with only minor concerns with respect to the analysis • is developed with broad community involvement, meaning the proposal is very feasible • has consumer representation that is integrated into some aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project • if successful, will have appreciable research impacts. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will partially address the objective of the grant opportunity and deliver desired outcomes of some relevance • there are several minor concerns about the analysis or review of existing and ongoing studies which informs the research • is developed with good community involvement, meaning the proposal is feasible • has consumer representation that is evident in some aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project • if successful, may have moderate research impacts. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will not convincingly address the objective of this grant opportunity or is unclear in its approach to doing so • there are significant or major concerns about the analysis or review of existing and ongoing studies which informs the research • is developed with some community involvement, meaning the proposal is somewhat feasible • has consumer representation that is evident in a few aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project • if successful, it is unlikely to have anything other than minor research impact. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will not address the objective of this grant opportunity or is unclear in its approach to doing so • is informed by a very limited analysis or review of existing and ongoing studies in the field • is developed with limited community involvement, meaning the proposal is somewhat feasible • has consumer representation that is minimal in most aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • will not address any of the objectives of this grant opportunity • is informed by a poor analysis or review of existing and ongoing studies in the field • is not developed with community involvement, meaning the proposal is probably not feasible • has no consumer representation in any aspects of the planning, conduct, publication and translation of the project • will not translate into outcomes that improve treatment of a medical condition or improve health outcomes.

SCORE						
7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Significance of the grant outcomes: Indigenous criteria						
<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will definitely lead to major and effective health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, beyond the life of the project • The outcomes of the study will have a very high impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will have a very significant health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 	<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will lead to considerable and effective health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, beyond the life of the project • The outcomes of the study will have a high impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will have a significant health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 	<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will lead to effective health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, beyond the life of the project • The outcomes of the study will have an impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will have some health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 	<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study may lead to effective health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, beyond the life of the project • The outcomes of the study may have an impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study may have some health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 	<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study may lead to limited or short- term health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples • The outcomes of the study may have a moderate impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study are likely to have a minimal health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 	<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study are unlikely to lead to any health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples • The outcomes of the study are unlikely to have any impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study are likely to have little or no health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 	<p>Sustainability and transferability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will not lead to any health gains for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples • The outcomes of the study will not have any impact on health services delivery or other community priorities. <p>Benefit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes of the study will have no health benefit for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

Research Quality (40%)

SCORE						
7	6	5	4	3	2	1
<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has a near flawless design and research methodologies appropriate to the research question • is comparable with the best international research in the field • is highly feasible with all of the required techniques and resources established • has a proposed budget that very accurately matches the scope and scale of the proposed project with no budgeting concerns, and is highly feasible, sustainable and highly appropriate to undertake all components of work. • includes highly effective milestones and performance indicators • was developed with outstanding risk management strategies that include a thorough barriers and enablers analysis to ensure successful implementation. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has a strong, well defined and coherent design and research methodologies appropriate to the research question • is comparable with strong proposals in the field internationally • is feasible with required techniques and resources established • has a proposed budget that accurately matches the scope and scale of the proposed project with negligible budgeting concerns, and is very feasible, sustainable and very appropriate to undertake all components of work. • includes effective milestones and performance indicators • was developed with very good risk management strategies that include a thorough barriers and enablers analysis to ensure successful implementation. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is generally clear in its research methodology, logical and appropriate to the research question • raises only very few minor concerns with respect to the study design • is feasible in almost all areas: required techniques and resources established or nearly established • may not be highly competitive with similar research proposals internationally • has a proposed budget that matches the scope and scale of the proposed project with minimal budgeting concerns, and is clearly feasible, sustainable and appropriate to undertake all components of work. • raises a few very minor concerns about the appropriateness of milestones and performance indicators • was developed with good risk management strategies that include a barriers and enablers analysis to ensure successful implementation. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is generally solid in design and is appropriate to the research question, but may not always be clear in its intent and focus • raises several minor concerns regarding the study design and research methodologies • raises doubts about feasibility in a number of areas • is not likely to be competitive with similar research proposals internationally • has a proposed budget that matches the scope and scale of the proposed project but with some budgeting concerns, and is feasible, sustainable and appropriate to undertake all components of work. • raises minor concerns about the appropriateness of milestones and performance indicators • was developed with adequate risk management strategies that include a barriers and enablers analysis to ensure successful implementation. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is somewhat unclear in its design • is not appropriate to the research question or contains some major design or methodological flaws • raises major concerns about the feasibility and thus the likelihood of successful completion • has a proposed budget that somewhat matches the scope and scale of the proposed project but with significant budgeting concerns, and is somewhat feasible, sustainable and appropriate to undertake all components of work. • raises significant concerns about the appropriateness of milestones and performance indicators • was developed with some or marginal risk management strategies. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is unclear in its design • contains several major flaws in study design and research methodologies • has a proposed budget that marginally matches the scope and scale of the proposed project and with significant budgeting concerns, and is marginally feasible, sustainable and appropriate to undertake all components of work. • raises several major concerns about the feasibility and thus the likelihood of successful completion • was developed with poor risk management strategies. 	<p>The proposed clinical trial and/or cohort study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has a poorly developed research proposal which does not seem to be feasible and is unlikely to be successfully completed • has a proposed budget that does not match the scope and scale of the proposed project, and is not feasible, sustainable or appropriate to undertake all components of work. • did not consider any risk management strategies.

