



palliative care
outcomes collaboration

PCOC Dataset 2024: Data Quality Statement

January 2026 Version 1.0

Summary

The [Palliative Care Outcomes Collaboration \(PCOC\)](#) provides 6-monthly national state-level, and participating service level data from standardised clinical assessment tools which measure and benchmark patient outcomes for palliative care, including pain and symptom control. PCOC aims to improve the quality and outcomes of palliative care in Australia.

The PCOC 2024 dataset corresponds to data reported in the 37th and 38th bi-annual reports conducted under the National Palliative Care Strategy. The 37th and 38th reports consist of phases of care completed between 1 January 2024 and 30 June 2024, and between 1 July 2024 and 31 December 2024 respectively. Annual PCOC data is incorporated in the AIHW Palliative Care Services in Australia report and the AIHW National Palliative Care Measures report.

PCOC is a national palliative care program funded by the Australian Government Department of Health, Disability and Ageing (Australian Government). The Australian Government has funded the PCOC program since 2005. Program funding is typically administered in three-year funding cycles. PCOC is a collaboration of three Universities with the database held at the University of Wollongong. PCOC data is reported to participating services (hospitals and community services), states and territories, and nationally.

Key Points:

- The PCOC 2024 dataset includes data from palliative care services in all states and territories except for ACT, and from both inpatient and community settings of care. There are varying care delivery models, and data collection protocols. Therefore, this variation must be considered when interpreting and comparing results.
- The PCOC 2024 dataset architecture consists of three levels of linked information, patient, episode, and phase. The clinical assessment and outcome data included in PCOC data are not readily available from other sources in Australia.
- Jurisdictions, and some organisations, use different information systems to collect data which result in variances in data collection between services and over time. Each 6 months, the quality of data submitted is assessed for inclusion in the dataset. As a result, some organisations or services are removed from national and state reports and only receive a service-level report labelled 'transitional'. This supports continuous data quality improvement for inclusion in subsequent data cycles. Services included in the PCOC 2024 dataset and in national and state reports, are listed in the [National List of Services Jan – Jun 2024](#) and [National List of Services Jul – Dec 2024](#). The list of services also indicates the list of services provided with transitional reports.
- Data on patient Indigenous status in the PCOC 2024 dataset should be interpreted with caution, as identification practices for First Nations people vary in quality across services.
- Item completion is generally high (85.6% to 100% in the PCOC 2024 dataset) with variation occurring by setting of palliative care and by specific assessment item (e.g. Symptom Assessment Scale). Individual item completion rates are tabulated for episode and phase level data items by setting of care and are published in the national outcomes report.

- The phase-level data include patient and clinician reported data. The Symptom Assessment Scale (SAS) can be patient-reported or proxy reported (by clinician, carer, family member). Due to variation in data collection processes at a service level, data should be interpreted with caution. In Version 3.0 of the PCOC data dictionary, there is no requirement for services to report whether SAS is patient or proxy rated, however some services collect this information. This limitation is described in the PCOC Palliative Care Clinical Manual and the PCOC Assessment and Response Protocol. The incidence of clinician and proxy is not known nationally, however was estimated in a subset of services in Clapham et al (2021). This item is proposed as a mandatory item in Version 4.0 of the PCOC Data Dictionary.

Institutional Environment

PCOC

PCOC operates out of the [Australasian Health Outcomes Consortium \(AHOC\)](#), which is situated within the [Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health](#) at the [University of Wollongong \(UOW\)](#). AHOC is a research consortium which aims to improve services and outcomes for patients through benchmarking of care and treatment and supporting service improvement. AHOC generates robust evidence through its provision of clinical quality registries in palliative care, rehabilitation, and pain. The registries provide evidence to guide treatment and care for individual patients, measure outcomes and deliver benchmarking for the relevant health sector. AHOC Centres, including PCOC, identify and promote best practice in clinical care, drive quality improvement and conduct research.

