

HEAD OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES (HPS) REPORT ON HDR THESIS EXAMINATION



PART 1 – HIGHER DEGREE RESEARCH (HDR) DETAILS

Given name	
Family name	
Student number	
Degree	
Principal Supervisor name	
HPS Name	

PART 2 – GENERAL OVERVIEW OF EXAMINATION PROCESS

Please ensure that you address the examiners' reports properly and provide clear advice for the candidate regarding any revisions to be completed. If seeking exemptions to an examiner's recommended changes, please thoroughly outline the request and justification under part 4.

--

PART 3 – HPS DRAFT RESOLUTION FOR THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

That the HDR Candidate:		Select one (x)
a.	be awarded the degree without revision.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	be awarded the degree subject to minor revisions, specified by both examiners, being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS.	<input type="checkbox"/>
c.	be awarded the degree subject to substantial revisions, specified by both examiners, being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS.	<input type="checkbox"/>

d.	be awarded the degree subject to (minor/substantial/) revisions, specified by examiner....., being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS.	<input type="checkbox"/>
e.	be awarded the degree subject to substantial revisions, specified by the examiners, being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS and being sighted by Examiner {.....} for confirmation of the revisions to his/her satisfaction.	<input type="checkbox"/>
f.	be required to resubmit the thesis in revised form for examination after a suitable period of study and/or research.	<input type="checkbox"/>
g.	In the case of doctoral theses (i) be awarded the degree of Master of Philosophy - Research without further examination; or (ii) be permitted to submit the revised thesis for examination for a Master of Philosophy - Research	<input type="checkbox"/>
h.	That the thesis be sent to a third examiner.	<input type="checkbox"/>
i.	That the candidate not be awarded the degree.	<input type="checkbox"/>

If your draft resolution is different from that provided by the thesis examiner, please justify the variation below:

I have suggested the revised resolution above for the following reason(s):

If seeking exemptions to an examiner's recommended changes, please thoroughly outline the request and justification under part 4 on the following page(s):

HPS SIGN OFF:

I have liaised with the supervisor/s in the preparation of this report.		<i>(HPS to confirm (x))</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>
HPS name				
HPS signature		Date		

PART 4 - JUSTIFICATION OF EXEMPTIONS TO EXAMINERS REQUESTED REVISIONS

All revisions suggested by both examiners are required to be made unless contrary arguments are put forward by the HPS. If this is the case, the justification must be clearly stated below. If the thesis has had a third examiner, all three examiners' reports needed to be addressed in this report.

I believe that the following revisions suggested by the examiner(s) should not be made.

Note: Please ensure you address each revision individually.

EXAMINER NAME:	
1	The following revision should <u>NOT</u> be undertaken:
Justification:	
2	The following revision should <u>NOT</u> be undertaken:
Justification:	

EXAMINER NAME:	
1	The following revision should <u>NOT</u> be undertaken:

Justification:	
2	The following revision should <u>NOT</u> be undertaken:
Justification:	