SCORE						
7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Research quality: Indigenous criteria						
<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has outstanding levels of community engagement, ensuring that the proposal is highly feasible • demonstrates how the research and potential outcomes are a priority for the community to an outstanding degree. 	<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has excellent levels of community engagement, ensuring that the proposal is feasible • demonstrates how the research and potential outcomes are a priority for the community to an excellent degree. 	<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has very good levels of community engagement, ensuring that the proposal is likely to be feasible • clearly demonstrates how the research and potential outcomes are a priority for the community. 	<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has good levels of community engagement • raises some concerns whether the proposal is feasible • demonstrates how the research and potential outcomes are a priority for the community. 	<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has limited community engagement • raises several concerns whether the proposal is feasible and achievable. 	<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has little or no community engagement • is unlikely to be feasible and achievable. 	<p>Community Engagement</p> <p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has no community engagement • will not be feasible.

Team Quality and Capability (20%)

SCORE						
7	6	5	4	3	2	1
<p>Relative to opportunity, the Chief Investigators (CIs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have a high level of expertise and experience in all aspects of the proposed research • have a very high level of influence and strong networks to contribute to the proposed research • have over the last 5 years, a combined record of research achievement that is outstanding by international standards commensurate with their field of research (research achievement, quality and productivity) • have outstanding national and international reputations in clinical trial or cohort study methodology and relevant research fields • may include junior members who are strong contributors to overall team capability. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the CIs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have expertise and experience that is highly relevant to the proposed research • have a high level of influence and strong networks to contribute to the proposed research • have over the last 5 years, a combined record of research achievement that is excellent by international standards commensurate with their field of research (research achievement, quality and productivity) • have excellent national and/or international reputations in clinical trial or cohort study methodology and relevant research fields • may include junior members who contribute to overall team capability. 	<p>Relative to opportunity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there are only minor concerns about the CIs' level of expertise and experience required to undertake the proposed research • the CIs have an appropriate level of influence and networks to contribute to the proposed research • the CIs have, over the last 5 years, a combined record of research achievement that is well above average by international standards commensurate with their field of research (research achievement, quality and productivity) • the CIs have very good national and/or international reputations in clinical trial or cohort study methodology and relevant research fields • the CIs may include junior members who have the potential to add to the team capability. 	<p>Relative to opportunity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there are significant concerns about the CIs' level of expertise and experience required to undertake the proposed research • the CIs have some level of influence and networks to contribute to the proposed research • the CIs have, over the last 5 years, a combined record of research achievement that is average by international standards commensurate with their field of research (research achievement, quality and productivity) • the CIs have good national and/or international reputations in clinical trial or cohort study methodology and the relevant research fields • the CIs may include junior members who have the potential to add to the team capability. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the CIs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have made contributions to the field of research but there are significant concerns regarding the depth and breadth of relevant expertise of the team • have limited influence and networks to contribute to the proposed research • have, over the last 5 years, a combined record of research achievement (research achievement, quality and productivity) that places them at an average level for their peers/cohort • have made limited progress towards research achievements warranting national or international recognition. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the CIs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are deficient in some areas of expertise required to successfully complete the proposed research • have very limited influence and networks to contribute to the proposed research • have published only a few works in relevant fields of research • are not well recognised nationally or internationally for their achievements in the relevant research fields. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the CIs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are deficient in the relevant expertise required to successfully complete the proposed research • have no influence and networks to contribute to the proposed research • are not productive to any significant extent in relevant fields of research • are not well recognised nationally or internationally for their achievements in the relevant research fields.

SCORE						
7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Team quality and capability: Indigenous criteria						
<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has an outstanding track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal will build outstanding capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. 	<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has an excellent track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal will build excellent capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. 	<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has a very good track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal will build very good capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. 	<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has a good track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal may build good capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. 	<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has a marginal track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal may build minimal capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. 	<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has an unsatisfactory track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal is unlikely to build capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. 	<p>Building capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team has a poor track record in working with communities and building capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people • The proposal will not build capability among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

Appendix C. Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 Guide to Applicants

1. Preparing an application

The following sections provide additional advice about parts of the application that are specific to Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants.

- Refer to the [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#) for general instructions on how to apply for a grant in Sapphire.
- Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants scheme-specific policy and instructions for applying in Sapphire (grey boxes) are provided in this Appendix.
- For further assistance during the application process, see section 7 (How to apply) in the grant guidelines.

2. Application Requirements

A complete application is comprised of:

- Completed mandatory sections of 'My Profile' (Guide to Applicants (GTA) section 4) and 'My Profile' Specific Requirements for the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies 2022 grant opportunity (GTA section 5)
- Completed application form (GTA section 6)
- Grant Proposal as an attachment (GTA section 6.8)

Applications must comply with all requirements as set out in the Guidelines. Failure to adhere to any of these requirements may result in non-acceptance or exclusion of your application (see section 4 (Eligibility) of the Guidelines).

2.1 Use of gender-neutral language

The aim of [NHMRC's Gender Equality Strategy \(2018–2021\)](#) is to achieve a gender-equal health and medical research workforce by supporting an increase in the retention and progression of women. Using gender-neutral language is one strategy to support this goal, serving to de-emphasise gender in the assessment of grant applications and reduce the potential impact of unconscious bias.

NHMRC strongly encourages the use of gender-neutral language in applications. This means that, wherever possible and appropriate, applicants should avoid the use of words that reveal their gender or the gender of team members. These words include (but are not limited to) her, him, she, he, Mr, Ms, Mrs and Miss, as well as first names and terms such as 'maternity leave'.

It is recognised that there will be instances where reference to the gender of applicants or team members is unavoidable or desirable.