PCOC is a national voluntary program that is improving the quality and outcomes of palliative care patients in Australia. All services registered with the PCOC program participate in the program voluntarily. A registration form and a Data Sharing and Service Agreement (DSSA) is completed by each service before any data is submitted to PCOC. These documents outline services' responsibilities under the relevant privacy legislation within their jurisdiction.

The governance structure of PCOC includes a Chief Investigator's Group, a Clinical Director (State-level palliative care specialist), and internal committees and decision-making structures (clinical, quality, and data) within the PCOC team. Any errors or issues identified in published statistics are resolved as quickly as possible, with review at internal PCOC team and Chief Investigator level. Updated versions of national and state reports are published on the PCOC website with a corresponding footnote on the relevant table, but no other additional processes are in place to notify of any revisions in national or state reports. Any issues identified at service level are corrected and communicated to the individual service.

PCOC is a collaboration of three Universities, the University of Wollongong (UOW) (lead), the University of Western Australia (UWA), and the Queensland University of Technology (QUT).

University of Wollongong (UOW)

UOW was established in 1951. The duties and functions of UOW are mandated as per the [University of Wollongong Act \(1989\)](#). UOW's purpose is to inspire a better future through education, research, and partnership.

Encompassing committees, policies and processes, and legislative compliance, UOW's governance and ethical environment is grounded within a framework that fosters accountable, consistent, and transparent decision making. UOW's legal privacy obligations

include the [Government Information \(Public Access\) Act 2009](#), the [Health Records and Information Privacy Act 2002](#), the [Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998](#) and [The Privacy Act](#).

Compliance with confidentiality requirements in the Privacy Principles in the Privacy Act 1988, the Health Records and Information Privacy Act 2002, and the Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998 ensures that PCOC releases information weighing the benefits to the public against protecting the identity of individuals and services.

For further information see the UOW website (<https://www.uow.edu.au/>) which includes information about UOW governance (<https://www.uow.edu.au/about/governance/>), and vision & strategy (<https://www.uow.edu.au/about/our-vision-strategy/>).

University of Western Australia (UWA)

Established as the State's first university in 1911 and founded with a mission to 'advance the prosperity and welfare of our communities', the University opened in 1913 to just 184 students. UWA is governed by the [University of Western Australia Act 1911](#). The Senate is the University's governing body, empowered with the authority to make statutes, regulations and by-laws.

For further information see the UWA website (<https://www.uwa.edu.au/>) which includes information about UWA's leadership and governance (<https://www.uwa.edu.au/about-us/leadership-and-governance/>), and values, vision & strategy (<https://www.uwa.edu.au/about-us/values-vision-strategy/>).

Queensland University of Technology (QUT)

[Queensland University of Technology](#) (QUT) is a major Australian university with a truly global outlook. The Queensland University of Technology Act was passed in 1988, following approval of university status for the Queensland Institute of Technology. Queensland University of Technology (QUT) began operating in January 1989.

For further information see the QUT website (<https://www.qut.edu.au/>) which includes information about QUT's governance and policy (<https://www.qut.edu.au/about/governance-and-policy/>), research vision (<https://www.qut.edu.au/research/why-qut/research-and-innovation/>) and strategy (<https://www.qut.edu.au/connections/>).

Timelines

Data provision

Data is submitted by services through the Secured Online Submission (SOS) portal. There are twice yearly data submissions with corresponding six-month data quality and outcome reports. A data submission is due in the 3rd week in July (for January to June data), and the 3rd week of January (for July to December data). The submission period planned close date is the last week of January and July.

Publication

A full suite of reports are produced including outcome reports according to a planned schedule for the 2nd week in September (for January to June data) and the 2nd week in March (for July to December data).

Data is provided to AIHW for the Palliative care services in Australia report in June each

year (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports-data/health-welfare-services/palliative-care-services/overview>), consisting of annual data on palliative care outcome measures (see <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/palliative-care-services/palliative-care-services-in-australia/contents/palliative-care-outcomes>) _

Reference period

The reference period is determined at phase level and includes all phases that ended within the reporting period. The associated episodes and patients are then determined. For 2024 data, the reporting period is 1 January 2024 to 31 December 2024. Outcomes data are available from July 2000.