However, wherever possible and relevant, applicants should:

- use the first person, *i.e. I/me/my*, rather than referring to themselves in the third person, or if third person is preferred, then use CI last-name or CIA
- use CIB, CIC, etc. or plural pronouns, *i.e. their/they*, when referring to others, rather than he/she or her/his
- use the format 'CI last-name', *e.g. CI Jones*, rather than using first names when referring to individuals

- use gender-neutral nouns, *e.g. researcher, staff, etc.*, including when completing career disruption information, if relevant, *e.g. parental leave*, rather than maternity/paternity leave,
- review the application for instances of 'masculine form by default' prior to submission and remove them, *e.g.*, 'every team member will manage his data according to this protocol' can instead use the plural pronoun *their*.

Note the aim is to de-emphasise **applicant** gender. Where gender is important for the research being proposed, it should be included in the application.

2.2 Minimum Data Requirements

Minimum data must be entered in Sapphire by the specified due date. Applicants must complete the required fields with correct information and are discouraged from making changes to this information after minimum data close. NHMRC uses this information to identify peer reviewers who are best suited to assess the application.

Minimum data are indicated in Sapphire by a blue flag (■), and are comprised of:

- Administering Institution
- Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander Health Research Focus (yes/no)
- Project synopsis
- Privacy agreement
- Research Classification:
 - Broad research area
 - Field(s) of research
 - Peer Review Areas
 - Research keywords
- Chief Investigator A (complete CIA Role and Name)

Minimum data must be entered into Sapphire by 17.00 AEST on 27 July 2022. Applicants are to refer to section 7.3 (Minimum data) of the Guidelines for further information.

Failure to meet this deadline will result in the application not proceeding.

Research Administration Officers (RAOs) are not required to certify applications for the purpose of minimum data. Applications only require certification once complete and ready for submission to NHMRC.

3. Key Changes

Applicants, please note the following changes for the Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 application form:

- Section 6.6 (Top 10 publications) has been added. Applicants are asked to list their top ten publications in the last ten years, taking into account career disruption.
- Section 6.8 (Grant proposal) has been updated and will no longer include the list of all publications from the past five years.

4. 'My Profile' Requirements

Within an applicant's profile in Sapphire, there is mandatory information that must be provided and/or updated prior to submitting an application (see section 7 (How to Apply) of the Guidelines). This information includes personal details, academic/research interests, and peer review information.

Mandatory Profile information is indicated by a red asterisk in Sapphire (*). This requirement applies to all Chief Investigators (CIs) named on the application. Please verify that each of the CIs has completed and/or updated their profiles before an application is certified, noting that an error message will appear when a CI is added as a team member, if they have not completed all mandatory fields in their Profile. Existing NHMRC grant holders cannot commence or be named on an application until all mandatory 'My Profile' fields are complete.

4.1 About My Profile

Provide your primary institution name under Primary Institution. If this is an Administering Institution, the Research Administration Officers will have access to view your profile; you may also allow the RAO to edit your profile.

Note: to update your Primary Institution name in Sapphire, you will need to go to 'Account Settings', 'Personal details' and click on 'Primary Institution'.

4.2 Personal information

Provide your most current details in this section. It is important that your title, names, phone and email details are up to date as these are the details on which NHMRC relies to contact you.

4.3 Academic Information

Indicate whether you have a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), and if applicable, the pass date (year) of your thesis (not the date of conferral).

4.4 Peer Review Information

Select a Broad Research Area and 5-10 Research Keywords most applicable to your main area of research. You can also provide further detail about your research interests or areas of expertise. This could include, but is not limited to, your research methodologies, student supervision and areas in which you have published.

You can add as many Fields of Research as required. Indicate when you started your research in that field, the classification of the research (e.g. primary), and whether the research is current or terminated. Individuals are encouraged to list all Fields of Research. Only current Fields of Research will be displayed.

Note: An opportunity is provided in the application to select research areas, fields of research and keywords that best describe your research proposal, as opposed to your personal research interests. The above information will not determine the peer reviewers selected for your application.

4.5 Unavailability Calendar

Peer Review is an integral part of NHMRC funding schemes. NHMRC grant recipients have obligations to contribute to the assessment of applications (as outlined in the *NHMRC Funding Agreement*). If you are not available to act as a peer reviewer, please provide a statement detailing your reasons, and the period for which you are unavailable. To maintain the list of available peer reviewers within Sapphire, NHMRC requests that all applicants update their availability routinely. This will avoid unnecessary contact if you are unavailable.

4.6 Contributions to NHMRC

Please indicate in which role you have contributed to NHMRC, if you have previously participated in an advisory, peer review, guideline development or other NHMRC activity requiring expert stakeholder input via formal appointment.

Click '+' to start a new entry to specify the below:

- Select a 'Contribution Role', from the drop down menu
- Indicate the year in which you held the Contribution Role.
- Indicate the number of times you acted in that role in each year.

You will need to create a new entry for each type of contribution in a particular year.

5. 'My Profile' Requirements specific to Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022 grant opportunity

The following sections provide advice about parts of the application that are specific to Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants. For the purposes of this grant opportunity, you are only required to complete the sections outlined below. If you enter more information than is required, only the required information will be imported into your application.

It is important that relevant 'My Profile' information (for all CIs) is up to date at the time of application submission, as it is used to contact applicants, imported into the application and used by peer reviewers. It may also be used for analyses of NHMRC's funding profile and to capture grant outcomes. 'My Profile' information can be updated at any time. However, any changes made to 'My Profile' (for any CI) after Chief Investigator A (CIA) certification will not appear in the submitted application.

Instructions for entering 'My Profile' information in Sapphire are provided in the [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#).

Note: You are required to list research outputs in relevant subsections of your profile. You are encouraged to link the entered research output to NHMRC Grant IDs, where applicable.

5.1 Career Disruptions (within the last five years)

NHMRC is committed to ensuring that every applicant is treated fairly, and this means that it recognises some applicants will have had career disruptions that need to be considered when evaluating their track record and eligibility. If applicable, applicants should use this opportunity to declare any career disruptions that may be relevant to their career history.

The period of career disruption may be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for a grant opportunity or to allow additional track record information to be considered during assessment.

Career Disruption

A career disruption is defined as a prolonged interruption to an applicant's capacity to work due to pregnancy, major illness/injury and/or carer responsibilities. For guidance on what constitutes a career disruption and how it is considered, refer to [the NHMRC Relative to Opportunity policy](#).

Career disruption claims will not be considered for applications that fail to comply with the following requirements:

The last five years of Career Disruptions will be included for each CI and provided to peer reviewers for assessment.

Disruption Type

To enter a Career Disruption, click '+'. Select a 'Disruption type' from the drop down menu.

Impact

Applicants are required to provide a brief explanation of the impact the career disruption(s) has had on their research, research achievements and associated productivity relative to their career stage, including the percentage (%) full-time equivalent (FTE) of the Career Disruption. Do not describe the nature of the career disruption in this field.

Note that the information in this field will be provided to peer reviewers.

Additional Research Outputs

Provide details of publications only that you would like to claim in relation to this Career Disruption.

Dates

Applicants are required to nominate the periods when they have had a disruption (approximate dates). Entries will be listed in reverse chronological order.

5.2 Relative to Opportunity (within the last five years)

If applicable, the applicant can use this section to provide details of any [relative to opportunity](#) considerations and the effect they have had on their research and research achievements, including (but not limited to) interruptions due to calamities e.g. bushfires and the COVID-19 pandemic.

The last five years of Relative to Opportunity will be included for each CI and provided to peer reviewers for assessment.

Circumstances

Provide a brief explanation of the type of Relative to Opportunity circumstance.

Impact

Provide a brief explanation of the impact this has had on your research, research achievements and associated productivity relative to stage of career.

Date

You are required to nominate the periods where you have had a relative to opportunity circumstance (approximate dates). Entries will be listed in reverse chronological order.

5.3 Publications

Publication information can be uploaded manually, via ORCID import, or by exporting your EndNote® Library as an .xml file. Further details on how to upload publications are provided in the [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#). To manually create a new entry, click the '+' button.

NHMRC accepts ten types of publication: Accepted for Publication; Books/Chapters; Editorials; Journal Articles (Original Research); Journal Articles (Review); Letters to the Editor; Preprints; Research Report – commissioned by Government, Industry or Other; Technical Report; and Text Book.

A preprint is a complete and public draft of a scientific document, yet to be certified by a journal through peer review.

To be considered in this category, a preprint:

- must be available in a recognised scientific public archive or repository such as arXiv, bioRxiv, Peer J Preprints, F1000 Research, etc.

- should be searchable via a digital object identifier (DOI). For preprints that are incrementally updated as work progresses, each version should have a unique DOI and only the latest version of the work should be included in the grant application.

If the work contained in a preprint is subsequently published in a peer reviewed journal, this should be updated in the publication list in Sapphire to avoid double reporting of outputs (even though upon publication, many authors retain an Open Access 'post-print', or archive copy of their work in order to preserve and make available the intellectual content of their work).

6. Application Form Requirements

The following sections of the application form are specific to Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants 2022, and must be completed as part of your application. Step-by-step instructions for entering application details in Sapphire are provided in the [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#).

6.1 Creating an application

Click "+ New Application" to create an application.

Grant Opportunity

Select the grant round you wish to apply for, e.g., Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants commencing in 2023. The application title will be used to identify the application at all times during the assessment process and needs to accurately describe the nature of the research proposal.

6.2 Application details

All fields on this page marked with a flag (🚩) must be completed to meet minimum data requirements.

Application Identification Number (APP ID)

Each application will have its own unique Application Identification Number (Application ID), which is automatically generated by Sapphire and pre-filled in the application. Please use this Application ID number (e.g. 2345678) to identify your application when referring to it in any correspondence.

Administering Institution

Select your Administering Institution by entering three characters to start searching. There can be only one Administering Institution for each application. You must ensure that the institution you choose as your Administering Institution is the correct institution for your application. If in doubt, contact the RAO at your proposed Administering Institution.

Grant Duration

This section may contain pre-filled information that cannot be edited. If not, select the requested duration of your grant (in years) with reference to any limits specified in the Grant Guidelines.

Aboriginal / Torres Strait Islander Health Research

This question enables you to identify research that specifically investigates Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health issues. It is also designed to enable NHMRC to identify those research proposals that will require assessment of the proposed research against the *Indigenous Research Excellence Criteria*.

Only select 'Yes' if you can demonstrate that at least 20% of your research effort and capacity building relates to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health.

If you have answered 'Yes' to this question, you will be required to provide details of how your application addresses the *Indigenous Research Excellence Criteria* in the application form. Your application may be assessed against the *Indigenous Research Excellence Criteria*, using information you provide in the following text boxes; 'Community Engagement', 'Benefit', 'Sustainability and Transferability' and 'Build Capacity'.

Project Synopsis

The synopsis needs to accurately, and briefly, summarise the research proposal. This information may be used to assign applications to panels and peer reviewers. It may also be considered in the peer review process.

Plain English Summary

Describe the overall aims of the research and expected outcomes in simple terms that could be understood by the general public. Avoid the use of highly technical terms. This information may be used in grant announcements, media releases and other public documents, and by funding partners (where applicable) to determine whether the research proposal meets their priorities for funding.