Accessibility

National and state reports and dashboards

PCOC produces National and state outcome reports, National and state Outcome Dashboards – Inpatient setting, and National and state Outcome Dashboards- Community setting, for each six-month period. National and State reports are publicly available on the PCOC website in pdf and Word format. The reports contain information pertaining to the reporting period and over time results encompassing the last three years for benchmarked results and five years for some patient and episode level information (<https://www.uow.edu.au/australasian-health-outcomes-consortium/pcoc/reports/>).

Service reports

Service-level PCOC reports are available through the PCOC Online Portal (POP), which is a secure website developed to allow services to access their PCOC reports. An individual username and password are required to access these reports, and PCOC staff designate which individuals have access to reports for each service according to the membership agreement.

Aggregate and unit record data

Aggregate or unit record data may be requested and must go through a process which includes the completion of a [Data Access Request form](#) and approval from the PCOC Chief Investigator Group. Approved applicants must sign a PCOC Data User Agreement controlling the purpose, use and storage of aggregate or unit record data prior to release. The application process is described on the PCOC website (<https://www.uow.edu.au/australasian-health-outcomes-consortium/pcoc/research-data/>). The scope of data available, principles for sharing and use, and process for requesting data are described in further detail in the [PCOC Data Policy](#).

AIHW national reports

Data published in the AIHW Palliative Care Services in Australia report are available on the AIHW website (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/palliative-care-services/palliative-care-services-in-australia/data>). Important information about data and data quality is provided, including PCOC assessment tools, definitions of PCOC outcome measures, dates for previous versions the PCOC dataset, lists of contributing services, changes in benchmark measures. This information is updated annually. The most recent published version corresponds to the 2024 data (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/palliative-care-services/palliative-care-services-in-australia/contents/palliative-care-outcomes#Datasources>).

Metadata

Data collected as part of PCOC's outcomes collection, and all associated rules and restrictions, are outlined in the [PCOC v3.0 Data Dictionary and Technical Guidelines](#).

State-specific reports

State specific reports are produced for NSW, VIC, SA, QLD, and WA. Whilst there are services that participate in PCOC that are in the other state and territories, the Australian Capital Territory, Northern Territory and Tasmania do not have as sufficient volume of data to receive a PCOC report, however that is expected to change for Tasmania in the coming months.

Interpretability

Data is captured at three levels: patient-level, episode-level, and phase-level. Patient-level information describes demographics such as Indigenous status, sex, preferred language, and country of birth. Episode-level information describes the setting of palliative care service provision. It also includes information relating to the facility or organisation that has referred the patient, how an episode starts and ends, and the setting in which the patient died. Phase-level information describes the clinical condition of the patient during the episode. This information is derived from five clinical tools. These tools include measures that examine palliative care phase, the patient's functional status and performance status, pain and other common symptoms, the patient's psychological/spiritual problems and family/carer issues.

Information on interpreting PCOC data is available on AIHW's website (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/palliative-care-services/palliative-care-services-in-australia/contents/palliative-care-outcomes#Datasources>). This website provides descriptive information and supporting publications for the PCOC assessment tools, lists contributing services, and defines each outcome measure and corresponding benchmark. A guide to [PCOC National Outcome Measures and Benchmarks](#) provides further information on how benchmarks are established and dates of revisions and provides advice to services on information on calculation and interpretation.

Data published six-monthly in the PCOC National Outcomes reports on the PCOC website (<https://www.uow.edu.au/australasian-health-outcomes-consortium/pcoc/reports/>) provides information on how to interpret data tables. Information is provided on data item completeness for each report for patient, episode, and phase level items in Appendix B Data Item Completion. A visual representation of the scoping method is presented in Appendix D Data Scoping Method. Information on interpreting profile graphs is contained in Appendix E Interpreting benchmark profile graphs.

Relevance

Number of services, patients, episodes, and phases

The number of services in the PCOC 2024 dataset and in previous years is shown in **Table 1**. In relation to the coverage of palliative care services, the absolute number of palliative care services included in national reports increased from 148 in 2019 to 237 in 2024 (60.1%). The number of services registered with the program (who may be provided transitional reports until data completeness requirements are met) increased from 215 in 2022 services to 227 in 2024.

Table 1 – Number of services in PCOC dataset, 2019-2024

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Participating services	148	170	177	180	200	237

The number of patients, episodes and phases in the PCOC 2024 dataset and in previous years is shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2 – Number of patients, episodes, and phases in PCOC dataset, 2019-2024

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Patients	47,214	53,256	58,710	61,056	70,067	72,270
Episodes of care						
Inpatient	32,972	35,084	39,364	40,728	47,083	47,941
Community	31,325	37,330	38,167	38,772	43,680	47,254
Total	64,297	72,414	77,531	79,500	90,763	95,195
Closed episodes of care						
Inpatient	32,695	34,838	38,939	40,482	46,405	47,106
Community	29,007	34,145	34,484	35,449	38,945	42,431
Total	61,702	68,983	73,423	75,931	85,350	89,537
Phases						
Inpatient	74,182	78,339	84,696	85,264	101,251	104,464
Community	78,926	92,381	90,470	91,015	102,302	106,244
Total	153,108	170,720	175,166	176,279	203,553	210,708

Coverage

PCOC include data from all Australian states and territories and across all five remoteness areas (major cities to very remote). The postcode of usual residence of the patient is used to derive remoteness based on the Australian Statistical Geography Standard 2021.

The most recent information available on coverage was published by PCOC in 2023 (Daverson et al., 2023). The excerpts below describe the methods and estimate of coverage from this publication.

“Coverage was assessed using two measures. Change was calculated for the period 2012–2022 regarding the volume of services registered with the program and in relation to a range of service characteristics. This was to be presented as the total number of services divided by care setting (inpatient and community), service size, and location. The size of the service was derived by examining episodes of care in each service, with an episode of care defined as a continuous period of care for a patient in one setting.

The second measure involved the use of a well-established method of estimating the need for palliative care, developed by Murtagh et al. (2014). This measure was used to provide an indication of the extent of coverage of the intervention over time in relation to the estimated need within the total population. This methodology was selected for use due to its expanded inclusion of ICD-10 codes and its more comprehensive consideration of underlying and contributory causes of death and inpatient admission patterns prior to death as compared to other methodologies (Gómez-Batiste et al., 2012 and Rosenwax et al., 2005).

For the analysis, the deaths recorded in the PCOC program were calculated as a proportion of people who could potentially benefit from palliative care and analysis of this with the Australian Bureau of Statistics Cause of Death Data from 2012 to 2021. The estimate included using the number of people with a selected underlying cause of death plus a contributing cause of death for selected conditions (to estimate co-morbidities). The conditions included were all-cancer deaths (C00-C97—malignant neoplasms only included) and selected non-cancer deaths

(ICD-10: I00-I52, I60-I69, N17, N18, N28, K70-K77, J06-J18, J20-J22, J40-J47 and J96, G10, G20, G35, G122, G903, G231, F01, F03, G30, R54, B20-B24) (Murtagh et al., 2014). The most recent and complete 10-year period was included in the analysis, that is, from January 2012 to December 2021. As 2022 data were not available at the time of our analysis, we derived an estimate based on data from previous years.”