Privacy Agreement

NHMRC, as an agency subject to the Privacy Act 1988 (Cth), is required to notify you about our collection, use and disclosure of your personal information. We do so by referring you to the NHMRC Privacy Policy ([NHMRC Privacy Policy](#)). Please ensure that you have carefully read and understood the Privacy Policy prior to completing the application. If you require further clarification, please contact the NHMRC Privacy Contact Officer via email (NHMRC.Privacy@nhmrc.gov.au) or letter (NHMRC, GPO Box 1421, Canberra ACT 2601).

Have you read and understood the NHMRC Privacy Policy?

Select 'Yes' or 'No'.

In addition, and in accordance with Australian Privacy Principle 8 in the Privacy Act 1988 (Cth), we seek your consent to send your personal information (consisting of an "Assessor Snapshot Report") overseas, for the purposes of peer-review of this application if required. NHMRC uses the expertise of some peer assessors who reside overseas. While we take every effort to protect your personal information, assessors outside Australia are bound by their own country's laws and consequently we cannot provide assurance that your information will be handled in accordance with the same standards as required by the Privacy Act 1988, or that you would have similar remedies if your personal information be released in breach of local privacy laws.

Select 'Yes' or 'No'.

Partner organisation consent

Do you give consent to provide your application and assessment results to other partner organisations?

Select 'Yes' or 'No'.

If you wish to be considered for funding by a partner organisation, select 'Yes'.. By selecting 'Yes' you are consenting to NHMRC providing your application and/or assessment information to potential funding partners if your application fits the funding partner's research funding

objectives. For a list of funding partners, please refer to this grant opportunity's information on [GrantConnect](#).

6.3 Participating Institutions

In some cases, the institution that will administer your application may differ from the institution in which you will actually conduct the proposed research or your proposed research may be conducted at a collaborating institution in addition to your administering institution. For example, many universities administer research which will be conducted in an affiliated teaching hospital. Information, on 'Participating Institutions', is required by NHMRC to enable peer reviewers to identify potential institutional conflicts with your application and for grant administration purposes

Research Institution

List the Participating Institution and department where the proposed research will be conducted.

To add more than one Participating Institution, press '+' and complete the required information. If the participating institution does not appear in the list, please email the institution name to the Research Help Centre (help@nhmrc.gov.au).

Research Effort (%)

If the research will be conducted at more than one institution, enter the Research Effort percentage (%) allocated to each participating institution and department. The percentages (%) entered must total 100%.

NOTE: If some or all of the proposed research will be carried out at your Administering Institution, create an entry with the Administering Institution and choose a percentage up to 100%. At least one institution must be listed.

6.4 Research Classification

The details entered in this section will be used in the peer review process to assist with the allocation of your application to the most relevant peer review panel and to aid the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for your application. It may also be used for analyses of NHMRC's Funding Profile.

All fields on this page marked with a flag () must be completed to meet minimum data requirements. You must make the selections that best describe your research proposal against each of the following fields:

- Broad Research Area
- Field(s) of Research
- Peer Review Areas
- Research Keywords
- Burden of Disease

Click '+' to add rows for each Field of Research that best describes the area of research of the application. You can select up to three Fields of Research.

Select a Burden of Disease that best describes the area of research of the application. You can select up to three Burden of Disease types. Click '+' to add rows for each additional Burden of

Disease. You must allocate a percentage (%) of time against each. The percentage (%) total must not exceed 100%.

6.5 Research Team

You can include a maximum of ten Chief Investigators (CIs) and ten Associate Investigators (AIs) in your research team. For further information of the eligibility requirements for CIs and AIs, please refer to section 4 (Eligibility) of the Grant Guidelines.

All fields on this page marked with a flag (🚩) must be completed to meet minimum data requirements. List all members of research team, including CIs and AIs. Complete a separate entry for each member of the team by clicking '+' to add rows.

All CIs/AIs must have a Sapphire account in order to be listed as part of the Research Team. CIs/AIs that cannot be located using the search function will need to complete registration. Submission of a registration form and activation of a Sapphire account must occur at least 72 hours prior to application close, noting that account activation processes cannot be guaranteed within this time.

Note: Click 'Invite to Register & Manage Access' to invite a Chief/Associate Investigator to complete Sapphire Registration and/or share your application with view or edit access. Enter the email address, select the corresponding access option from the dropdown menu and click 'Save and send'. Users will receive an email invitation to be assigned to the application with appropriate access rights. Click '+ Add another user' to invite any additional Investigators.

Please ensure that you have the correct email addresses for your colleagues prior to commencing your application.

Chief Investigators (CIA-CIJ)

The 'Role' and corresponding 'Name' fields for Chief Investigator A must be completed to meet minimum data requirements. If you are naming yourself for a CI/AI role, 'Invitation Response' status will automatically change to Accepted.

Indicate whether the Chief Investigator A will be based in Australia for the duration of the grant and outline their background and expertise relevant to the grant proposal.

Click '+' to add rows for additional CIs. Click the 'Role' dropdown to select a role for the CI.

To add a CI to your research team, enter their email address. If they are a registered user you can click 'Send invite'. If the user is not found, please invite them to register from the button 'Invite to Register & Manage Access' at the top. Outline the background and expertise relevant to the grant proposal for each additional Chief Investigator.

If you add a CI to your research team, an email will automatically be generated to the team member for their agreement to be named on the application. The invitation response status next to their name will indicate progress. Invitations must be accepted by CIs in order for applications to be submitted.

Note: Emails to added CIs will be sent after a short delay. Invitation status will not update to *Sent* until you have logged out of the application for 15 minutes.