“Our study also showed that the number of deaths reported in PCOC increased each year both in absolute terms and as a percentage of patients who might potentially benefit from palliative care (14.8% to 25.1%). In 2012, the national initiative reported 16,358 deaths, which increased to 32,421 deaths in 2022 (+98.2%). Whilst this coverage represents a major achievement by the national voluntary initiative, it is important to emphasise that the methodology we used to estimate the need for palliative care relies on the assumption that people who are missing out on accessing palliative care have unmet needs (Murtagh et al., 2014, Gómez-Batiste et al., 2012, Rosa et al., 2022). Whilst this methodology has been useful, the assumption underpinning the model has limitations (Currow et al., 2008). The limitations include how it fails to account for the effectiveness of other providers of care (e.g., primary palliative care) and patient preference. The range of methodologies that were available for us to estimate the need for palliative care for our study all failed to address these underlying assumptions. Higginson et al.'s disease-specific methodology encompasses a broad spectrum of cancer diagnoses as well as six non-cancer conditions, incorporating considerations of symptom prevalence (Murtagh et al., 2014). Rosenwax et al.'s method relies on routine mortality statistics to estimate the need for palliative care for cancer and non-cancer populations, using all deaths from 10 specific disease groups (Rosenwax et al., 2004). Gómez-Batiste et al.'s methodology is informed by the estimated proportion of deaths from chronic progressive diseases and its prevalence (Gómez-Batiste et al., 2012). Whilst we selected Murtagh et al.'s method because of its expanded inclusion of ICD-10 codes and its more comprehensive consideration of underlying and contributory causes of death and inpatient admission patterns prior to death, it is important to be transparent about these assumptions.

Accuracy

Well-established business rules are in place, and a suite of data management and statistical processes have been automated in the PCOC data management system. This allows for standardised data assurance quality procedures to be completed in an objective and systematic manner. Continuous improvements have been undertaken in data management and statistical processes since 2005 (when the PCOC was established). This means the data quality checks deployed within the program have been consistently and iteratively enhanced during a period of more than 18 years.

IT systems and data requirements

Palliative care information is obtained directly from the participating palliative care services via a range of different IT systems. The [PCOC V3.0 Data Dictionary and Technical Guidelines](#) provide advice to developers on how to collect PCOC data items required for data to be accepted into the registry. An IT system incorporating the PCOC Version 3.0

dataset is required at a minimum, to allow users to:

- Create linked Patient, Episode and Phase records
- Enter the PCOC data items, restricting user input to the domains specified by PCOC
- Extract data from the system in the format required by PCOC
- Search for records in order to complete, update or correct entered data
- Manage service and team level identifiers and related information

The IT system specifications encompass the business rules outlined by PCOC, to ensure that data entry errors are minimised. For each data item, the [PCOC V3.0 Data Dictionary and Technical Guidelines](#) provide a specification defining whether an item is:

- Mandatory – the item field cannot be left blank
- Rule Check - this flag highlights that there is consistency rules associated with the data item. The rules may be one of the following:
 - Code Set Rule: the permissible code set for this item depends on values entered for previous data items.
 - Warning Error: it is unlikely (based on clinical practice) that an entry is possible. The user can proceed by checking the error source and confirming that it is correct. Example: Birth Date places the age of the patient at 15 years or less.
 - Critical Error: an entry cannot be made in this way. The user can proceed only by rectifying the error. Example: Date of Birth is in the future.
- Dependencies - this flag highlights that the value entered for this data item will have an impact on subsequent data items. Types of impacts include data items:
 - Having different permissible code sets
 - No longer being applicable
 - Having values auto-filled

Each item includes a definition, conditions, the data type, data domain and length, usage, and source (where applicable). This detailed specification provides transparency and consistency and is used to inform validation and quality checks.

Data validation

PCOC provides data quality reports to submitting services as an indication of the data removed from analysis (i.e., invalid data). Items that are removed or reviewed are:

Record error/ removal:

- The Start Date for a Phase doesn't match End Date for the previous Phase
- Phase type the same as phase type for previous phase
- Phase start date doesn't match Episode start date for the first phase of the episode
- Duplicated episode record: two episodes for the same patient begin on the same day
- Episode start date must be on or after episode end date of the previous episode
- Duplicate patient records

Variable error/removal:

- Only the final episode for a patient can have an episode “End Mode” of death
- Phase end reason must be either death or discharge for final phase of episode
- Phase End Reason is Discharge, but Episode end mode is not Discharge
- Place of Death is required when Episode end mode is “Death”

Variable warning:

- Review: this community episode ends with a patient dying in hospital
- Patient’s Date of Birth makes them younger than 10
- Review: episode end date is not provided

Services review their data and correct errors before resubmitting. Remaining errors will either result in the data item being not stated/inadequately described, or the whole record being excluded from the final PCOC report.

Completion rates

The PCOC reports include information on completion rates for each item. In 2024, patient and episode level completion rates were very high, ranging from 85.6% to 100% at the national level ([PCOC July-December 2024 National Report Appendix B](#))

Completion rates for some assessments were lower at a national level:

- Discharge assessments in the community setting (range from 50.5% to 45.8% complete). The reason for the lower completion may be due to palliative care team being unable to assess the patient on the same day as the patient was admitted to hospital, or the patient being admitted to an inpatient setting of care without the involvement of the community palliative care team.
- Family / Carer problem severity score at phase start (range from 88.8% to 90.6%). The reason for the lower completion may be due to the absence of a family member or caregiver.
- There are a small number of services that do not use the Symptom Assessment Scale (SAS). The reason for not using the tool may relate to the Information System or preference. In 2024, five services (2.5%) did not submit SAS data. Item completion at phase start ranges from 85.6% to 96% complete at a national level.

Completion rates for state-level reports are assessed, and if the rate is below a threshold the benchmark measure will be published with a footnote to ensure the measure is used with caution.

First Nations status

The PCOC data dictionary includes Indigenous status as a measure of whether a person identifies as being of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander origin. This data item is based on the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) standard for Indigenous status. Data from PCOC on First Nations status may under- represent utilisation of palliative care services due to varying quality of clinician identification of those identifying as First nations across and within services. Each participating service receives data reporting on the completion of Indigenous status with a national comparison. In 2024, Indigenous status was suppressed from national and state outcome reports.

Diagnosis

The principal life limiting illness responsible for this patient requiring palliative care is coded according to a codeset developed by PCOC in 2006 (PCOC data dictionary version 1). The reason for not using a standard classification is that there are no suitable existing classifications for palliative care. The principal illness may not be the same as the reason for the current episode of care. This may result in the selection of 'diagnosis not further defined'. Nationally, 2.3% of all diagnosis is reported as 'not further defined'.

Clinician-reported and patient-reported measures

Assessment of palliative care patients is carried out by clinical staff (except for the PCOC SAS which is patient-reported wherever possible). The clinician assesses the patients' symptom severity, functional dependence, and performance status to the best of their knowledge. Clinicians are trained in the use of PCOC assessment tools. Although services can identify the proportion of patient-reported versus proxy rated scores. 'SAS - completed by', is not currently included in the PCOC data dictionary. In 2024, the proportion of patient-reported scores were not reported. Version 4.0 of PCOC will include a mandatory item to indicate how the ESAS-PCOC was completed (patient, family or carer, or clinician).

Episodes and phases of care

It is necessary to allow Episode and Phase records to be incomplete due to the nature of PCOC data recording at the clinical level and data scoping for outcome reporting. In cases where a Phase or Episode is not completed (that is phase end and episode end information is not complete) the record is flagged as incomplete. This flag may then be used in auditing to check if a phase or episode should be closed. In addition, incomplete (open) Episodes and Phases are extracted and submitted to PCOC, to ensure that the most up-to-date information is available for benchmarking as shown in [PCOC July-December 2024 National Report Appendix D](#).

Coherence

This Data Quality Statement relates to 2024. Coherence issues important for historical data are outlined below.

Dataset versions

Data using Version 1 of PCOC dataset were collected from January 2006. Version 2 of the dataset was collected from July 2007, and Version 3 collected starting from January 2013.

Reported data items

The main changes since 2007 (between V2.0 and V3.0) were:

- additional codes of 'not assessed' for SAS, PCPSS, AKPS (Karnofsky) and RUG-ADL clinical assessments,
- a new codeset for Phase End Reason
- a new codeset and completion rules for Place of Death.