Associate Investigators (AIs)

Click '+' to Add Rows for AIs. To add an AI to your research team, enter their email address. If they are a registered user you can click 'Send invite'. If the user is not found, please invite them to register from the button 'Invite to Register & Manage Access' at the top.

'Position' is optional. 'Relevant background and expertise' is optional.

If you add an AI to your research team, an email will automatically be generated to the team member for their agreement to be named on the application. The invitation response status next to their name will indicate progress. Invitations must be accepted by AIs in order for applications to be submitted.

Note: Emails to added AIs will be sent after a short delay. Invitation status will not update to *Sent* until you have logged out of the application for 15 minutes.

6.6 Top 10 Publications

Provide the details of up to 10 of your best publications in the last 10 years. Each publication should be provided separately. Please explain why these publications have been selected, outlining the quality and contribution to science, and your contribution to each (2000 characters including spaces and line breaks).

Applicants are required to nominate up to 10 of their best publications from the past 10 years (taking into account any career disruptions). You may include field weighted metrics and citation metrics within the explanation field for the 10 best publications from the last 10 years. Where possible, references to publications within the provided entry fields should be provided as a complete citation. Where this is not possible, include sufficient citation information to locate the publication such as digital object identifier, journal name, publication title, year and authors. NHMRC accepts 10 types of publications: Accepted for Publication; Books/Chapters; Editorials; Journal Articles (Original Research); Journal Articles (Review); Letters to the Editor; Preprints; Research Report – commissioned by Government, Industry or Other; Technical Report; and Text Book.

6.7 Ethics

If you answer "Yes" to any of the questions, you will need to obtain ethics approvals and supply evidence of these to your research office in the event your application is funded. For further information, see *Ethics and Integrity* on the [NHMRC website](#).

6.8 Grant Proposal

Applicants must not include in any part of their application:

- Links to external websites, apart from references to journal articles, guidelines, government reports, datasets and other outputs that are only available online; where links are included, provide the URL in full (e.g. the NHMRC website <https://www.nhmrc.gov.au>). Applicants are asked not to use URL shorteners as this may create a security risk.
- Publication metrics such as Journal Impact Factors, consistent with the recommendations in the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment.

The grant proposal must be written in English and submitted in a Portable Document Format (PDF) file, using NHMRC's Grant Proposal template, which will be available on GrantConnect. Applicants must use this template. The grant proposal must be uploaded into Sapphire.

Grant Proposal (Upload)

To upload your Grant Proposal PDF, select the 'Upload New' button followed by the 'Choose File' button. Select the PDF file you wish to upload and then click 'Start upload' to upload your Grant Proposal. Click 'Save' to ensure the application is submitted correctly.

To ensure that the document is displaying properly, applicants need to open a copy of the uploaded document by selecting the open icon to the right of the document name after the document has been saved in Sapphire.

Naming and formatting requirements for the grant proposal, to ensure fairness and consistency across applicants, are listed in Table 1. Applications that fail to comply with these requirements may be excluded from consideration.

Details to be addressed in the grant proposal and associated page limits are set out in Table 2. Applicants should note that peer reviewers will, as part of their assessment, consider the reproducibility and applicability of the proposed research and research design. Within the experimental design of the proposal, applicants need to include sufficient information to demonstrate that robust and unbiased results will be produced.

Table 1: Formatting

Component	Component Requirements
File format	The grant proposal must be saved and uploaded as a PDF file
File size	The PDF file MUST NOT exceed 2MB in size
File name	The PDF file must be named using the following: Applicant's Surname_Document Type/Name.pdf E.g.: Smith_Grant Proposal.pdf
Page size	A4
Header	Application ID and Applicant surname must be included in the header
Footer	Page number must be included in the footer
Font	NHMRC recommends a minimum of 12 point Times New Roman font. Applicants must ensure the font is readable.
Margins	Pages must have 2 cm top, bottom, left and right margins.
Line spacing	Single
Language	English

Table 2: Grant Proposal Components

Component	Page Limit
Research Proposal	9 pages
References	2 pages
Milestones and Performance Indicators	2 pages
Team Quality and Capability	1 Page

CI Capability and Achievement	2 Pages per CI
Cancer Australia Priority-Driven Collaborative Cancer Research Scheme	1 page

A brief description of each component is provided below.

Research Proposal – 9 pages

The research proposal must address the essential components of your research and can include the following properties depending on the type of research:

Component	Properties
Aims	Describe the specific aims of the project, including a clear statement of hypotheses to be tested.
Background	Provide a rationale for the project.
Research Plan – methods and techniques to be used	<p>Outline the research plan in detail, including the following where appropriate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed description of the experiment design - techniques to be used - details and justification of controls - details for appropriate blinding - strategies for randomisation and/or stratification - justification of sample-size, including power calculation - justification of statistical methods - strategies to ensure that the experimental results will be robust, unbiased and reproducible - details to achieve balance of male and female study participants, and male and female cell and animal models, including justification where it is not warranted - any ethical considerations - community involvement and/or plans to transfer knowledge to stakeholders or into practice - strengths and weaknesses of the study design and approach
Timeline	Provide a detailed timeline for the expected outcomes of the Research Proposal along with justification for the duration requested.

Identified Risks	Describe the scientific and/or technical risks associated with the research plan and how these will be managed. This could include risks and mitigations relating to the known or anticipated impact of COVID-19 on the research plan. Include details, if applicable, of how Associate Investigators (AIs) help to mitigate or control any risk.
Outcomes and Significance	Describe the importance of the problem to be researched, the planned outcome of the research plan, and the potential significance of the research.

References cited in this document are to be listed in the separate References section.