Changes in dataset items between Version 2 and Version 3 including added and deleted items are described in p 10-12 of the [PCOC v3.0 Data Dictionary and Technical Guidelines](#).

Benchmarks

PCOC benchmarks have remained the same since 2012 with additional benchmarks created in 2014.

Services reporting data

The list of services that contribute data are published alongside the national outcomes reports. For example the [National List of Services Jul – Dec 2024](#). These lists are available for each 6-month period back to January 2009.

Data quality

PCOC includes data from palliative care services in all states and territories, except ACT, and from both inpatient and community settings of care. There are varying care delivery models, and data collection protocols. Therefore, this variation must be considered when interpreting and comparing results. Data item completeness is reported for each data item in each report to allow appropriate caveats to be considered for individual data items and time periods.

Longitudinal data

PCOC maintains a national longitudinal database of palliative care outcomes across settings of care and types of providers. The PCOC database comprises data describing more than 250,000 patients or residents, and more than one million phases of palliative care provided both within hospitals, patients' homes and in residential aged care facilities. This longitudinal database provides a valuable resource for both PCOC and the wider health and scientific community to conduct research into palliative care. Services participating in PCOC are the original custodians of the data they supply to PCOC and retain ownership of the intellectual property relating to those data.

PCOC releases time series data for benchmarked results

<https://www.uow.edu.au/australasian-health-outcomes-consortium/pcoc/reports/>

Comparisons with other collections

The clinical, assessment and outcome data included in the PCOC dataset is not readily available from other sources in Australia.

The AIHW report on hospitalisations and the characteristics of people admitted for palliative care including the number of public acute hospitals who reported having a specialist palliative care inpatient unit nationally according to the National Public Hospital Establishments Database (NPHEd) (<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/palliative-care-services/palliative-care-services-in-australia/contents/admitted-patient-palliative-care>).

References

- Clapham, S, Daveson, BA, Allingham, SF, Morris, D, Blackburn, P, Johnson, CE and Eagar, K. "Patient-reported outcome measurement of symptom distress is feasible in most clinical scenarios in palliative care: an observational study involving routinely collected data." *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 33, no. 2 (2021): mzab075. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzab075
- Currow DC, Agar M, Sanderson C, Abernethy AP. Populations who die without specialist palliative care: does lower uptake equate with unmet need? *Palliat Med.* (2008) 22:43–50. doi: 10.1177/0269216307085182
- Daveson, B, Blanchard, M, Clapham, S, Draper, K, Connolly, A and Currow, D. "Population-level, patient-reported outcomes: a case study regarding a public health intervention that involves patients with life-limiting illnesses." *Frontiers in Public Health* 11 (2023): doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1232881
- Gómez-Batiste X, Martínez-Muñoz M, Blay C, Espinosa J, Contel JC, Ledesma A. Identifying needs and improving palliative care of chronically ill patients: a community-oriented, population-based, public-health approach. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care.* (2012) 6:371–8. doi: 10.1097/SPC.0b013e328356aaed
- Higginson I. 1 Palliative and Terminal Care. In *Palliative and Terminal Care Health Care Needs Assessment: the epidemiologically based needs assessment reviews: Second series 1997* (pp. 1-45). CRC Press.
- Murtagh FE, Bausewein C, Verne J, Groeneveld EI, Kaloki YE, Higginson IJ. How many people need palliative care? A study developing and comparing methods for population-based estimates. *Palliative Medicine.* (2014) 28:49–58. doi: 10.1177/0269216313489367
- Rosa WE, Bhadelia A, Knaul FM, Travers JL, Metheny N, Fulmer T, et al. A longevity society requires integrated palliative care models for historically excluded older people. *The Lancet Healthy Longevity.* (2022) 3:e227–e8. doi: 10.1016/S2666-7568(22)00031-9
- Rosenwax LK, McNamara B, Blackmore AM, Holman CD. Estimating the size of a potential palliative care population. *Palliat Med.* (2005) 19:556–62. doi: 10.1191/0269216305pm1067oa