References – 2 pages

References for the Research Proposal must:

- not exceed 2 pages
- provide a list of all references cited in the application in an appropriate standard journal format (NHMRC prefers the Author-date (also known as the Harvard System), Documentary-note and the Vancouver Systems)
- list authors in the order in which they appear in PubMed
- only include references to cited work
- must be written in English.

Milestones and Performance Indicators – 2 pages

Provide a table of milestones and performance indicators with corresponding dates. The approach should be specific to the proposed research and provide for effective monitoring of progress at twelve-month intervals. You are encouraged to include recruitment targets and receipt of ethics approval. Please justify your approach.

Team Quality and Capability – 1 page

This section (D) and the following section (E) should address the assessment criterion: Team Quality and Capability (20% of overall score). Provide a summary of the research team's quality and capability. Applicants should detail the following:

- The expertise and productivity of team members relevant to the proposed project
- Their influence in this specific field of research
- How the team will work together to achieve the project aims
- How junior members are contributing to the proposed research and the overall team quality and capability.

Chief Investigator Capability and Achievement - 2 Pages per CI

Chief Investigators should use this section to highlight their research achievements.

Overall track record in the last five years

Applicants should use this section to identify aspects of their track record that are in addition to their top 10 publications in the last 10 years. This includes relative to opportunity considerations.

The following areas may be relevant:

- career summary including qualifications, employment and appointment history

- collaborations
- community engagement and involvement
- contribution to the field of research, including the translation of research into health, commercial outcomes, such as patents, including whether licensed (when, to whom and whether current) (see NHMRC's Guide to Evaluating Industry-Relevant Experience at <https://nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/guide-evaluating-industry-relevant-experience>)
- international standing including invitations to speak and committee memberships
- peer review (e.g. for granting bodies, journals/editorial roles)
- professional activities (e.g. committees, conference organisation/participation)
- supervision and mentoring
- any other information you think is vital to your application.

Cancer Australia PdCCRS– 1 Page, where applicable

Grants awarded through the PdCCRS are designed principally to support applied cancer research projects that relate to the research priority area/s of Cancer Australia and/or its funding partners and which have the potential to directly improve cancer outcomes by influencing clinical practice and/or policy.

Applicants who are applying for NHMRC funding and also seeking Cancer Australia's PdCCRS funding for the same project must provide a one-page modified research proposal with reduced aims and timeframes as part of their Grant Proposal PDF upload if the amount of funding or the duration of funding exceeds the limitations imposed by Cancer Australia's PdCCRS grant category A. PdCCRS Early Career Researcher applicants (Categories B, C and D) are not eligible to apply to the PCCRS through the NHMRC Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant scheme.

The following should be included in the modified proposal.

This proposal is to be considered for funding from NHMRC and PdCCRS. Funding from NHMRC is sought for a project addressing the following aims:

- Aim 1
- Aim 2
- Aim 3 etc.

Funding from the PdCCRS is alternatively sought for the same project modified to one/two year/s. In the one/two year/s timeframe the project will only address the following aim/s:

- Aim 1
- Aim 2 etc.

Applications that do not comply with the above guidelines may be deemed ineligible and excluded from further consideration.

6.9 Third Party Research Facilities

Applicants often need to receive services from research facilities to undertake their research.

Such facilities include but are not limited to: biospecimens and associated data from biobanks or pathology services, non-human primate colonies, the Australian Twin Registry, Cell Bank Australia, and the Trans-Tasman Radio Oncology Group and other organisations that provide clinical trials services.

Applicants will need to consult with research facilities to ensure that the services they require can be provided and that the charges included in the budget are accurately reflected (see Direct Research Costs section). Letters from research facilities confirming their collaboration must be submitted with the application.

Indicate whether you will be using services provided by a research facility to complete your research. If you select 'yes', then upload your letter from the research facility confirming their collaboration.

To ensure that the document is displaying properly, applicants need to open a copy of the uploaded document by selecting the open icon to the right of the document's name after the document has been saved in Sapphire.

6.10 Direct Research Costs

Details on permitted uses of NHMRC funds and setting of budgets can be found in the *Direct Research Costs Guidelines* on the [NHMRC website](#).

Salary

Salary contributions for research staff, including members of the research team, are provided as Personnel Support Packages (PSPs). The level of PSP requested in an application must match the roles and responsibilities of the position in the proposed research and the percentage of the PSP requested must reflect the required time commitment. Applicants must fully justify all requests for PSPs.

Further information about PSPs, including the levels, is available on the [NHMRC website](#).

This section only needs to be completed if you are seeking salary for a research role.

Position function

Describe the function of the research position, for which a salary is requested.

Note: A PSP is awarded based on a justified research function and it is not fixed to an individual.

Salary level

Indicate the PSP level for the research position based on the level of the work to be undertaken and the % of a full PSP package to be paid for each year of funding (in whole numbers only). Applicants must apply for the exact proportion of a PSP that is required for the research being proposed.

Reason for salary

Provide detailed justification for the salary that is being requested for the research position. The PSP level and the percentage of salary must both be well justified.

Note: When awarding a budget, peer reviewers will consider whether the PSPs requested are fully justified and reasonable given the time commitment indicated for this application.

Other Research Costs Provide details on:

- the name/description of the item
- the total value of the item requested for each year

- the justification for the particular item requested.

This information must be aligned with the proposed aims of the study, be detailed on a yearly basis and be fully justified (including, in the case of equipment costing less than \$10,000, why the equipment cannot be provided by the Institution).

Equipment Provide details on:

- the name/description of the item
- the total value of the item requested for each year
- the justification for the particular item requested.

Applicants can request funding to pay for equipment costing over \$10,000 that is essential to the research. The total equipment requested cannot exceed \$80,000. Individual items of equipment costing less than \$10,000 must be requested within the 'Other Research Costs' category.

Applicants must clearly outline the total value of all items of equipment for each year, why the equipment is required for the proposed research and why the equipment cannot be provided by the institution.

For each item of equipment requested, a written quotation must be received and held with the RAO of the Administering Institution, and be made available to NHMRC on request. The Administering Institution must be prepared to meet all service and repair costs in relation to equipment funded.

Funds will not be provided for the purchase of computers except where these are an integral component of a piece of laboratory equipment or are of a nature essential for work in the research field, for example, a computer which is dedicated to data collection from a mass spectrometer, or used for the manipulation of extensively large datasets (i.e. requiring special hardware).

Note: NHMRC funds the direct costs of research based on advice from peer review. Applicants should provide detailed justification of budgets requested. Inadequately justified budgets may be adjusted.

Funding cannot be used for infrastructure, particularly land, buildings and fixtures.

Entering Other Research Costs and Equipment Costs

You will need to create a separate entry for each cost. Click the plus (+) button to enter a cost.

For 'Item name', enter a brief name/description of the item.

Outline the cost of the item required for each year of the grant proposal. Only the relevant years need to be completed.

Justification

Provide a comprehensive justification for the cost.

6.11 Funding Partners and MRFF

Applicants may be able to seek funding from funding partners, either exclusively or in addition to NHMRC funding. Details of the funding partners participating in the 2022 Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grants round will be provided in Sapphire.

Applicants seeking funding from a funding partner need to be aware of any additional application requirements.

Applicants who are applying for NHMRC funding and also seeking Cancer Australia's Priority-driven Collaborative Cancer Research Scheme (PdCCRS) funding for the same project must provide a one-page modified research proposal with reduced aims and timeframes. PdCCRS applicants must meet NHMRC submission deadlines in addition to any Cancer Australia deadlines.

Applicants will need to indicate to NHMRC whether they are applying to receive funding from NHMRC only, from other organisations only, or from NHMRC in conjunction with other organisations. Applications will only be considered for funding from organisations that have been selected.

6.12 Strategic Priorities

Applicants applying for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research funding will be required to provide a statement justifying consideration of their application (see Appendix A of the 2022 Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies *Guidelines*).

Select this field if your application is to be considered for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander research.

Justification

Applicants will need to provide a justification of how their research proposal meets the Indigenous Research Excellence criteria.

7. Certifying your application

Once all 'My Profile' details, application form details and supporting documents have been entered/uploaded, the application can be certified and submitted in Sapphire. Certification is required of both the CIA and Administering Institution. Refer to section 7.7 (Certification and Submission) of the Guidelines for further details.

Before completing these steps:

- Review the application to ensure it is accurate and complete and meets all eligibility/application requirements. The following tools are available to assist applicants in checking their applications:
 - Applicants retain responsibility for confirming that their application satisfies the stated eligibility requirements.
 - For funding schemes where the applicant has nominated a research budget, the summary tab automatically generates a summary of the requested budget from the relevant sections.
 - A checklist for applicants applying for NHMRC funding is provided at section 8 of this Appendix of this document.
 - Ensure you have read and understood the assurances, acknowledgements and undertakings required of CIAs and Administering Institutions as part of this step. These

are outlined in section 7.7: Certification and Submission of the 2022 Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies Grant Guidelines.

- Note that certification will lock down the application and prevent further editing. The final snapshot produced at this time will include relevant information from your 'My Profile' any subsequent changes to these areas of Sapphire will not appear in the application. If changes are needed after CIA certification but before submission to NHMRC, your RAO will need to reject the application in order for you to make the changes.
- Note that your personal information may be provided to another Administering Institution for the purpose of certifying the application where a researcher is either currently receiving NHMRC funding or is on a different and separate application for NHMRC funding.

Instructions for certifying and submitting an application in Sapphire are provided in the [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#).

Once submitted to NHMRC, your application will be considered final and no changes can be made unless the application is withdrawn for amendment prior to the closing date.

8. Checklist for applicants

Before creating an application:

- Ensure Sapphire Accounts for all CIs are active and mandatory 'My Profile' fields are complete (indicated by a red asterisk *).
- Familiarise yourself with the Guidelines and [Sapphire Learning and Training Resources](#).
- Check application lodgement close date and time.
- Update your Sapphire 'My Profile' in accordance with requirements set out in this document.
- Read the relevant ethical guidelines/associated documentation if ethics approval is required for the proposed application.
- Inform your RAO of your intention to submit an application.
- Be aware of any Administering Institution internal deadlines and requirements for submission.

During the creation of an application:

- Check any minimum data requirements.
- Check eligibility requirements.
- Complete all parts of the application.
- Create and upload your Grant Proposal.
- Identify any relative to opportunity considerations, including career disruptions, where applicable, within your application.
- Consider any Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander requirements your application has, including addressing any additional selection criteria.
- Make sure all required attachments are uploaded, including the Research Proposal.

Before submitting an application:

- Read and understand the [Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research, 2018](#). Submission of an application indicates that the Administering Institution and research team understand and will comply with the principles and responsibilities set out

in the Code.

- Check your compliance with formatting and page requirements.
- Ensure any Approvals or licences are acquired or applied for.
- Check all information is correct and complete.
- Familiarise yourself with your obligations should you be successful.
- Certify the application and ensure RAO certification and submission occurs before the close date and time.

Remember, your RAO is your primary contact for advice and assistance. RAOs will contact the Research Help Centre for further advice if required.